

# Quantifying Replication Fork Progression at CTG Repeats by 2D Gel Electrophoresis

David Viterbo, Guy-Franck Richard

► **To cite this version:**

David Viterbo, Guy-Franck Richard. Quantifying Replication Fork Progression at CTG Repeats by 2D Gel Electrophoresis. Guy-Franck Richard. Trinucleotide Repeats: Methods and Protocols, Springer Science; Business Media, LLC, pp.69-81, 2019, 978-1-4939-9783-1. 10.1007/978-1-4939-9784-8\_4. pasteur-02864620

**HAL Id: pasteur-02864620**

**<https://hal-pasteur.archives-ouvertes.fr/pasteur-02864620>**

Submitted on 17 Aug 2020

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

## Metadata of the chapter that will be visualized online

Chapter Title	Quantifying Replication Fork Progression at CTG Repeats by 2D Gel Electrophoresis	
Copyright Year	2020	
Copyright Holder	Springer Science+Business Media, LLC, part of Springer Nature	
Corresponding Author	Family Name	<b>Viterbo</b>
	Particle	
	Given Name	<b>David</b>
	Suffix	
	Division	Institut Pasteur
	Organization/University	CNRS, UMR3525
	Address	Paris, France
	Email	dviterbo@pasteur.fr
Author	Family Name	<b>Richard</b>
	Particle	
	Given Name	<b>Guy-Franck</b>
	Suffix	
	Division	Institut Pasteur
	Organization/University	CNRS, UMR3525
	Address	Paris, France
Abstract	<p>Physical separation of branched DNA from linear molecules is based on the difference of mobility of linear versus branched DNA during two-dimensional agarose gel electrophoresis. Structured DNA migrates as slower species when compared to linear DNA of similar molecular weight. Metabolic processes such as S phase replication or double strand-break repair may generate branched DNA molecules. Trinucleotide repeats are naturally prone to form secondary structures that can modify their migration through an agarose gel matrix. These structures may also interfere in vivo with replication, by slowing down replication-fork progression, transiently stalling forks, possibly leading to secondary structure such as Holliday junctions or hemicatenanes. Alternatively, reversed replication forks may occur following fork stalling, disrupting replication dynamics and modifying DNA migration on agarose gel. So although two-dimensional agarose gel electrophoresis theoretically allows to resolve a mixture of structured DNA molecules and quantify them by radioactive hybridization, its practical application to trinucleotide repeats faces some serious technical challenges.</p>	
Keywords (separated by “ - ”)	Trinucleotide repeats - 2D gel - Replication fork - Reversed fork - Recombination - Phosphor screen technology	

## Quantifying Replication Fork Progression at CTG Repeats by 2D Gel Electrophoresis

2

3

David Viterbo and Guy-Franck Richard

[AUI](#)

4

### Abstract

5

Physical separation of branched DNA from linear molecules is based on the difference of mobility of linear versus branched DNA during two-dimensional agarose gel electrophoresis. Structured DNA migrates as slower species when compared to linear DNA of similar molecular weight. Metabolic processes such as S phase replication or double strand-break repair may generate branched DNA molecules. Trinucleotide repeats are naturally prone to form secondary structures that can modify their migration through an agarose gel matrix. These structures may also interfere in vivo with replication, by slowing down replication-fork progression, transiently stalling forks, possibly leading to secondary structure such as Holliday junctions or hemicatenanes. Alternatively, reversed replication forks may occur following fork stalling, disrupting replication dynamics and modifying DNA migration on agarose gel. So although two-dimensional agarose gel electrophoresis theoretically allows to resolve a mixture of structured DNA molecules and quantify them by radioactive hybridization, its practical application to trinucleotide repeats faces some serious technical challenges.

