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Coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) is characterized by distinct patterns of disease progression suggesting diverse host immune responses. We performed an integrated immune analysis on a cohort of 50 COVID-19 patients with various disease severity. A unique phenotype was observed in severe and critical patients, consisting of a highly impaired interferon (IFN) type I response (characterized by no IFN-β and low IFN-α production and activity), associated with a persistent blood viral load and an exacerbated inflammatory response. Inflammation was partially driven by the transcriptional factor NF-κB and characterized by increased tumor necrosis factor (TNF)-α and interleukin (IL)-6 production and signaling. These data suggest that type-I IFN deficiency in the blood could be a hallmark of severe COVID-19 and provide a rationale for combined therapeutic approaches.

Early clinical descriptions of the first SARS-CoV-2 coronavirus disease (COVID-19) cases at the end of 2019 rapidly highlighted distinct patterns of disease progression (1). Although most patients experience mild-to-moderate disease, 5–10% progress to severe or critical disease, including pneumonia and acute respiratory failure (2, 3). Based on data from patients with laboratory-confirmed COVID-19 from mainland China, admission to intensive care unit (ICU), invasive mechanical ventilation or death occurred in 6.1% of cases (7), and the death rate from recent current French data was 0.70% (8). This proportion of critical cases is higher than that estimated for seasonal Influenza (4). Additionally, relatively high rates of respiratory failure were reported in young adults (aged 50 years and lower) with previously mild comorbidities (e.g., hypertension, diabetes mellitus, overweight) (5). Severe cases can occur early in the disease course but clinical observations typically describe a two-step disease progression, starting with a mild-to-moderate presentation, followed by a secondary respiratory worsening 9–12 days after the first onset of symptoms (2, 6, 7). Respiratory deterioration is concomitant with extension of ground-glass lung opacities on chest computed tomography (CT) scans, lymphocytopenia, high prothrombin time and D-dimer levels (2). This biphasic evolution marked by a dramatic increase of acute phase reactants in the blood suggests a dysregulated inflammatory host response resulting in an imbalance between pro- and anti-inflammatory mediators. This leads to the subsequent recruitment and accumulation of leukocytes in tissues causing acute respiratory distress syndrome (ARDS) (8). However, little is known about the immunological features and the molecular mechanisms involved in COVID-19 severity.
To investigate the immunological transcriptional signatures that characterize disease severity, we quantified the expression of immune-related genes in peripheral white blood cells (Fig. 2A). We identified differentially expressed genes as a function of severity grades (Fig. 2B). Unsupervised principal component analysis (PCA) separated patients with high disease severity on principal component 1 (PC1), driven by inflammatory and innate immune response encoding genes (GSEA enrichment score with q-value <0.2) (Fig. 2C). PC2, that was enriched in genes encoding proteins involved in both type I and type II interferon (IFN) responses, distinguished mild-to-moderate patients from the other groups. Collectively, these data suggested a severity grade-dependent increase in activation of innate and inflammatory pathways; in contrast, the IFN response was high in mild-to-moderate patients while it was reduced in more severe patients.

Type I IFNs are essential for antiviral immunity (10). Multiplex gene expression analysis showed an up-regulation of genes involved in type I IFN signaling (such as IFNAR1, JAK1, TYK2) contrasting with a striking down-regulation of interferon-stimulated genes (ISGs) (such as MX1, IFITM1, IFIT2) in critical SARS-CoV-2 patients (Fig. 3A). Accordingly, a validated ISG score, based on the mean of expression of 6 ISGs defining a type I IFN signature (11), was significantly reduced in critical patients compared with patients that had mild-to-moderate infection (Fig. 3B and fig. S8A). IFN-β mRNA was undetectable in all infected patients (fig. S8B) as well as IFN-β protein (fig. S8C). Consistent with ISG scores, plasma levels of IFN-α2 protein measured by Simoa digital ELISA (12) were significantly lower in critical than in mild-to-moderate patients (Fig. 3C) and correlated with ISG ($R^2 = 0.30; P < 0.0001$) (fig. S8D). This result apparently contrasted with the increased detection of IFNA2 mRNA in most severe patients, albeit at levels just above the limit of detection (fig. S8E). To assess the global type I IFN activity, an in vitro cytotoxic assay was used (13). IFN activity in serum was significantly lower in severe or critical patients as compared to mild-to-moderate patients (Fig. 3D). ISG score and plasma levels of IFN-α2 from blood collected prior to respiratory failure requiring mechanical ventilation revealed that the low type I IFN response preceded clinical deterioration to critical status (Fig. 3E). Furthermore, low plasma levels of IFN-α2 was significantly associated with an increased risk of evolution to critical status (OR 12, 95% CI 1.21-118, $P = 0.03$). Interestingly, analysis in patients where multiple time points were available showed distinct patterns of IFN-α production with sustained high response in mild-to-moderate patients, high but short response in severe patients, and low or no response in critical patients (Fig. 3F). Of note, the proportion of plasmacytoid dendritic cells, the main source of IFN-α (14), was reduced in infected patients compared to healthy controls, possibly due to migration to sites of infection (15), but
without any difference between groups (Fig. 3G). We next evaluated the response of whole blood cells to IFN-α stimulation (17) and observed a comparable increase in ISG score upon IFN-α stimulation between groups of any severity and controls (Fig. 3H), suggesting that the potential for response to type I IFN was not impacted in COVID-19 patients. As a possible consequence of impaired IFN-α production, we used ultrasensitive droplet based digital PCR (ddPCR) and found an increased plasma viral load in severe and critical patients, a possible surrogate marker of uncontrolled lung infection, while viral load in nasal swabs using classical RT-PCR was comparable between groups (Fig. 3I). Overall, these data suggest that infected patients had no detectable circulating IFN-β and that an impaired IFN-α production characterized the most severe COVID-19 cases.

Severe COVID-19 was reported to be associated with hypercytokinaemia (8, 16). Cytokine and chemokine-related genes were found to be increasingly expressed as a function of disease severity in the study cohort (Fig. 4A and fig. S9A). Interestingly, cytokine whole blood RNA levels did not always correlate with protein plasma levels. IL-6, a key player of the exacerbated inflammatory response in COVID-19 (17), was not detected in peripheral blood at the transcriptional level (fig. S9B), contrasting with high levels of IL-6 protein (Fig. 4B). Expression of IL-6-induced genes, such as IL6R, SOCS3 and STAT3 were significantly increased (fig. S9B) reflecting the activation of the IL-6 signaling pathway. TNF-α, a key driver of inflammation, was only moderately up-regulated at the transcriptional level (fig. S9C), whereas circulating TNF-α was significantly increased (Fig. 4C). Accordingly, TNF pathway-related genes were also up-regulated, including TNFSF10 (fig. S9, D and E), supporting an important role for TNF-α in the induction of inflammation. The discrepancy between RNA quantification and protein measurement suggests that cellular sources of TNF-α and IL-6 may be the injured lungs and/or endothelial cells. Conversely, while IL1B transcripts were significantly up-regulated (fig. S9F), the active form of IL-1β protein was low (Fig. 4D), suggesting that pro-IL-1β was poorly cleaved and secreted, but does not exclude a local production in the lung (15). Circulating IL-1α was also not detected (fig. S9F). These findings contrasted with the detection of high levels of circulating IL-1 receptor antagonist (IL-1RA) and up-regulation of ILLIRI transcripts, indicating an active antagonism of IL-1 in critically ill patients (fig. S9F). We also detected IL10 transcripts and IL-10 protein in both severe or critical patients (Fig. 4E and fig. S9G). IFN-γ was increased in mild-to-moderate patients and at a lesser extent in severe patients, but not in critical patients. In contrast, no increase in IL-17A levels was detected in all infected patients’ groups (fig. S10).