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

**Key words** Trinucleotide repeats, 2D gel, Replication fork, Reversed fork, Recombination, Phosphor screen technology

18

19

---

## 1 Introduction

20

Physical separation of branched DNA from linear molecules was developed by Bell and Byers in 1982 [1]. This method was based on the difference of mobility of linear versus branched DNA during two-dimensional agarose gel electrophoresis, in the presence of ethidium bromide. It was shown that structured DNA molecules migrate as slower species when compared to linear DNA of similar molecular weight. Since metabolic processes such as S phase replication or double strand-break repair may generate branched DNA molecules, this method was extensively used to study replication fork progression [2, 3] as well as meiotic recombination intermediates [4, 5]. In order to run a 2D gel, total genomic DNA must be extracted from replicating cells and digested with a restriction enzyme, to generate large DNA fragments, usually more than

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31


32

33

34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53

3–4 kb in size, since replication intermediates may be hard to visualize with smaller fragments. Digested DNA is then migrated in the first dimension at low voltage, in the absence of ethidium bromide, so that molecules are separated according to their size. In the second dimension, voltage is increased and ethidium bromide is added in order to rigidify molecules which are then separated according both to their size and to their structure. After the second dimension, the gel is transferred to a nylon membrane and hybridized with a radioactive  $^{32}\text{P}$ -labeled probe, whose signal is subsequently quantified using phosphor screen technology (Fig. 1).

Trinucleotide repeat expansions are involved in many neurological pathologies like Huntington disease, myotonic dystrophy type 1 (Steinert disease), fragile-X syndrome, Friedreich's ataxia, or multiple spinocerebellar ataxias. These trinucleotide repeats are located in coding or noncoding sequences, depending on the disease [6–11]. CAG/CTG repeats form imperfect hairpins in vitro (*see* Chapter 1) and these secondary structures may interfere with DNA synthesis during replication, repair or recombination. Therefore, it was early postulated that such repeats may stall replication forks in vivo [12] and subsequently demonstrated by 2D gel



**Fig. 1** Different replication and recombination intermediates may be detected by 2D gels. **(a)** Linear molecules migrate relatively to their size only, between  $n$  and  $2n$ , whereas structured molecules migrate according to their size and structure in the second dimension and are therefore detected above the linear ones. The Y arc starts from unreplicated molecules (the  $n$  spot) to almost completely replicated molecules (the  $2n$  spot). A conical shape corresponding to non-Y arc structures is sometimes visible. Fork pausing is detected as a thickening of the Y arc wherever the stalling sequence is located. **(b)** Detailed structured molecules corresponding to Y arc or cone signals. Converging forks, hemicatenanes, Holliday junctions, and reversed forks are hard to discriminate based on their structure and migrate in the same conical area. Note that they are not represented at their expected position in the present figure. Their migration will depend on the time point considered and on the restriction enzyme used to digest genomic DNA. For a comprehensive view of what kind of molecules may be detected and their migration patterns, refer to Schwartzman and colleagues [3]

54 electrophoresis that it was the case, first in bacteria [13], later on in  
55 yeast [14–16]. Secondary structure formation is favored by the  
56 presence of extensive single-stranded DNA regions (ssDNA).  
57 Therefore, double-strand break repair mechanisms known to gen-  
58 erate extensive ssDNA, such as break-induced replication (BIR)  
59 [17], single-strand annealing (SSA) [18] or gene conversion should  
60 increase trinucleotide repeat instability. Indeed, it was shown in  
61 *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* that BIR promotes CAG/CTG repeat  
62 expansions [19] and that SSA drives contractions [20], whereas  
63 gene conversion induces a high level of both expansions and con-  
64 tractions [21] (reviewed in [22]). In addition, secondary struc-  
65 tures may also modify DNA migration in agarose gels, leading to  
66 an apparent aberrant mobility [23].

67 Two-dimensional agarose gel electrophoresis gives a global  
68 picture of the replication fork dynamics: replication origin firing,  
69 replication fork progression, fork stalling, reversed replication  
70 forks, and various replication and recombination intermediates can  
71 all be theoretically detected by 2D gels (Fig. 1b) [3, 24, 25].  
72 Variations of this technique were extensively used in *S. cerevisiae* to  
73 study replication and recombination intermediates. In order to  
74 improve signal quality and signal over background ratio, it is con-  
75 venient to synchronize yeast cells, either by alpha-factor arrest [18]  
76 or by centrifugal elutriation [26]. Cells are subsequently collected  
77 at different time points after release into S phase and total genomic  
78 DNA is extracted. Different methods can be used to enrich the  
79 fraction containing ssDNA, including BND-cellulose columns  
80 [27] or extraction in the presence of CTAB (cetyltrimethylammo-  
81 nium bromide), a detergent which stabilizes ssDNA secondary  
82 structures [28]. In the present chapter, we describe the 2D gel  
83 method on synchronized yeast cells using a simplified CTAB pro-  
84 tocol [29] that does not involve a preliminary step of yeast spher-  
85 oplast preparation. In the following experiments, a (CAG)<sub>80</sub> or  
86 (CTG)<sub>80</sub> trinucleotide repeat was integrated at the *ARG2* locus on  
87 the left arm of yeast chromosome X (strain background BY4741  
88 [30]), 7 kb away from an early replication origin, located in telo-  
89 meric proximal position as compared to the *ARG2* gene. Maximal  
90 replication at this locus occurs 50 min after release from alpha-  
91 factor arrest.

---

## 92 2 Materials

93 Prepare all solutions with deionized water and analytical grade  
94 reagents. The quality of the water is crucial in this protocol and  
95 changing the water providing source may dramatically alter experi-  
96 mental results. Prepare and store reagents at room temperature,  
97 unless otherwise indicated. Follow all waste disposal regulations  
98 specific to your institute or country.

**2.1 Replication  
Time Course**

1. Alpha-factor (1 mg/mL). Dissolve 10 mg in 10 mL ethanol 100%. Store at 4 °C.
2. Sodium azide. It is kept as a frozen liquid solution at -20 °C, at 100× concentration (10%). It is used as a 0.1% solution to kill yeast cells.
3. 10 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8.0.
4. YPD medium (10 g/L yeast extract, 20 g/L Bacto peptone, 20 g/L dextrose). Autoclave for 20 min at 110 °C. Store at room temperature.
5. 15 mL and 50 mL polypropylene tubes.

**2.2 Genomic DNA  
Extraction**

1. Zymolyase 100T (Seikagaku, 30 mg/mL).
2. Extraction solutions.  
Solution I: 2% CTAB (cetyltrimethylammonium bromide), 1.4 M NaCl, 100 mM Tris-HCl pH 7.5, 25 mM EDTA pH 8.0.  
Solution II: 1% CTAB, 50 mM Tris-HCl pH 7.5, 10 mM EDTA.  
Solution III: 1.4 M NaCl, 10 mM Tris-HCl pH 7.5, 1 mM EDTA
3. RNase A (10 mg/mL).
4. Proteinase K (20 mg/mL).
5. DTT 2 M.
6. Chloroform-isoamyl alcohol 24:1. Order as 250 mL solution, store at 4 °C and use rapidly after opening.

**2.3 First Dimension**

Use only ultrapure agarose (Lonza Seakem GTG or similar) 0.35% in 300 mL 1× TBE buffer (89 mM Tris-HCl pH 8.0, 89 mM boric acid, 2 mM EDTA pH 8.0). Do *not* add ethidium bromide. Pour the gel in a cold room to quicken polymerization.

**2.4 Second  
Dimension**

Use the same agarose. Prepare 300 mL of a 0.9% agarose gel in 1× TBE buffer. Add 9 µL ethidium bromide to the gel *and* 30 µL to 1 L of 1× TBE buffer (0.3 µg/µL final concentration in both gel and buffer).

**2.5 Blot**

1. Depurination solution, 0.25 N HCl, 1 L.
2. Denaturation solution, 0.5 M NaOH, 1.5 M NaCl, 1 L.
3. Neutralization solution, 0.02 M NaOH, 1 M NH<sub>4</sub>OAcetate, 1 L.
4. Six sheets of 3 MM Whatman absorbent paper, cut to the gel dimension.
5. Positively charged nylon membrane cut to the gel dimension (*see* Subheading 3.6).