We next explored the expression of transcription factors that may drive this exacerbated inflammation and found that genes specifically up-regulated in severe or critical patients mainly belonged to the NF-κB pathway (Fig. 4F and fig. S11A and B). Among several triggering pathways, aberrant NF-κB activation can result, from excessive innate immune sensor activation by pathogen-associated molecular patterns (PAMPs) (e.g., viral RNA) and/or damage-associated molecular patterns (DAMPs) (e.g., released by necrotic cells and tissue damage). Interestingly, LDH, a marker of necrosis and cellular injury, correlated with disease severity (fig. S1C), and receptor-interacting protein kinase (RIPK)3, a key kinase involved in programmed necrosis and inflammatory cell death, was also significantly elevated in severe or critical patients (Fig. 4G) and correlated with LDH ($R^2 = 0.47; P < 0.0001$).

The exacerbated inflammatory response has been associated with a massive influx of innate immune cells, namely neutrophils and monocytes, which may aggravate lung injury and precipitate ARDS (15). We therefore analyzed expression of chemokines and chemokine receptors involved in the trafficking of innate immune cells (Fig. 4A). While the neutrophil chemokine CXCL2 was detected in the serum but with no difference between groups, its receptor CXCR2 was significantly up-regulated in severe and critical patients (Fig. 4H). Consistently, severe disease was accompanied with higher neutrophilia (Fig. 4H). Of note, the inflammatory response pattern remained elevated even after normalization of transcriptional data with neutrophil counts (fig. S12). Monocyte chemoattractant factor CCL2 was increased in the blood of infected patients, as well as the transcripts of its receptor CCR2; this was associated with low circulating inflammatory monocytes counts (Fig. 4I), suggesting a role for the CCL2/CCR2 axis in the monocyte chemoattraction into the inflamed lungs. These observations are in accordance with published studies in bronchoalveolar fluids from COVID-19 patients, describing the key role of monocytes (15). Overall, these results support a framework whereby an ongoing inflammatory cascade, in the setting of impaired type I IFN production and high viral load may be fueled by both PAMPs and DAMPs.

In this study, we identified an impaired type I IFN response in severe and critical COVID-19 patients, accompanied by high blood viral load and an excessive NF-κB-driven inflammatory response associated with increased TNF-α and IL-6. Innate immune sensors, such as TLRs and RIG-I-like receptors, play a key role in controlling RNA virus by sensing viral replication and by alerting the immune system through the expression of a diverse set of antiviral genes (18). Type I IFNs, which include IFN-α, β and ω, are hence rapidly induced and orchestrate a coordinated antiviral program via the JAK-STAT signaling pathway and expression of ISGs (19). We observed that SARS-CoV-2 infection was characterized by an absence of circulating IFN-β in COVID-19 patients with all disease-severity grades. In addition, most severe COVID-19 patients displayed impaired IFN-α production that was
associated with lower viral clearance. Interestingly, this low type I IFN signature was similar to that observed in young children with severe, but not mild, respiratory syncytial virus infection (20), but was remarkably different from the transcriptional response induced by other respiratory viruses such as human parainfluenza virus 3 or influenza A virus, both characterized by a stronger type I IFN response in in vitro experiments (21). Importantly, although our study was not designed for longitudinal analyses, we observed that low IFN-α plasma levels preceded clinical deterioration and transfer to ICU and that distinct patterns of circulating IFN-α characterized each disease-grade. Formal longitudinal studies will be necessary to dissect type I IFN dynamics during SARS-CoV-2 infection. It will be important to assess in severe and critical COVID-19 patients whether this reduced type I IFN production is present at the onset of infection, whether the production is delayed, or whether IFN production is exhausted after an initial peak. Recent data confirmed in cellular and animal models that SARS-CoV-2 inhibited type I and III induction (21). These results suggest that SARS-CoV-2 has developed efficient mechanisms to shut down host IFN production.