6. A plastic platform and a plastic tray to set up the transfer (*see* Subheading 3.7).
7. 10× saline sodium citrate (SSC) buffer: 1.5 M NaCl, 150 mM trisodium citrate (adjusted to pH 7.0 with HCl), 1 L.

## 2.6 Hybridization

1. Hybridization and washes are performed in sodium phosphate buffer (“Church buffer”). Prepare 1 L of 500 mM Na<sub>2</sub>HPO<sub>4</sub> adjusted to pH 7.4 with 4 mL orthophosphoric acid.
2. Hybridization: sodium phosphate buffer 250 mM (pH 7.4), SDS 7%, EDTA 1 mM.
3. Washes: 20 mM sodium phosphate buffer (pH 7.4), 1% SDS, 1 mM EDTA.

## 2.7 Probe Labeling

1. Random Primer (hexadeoxyribonucleotide mix: pd(N)<sub>6</sub>, 6-mer, 80 nmol), diluted to 120 pmol/μL.
2. 10× Random Priming buffer: 500 mM Tris-HCl pH 7.0, 100 mM MgSO<sub>4</sub>, 1 mM DTT.
3. [α<sup>32</sup>P]-dATP, 6000 Ci/mmmole.
4. Klenow polymerase 10 U/μL.

---

## 3 Methods

### 3.1 Replication Time Course

Yeast cells respond to the pheromone of the opposite sexual type. Therefore, to perform alpha-factor arrest, cells must be MAT $\alpha$ . If working with MAT $\alpha$  strains, it is possible to purchase alpha-factor, but its prohibitive price makes this working hypothesis unlikely. If limited amount of alpha-factor are available, it is possible to inactivate the *BARI* gene, encoding the secreted aspartyl protease that degrades the pheromone, in order to use much lesser amounts of alpha-factor. The amounts indicated here are those recommended for *BARI* strains, in which the protease is active.

1. Day 1: Grow one colony in 3 mL YDP at 30 °C overnight.
2. Day 2: Transfer in an Erlenmeyer flask, add 200 mL YPD and grow overnight at 23 °C to slow down cell cycle.
3. Day 3: Collect 8 × 10<sup>9</sup> cells in four 50 mL polypropylene tubes and wash them twice with 20 mL sterile water. Resuspend cells in 800 mL fresh YPD at 9 × 10<sup>6</sup> cells/mL and incubate 1 h at 23 °C. Add 4 mg alpha-factor (final concentration 5 μg/mL), and incubate cells for 1½ h at 23 °C. Check cell shape under the microscope. They should start to “shmoo” (one end of the cell starts to slightly elongate) in response to the pheromone. If less than 90% of cells are arrested, add an extra 4 mg alpha-factor and incubate cells for an extra 30–45 min. Check cell shape under the microscope. If necessary, an extra 2 mg alpha-factor

185 may be added to the culture, for a total of 10 mg. When more  
 186 than 90% of yeast cells are arrested in G1 phase, as shown by  
 187 microscope observation of “shmoos,” centrifuge, wash the cul-  
 188 ture with 10 mM Tris-HCl (pH 8.0) and resuspend them in  
 189 fresh YPD at 23 °C. This is the beginning ( $T_0$ ) of the time  
 190 course. Progression through cell cycle may be subsequently  
 191 monitored by microscope observation or followed by FACS, as  
 192 described in Chapter 5.

- 193 4. For each time point, collect  $2 \times 10^9$  cells (i.e., 200 mL) at 40,  
 194 50, 60, and 90 min (*see Note 1*).
- 195 5. Cells are killed by addition of 2 mL 100× sodium azide (0.1%  
 196 final concentration). Note that Na-azide is a poison for all  
 197 eukaryotic cells since it by inhibits mitochondrial oxidative  
 198 phosphorylations. It is therefore recommended to prepare  
 199 four flasks containing 2 mL of Na-azide, and to leave them on  
 200 ice for the duration of the experiment, to avoid repeated  
 201 manipulations of the stock solution. After incubation with  
 202 sodium azide, leave cells on ice for 10 min (*see Note 2*).
- 203 6. Centrifuge cells and discard sodium azide-containing YPD in  
 204 appropriate waste container. Wash once with 50 mL cold ster-  
 205 ile water.
- 206 7. Resuspend cells in 50 mL cold sterile water, centrifuge, remove  
 207 water and freeze dried cell pellet at  $-80$  °C until DNA prepa-  
 208 ration may take place.