Conversely on the host side, several hypotheses may be proposed to explain variability in type I IFN responses to infection. Comorbidities are risk factors for severe COVID-19 that could negatively impact IFN production, and in contrast exacerbate inflammatory responses (22, 23). Genetic susceptibility can also be suspected since monogenic disorders in children (24) or susceptibility variants in adults (25), each involving the type I IFN pathway, have been associated with life-threatening influenza infections. Identification of patients with insufficient IFN production, but preserved cellular response to type I IFN could define a high-risk population who might benefit from IFN-α or β treatment. Benefit and risk as well as the best time window for efficacy of IFN administration require to be nevertheless weighed. Alternatively, IFN-λ (Type III IFN) could be tested as recently proposed (26), as the receptor is localized on epithelial cells, which may avoid potential adverse effects caused by type I IFN.

Viral replication within the lungs in conjunction with an increased influx of innate immune cells mediates tissue damage and may fuel an auto-amplification inflammatory loop, including targetable production of IL-6 (27) and TNF-α (28), potentially driven by NF-κB. Our study provides a case for the inhibition of the TNF-α axis. Indeed, TNF-α is highly expressed in alveolar macrophages and anti-TNF-α does not block immune responses in animal models of viral infection (28).

Our study has some limitations. First, the study was designed as a cross-sectional analysis, although sequential time points were available for some patients. Second, data provided are mainly derived from the blood and do not allow the assessment of immune responses within the lung. In this respect, data from Bost et al. describe a reduced type I IFN signature in BAL macrophages from severe COVID-19 patients, supporting the validity of our analysis (29).

Based on our study, we propose that type I IFN deficiency is a hallmark of severe COVID-19 and infer that severe COVID-19 patients might be potentially relieved from the IFN deficiency by IFN administration and from exacerbated inflammation by adapted anti-inflammatory therapies targeting IL-6 or TNF-α, a hypothesis worth cautious testing.
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Fig. 1. Phenotyping of peripheral blood leukocytes in patients with SARS-CoV-2 infection. (A) Lymphocyte counts in whole blood from COVID-19 patients were analyzed between days 8 and 12 after onset of first symptoms, according to disease severity. (B) viSNE map of blood leukocytes after exclusion of granulocytes, stained with 30 markers and measured with mass cytometry. Cells are automatically separated into spatially distinct subsets based on the combination of markers that they express. (C) viSNE map colored by cell density across disease severity (classified as healthy controls, mild-to-moderate, severe and critical). Red represents the highest density of cells. (D) Absolute number of CD3⁺ T cells, CD8⁺ T cells and CD3-CD56⁺ natural killer (NK) cells in peripheral blood from COVID-19 patients, according to disease severity. (E and F) Proportions (frequencies) of lymphocyte subsets from COVID-19 patients. Shown are (E) proportions of CD3⁺ T cells among lymphocytes, CD8⁺ T cells among CD3⁺ T cells and NK cells among lymphocytes; (F) proportions of CD19⁺ B cells among lymphocytes and CD38hi CD27hi plasmablasts among CD19⁺ B cells. (G) Analysis of the functional status of specific T cell subsets and NK cells based on the expression of activation (CD38, HLA-DR) and exhaustion (PD-1, Tim-3) markers. In (D) to (G), data indicate median. Each dot represents a single patient. P values were determined by the Kruskal-Wallis test, followed by Dunn’s post-test for multiple group comparisons with median reported; *P < 0.05; **P < 0.01; ***P < 0.001.
**Fig. 2. Immunological transcriptional signature of SARS-CoV-2 infection.** RNA extracted from patient whole blood and RNA counts of 574 genes were determined by direct probe hybridization using the Nanostring nCounter Human Immunology_v2 kit. (A) Heatmap representation of all genes, ordered by hierarchical clustering. Healthy controls (n = 13), mild-to-moderate (n = 11), severe (n = 10) and critical (n = 11). Up-regulated genes are shown in red and down-regulated genes in blue. (B) Volcano plots depicting log10 (P-value) and log2 (fold change), as well as z-value for each group comparison (see Methods). Gene expression comparisons allowed the identification of significantly differentially expressed genes between severity grades (healthy controls vs mild-moderate, 216 genes; moderate vs severe, 43 genes; severe vs critical, 0 genes). (C) Principal component analysis (PCA) of the transcriptional data (left). Kinetic plots showing mean normalized values for each gene and severity grade where each grey line corresponds to one gene (middle and right). Median values over genes for each severity grade are plotted in black. Gene set enrichment analysis of pathways enriched in PC1 and PC2 are depicted under corresponding kinetic plot.
Fig. 3. Impaired type I IFN response in patients with severe SARS-CoV-2 infection. (A) Heatmap showing expression of type I IFN-related genes using the reverse transcription- and PCR-free Nanostring nCounter technology in patients with mild-to-moderate (n = 11), severe (n = 10) and critical (n = 11) SARS-CoV2 infection, and healthy controls (n = 13). Up-regulated genes are shown in red and down-regulated genes in blue. (B) IFN stimulated gene (ISG) score based on expression of 6 genes (IFI44L, IFI27, RSAD2, SIGLEC1, IFIT1, and IS15) measured by q-RT-PCR in whole blood cells from mild-to-moderate (n = 14), severe (n = 15) and critical (n = 17) patients, and healthy controls (n = 18). (C) IFN-α2 (fg/mL) concentration evaluated by Simoa and (D) IFN activity in plasma according to clinical severity. (E) Mild-to-moderate (n = 14) and severe patients (n = 16) were separated in two groups depending on the clinical outcome, namely critical worsening requiring mechanical ventilation (to denote severe status). ISG score (left) and IFN-α2 plasma concentration (right) are shown. (F) Time-dependent IFN-α2 concentrations are shown according to severity group. (G) Quantification of plasmacytoid dendritic cells (pDC) as a percentage of PBMCs and as cells/mL according to severity group. (H) ISG score before and after stimulation of whole blood cells by IFN-α (10^2IU/mL for 3 hours). (I) Viral loads in nasal swabs estimated by RT-PCR and expressed in cycle threshold (Ct) and blood viral load evaluated by digital PCR. In (B) and (E), ISG score results represent the fold-increased expression compared to the mean of unstimulated controls and are normalized to GAPDH. In (B) to (I), Data indicate median. Each dot represents a single patient. P-values were determined by the Kruskal-Wallis test, followed by Dunn’s post-test for multiple group comparisons and by the Mann-Whitney test for two group comparisons with median reported: *P < 0.05; **P < 0.01; ***P < 0.001.
Fig. 4. Immune profiling in patients with severe and critical SARS-CoV-2 infection. (A) Heatmap showing the expression of cytokines and chemokines that are significantly different in severe and critical patients, and ordered by hierarchical clustering. Healthy controls (n = 13), mild-to-moderate (n = 11), severe (n = 10) and critical (n = 11) patients. Up-regulated genes are shown in red and down-regulated genes in blue. (B) Interleukin (IL)-6. (C) Tumor necrosis factor (TNF)-α. (D) IL-1β and (E) IL-10 proteins were quantified in the plasma of patients using Simoa technology or a clinical grade ELISA assay (see methods). Each group includes n = 10-18 patients. Dashed line depicts the limit of detection (LOD). (F) Kinetic plots showing mean normalized value for each gene and severity grade (each grey line corresponds to one gene belonging to the NF-κB pathway). Median values over genes for each severity grade were plotted in black. (G) Plasma quantification of receptor-interacting protein kinase (RIPK)-3. Each group included n = 10 patients. (H) Absolute RNA count for CXCR2 (left); CXCL2 protein plasma concentration measured by Luminex technology (middle); blood neutrophil count depending on severity group (right). Dashed line depicts the upper normal limit. Each group includes n = 10-13 patients. (I) Absolute RNA count for CCR2 (left); CCL2 protein plasma concentration measured by Luminex technology (middle left); blood monocyte count depending on severity group (middle right). Dashed lines depict the normal range. (Right) The percentage of non-classical monocytes depending on severity grade. Each group shows n = 10-18 patients. RNA data are extracted from the Nanostring nCounter analysis (see methods). In (B) to (I), data indicate median. Each dot represents a single patient. P-values were determined by the Kruskal-Wallis test, followed by Dunn’s post-test for multiple group comparisons with median reported; *P < 0.05; **P < 0.01; ***P < 0.001.
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