### 210 3.2 DNA 211 Extraction, Day 1

- 212 1. Thaw the four dried pellets on ice. Resuspend each in 2 mL  
 213 H<sub>2</sub>O, 2.5 mL Solution I, 5  $\mu$ L DTT (2 M) and 167  $\mu$ L  
 214 Zymolyase 100T (Seikagaku, 30 mg/mL). Incubate tube at  
 215 30 °C for 1 h. The cell lysate must be viscous. Note that  
 216 zymolyase digestion of yeast cell wall is performed directly in  
 217 Solution I, in the presence of CTAB. This is an improvement  
 from former protocols in which spheroplasts had first to be  
 prepared, before Solution I was added.
- 218 2. Add 200  $\mu$ L RNase (10 mg/mL) in each tube for  $\frac{1}{2}$  h at  
 219 50 °C.
- 220 3. Add 200  $\mu$ L Proteinase K (20 mg/mL) in each tube for 1.5 h  
 221 at 50 °C.
- 222 4. Add 100  $\mu$ L Proteinase K (20 mg/mL) in each tube and incu-  
 223 bate at 37 °C overnight.

### 225 3.3 DNA 226 Extraction, Day 2

227 Centrifuge tubes 15 min at  $3200 \times g$  (4000 rpm in our centrifuge).  
 In subsequent steps, pellets and supernatants are independently  
 processed.



228 3.3.1 *Supernatants*

229

230

231

232

233

234

235

236

237

238

239

240 3.3.2 *Pellets*

241

242

243

244

245

246

247

248

249

250

251

252

253

254

255

256

257 **3.4 Genomic DNA**258 **Restriction Digest**

259

260

261

262

263

264 **3.5 First Dimension**

265

266

267

268

269

270

271

272

1. Gently transfer each supernatant in a 15 mL polypropylene tube.
2. Add 2.5 mL 24:1 chloroform–isoamyl alcohol and gently mix by inversion 5–6 times.
3. Centrifuge 15 min at  $3200 \times g$ .
4. Gently and slowly transfer each supernatant in a 50 mL polypropylene tube.
5. Add 10 mL of Solution II. Wait 2 to 3 h (*see Note 3*).
6. Centrifuge 10 min at 4000 rpm. Discard the supernatant.
7. Add 2.5 mL of Solution III and incubate tubes at 37 °C for 10 min to help dissolve DNA.
1. Add 1 mL of Solution III to each pellet. Incubate tubes at 50 °C for 1 h.
2. Add one volume of chloroform–isoamyl alcohol and gently mix by inversion 5–6 times.
3. Centrifuge 10 min at  $3200 \times g$ .
4. Transfer each supernatant in 15 mL polypropylene tubes containing 2.5 mL of Solution III.
5. Add 5 mL of isopropanol and mix by inversion 5–6 times.
6. Centrifuge 10 min at 4000 rpm.
7. Wash each tube with 2 mL of 70% ethanol.
8. Resuspend each pellet in 250  $\mu$ L 10 mM Tri-HCl pH 8.0 and incubate tubes at 37 °C for 10 min to help dissolve DNA (*see Note 4*).
9. Estimate DNA concentration by loading an aliquot on an agarose gel or using a dedicated setup.
10. Keep DNA at 4 °C (*see Note 5*).
1. Quantify DNA recovered at former step by UV absorbance at 260 nm.
2. Digest 10  $\mu$ g of DNA for each time point, in a final volume of 100  $\mu$ L, with 20 units of restriction enzyme (ClaI in our experiments) and 2  $\mu$ L RNase A (10 mg/mL) during 6 h. Add 20 more units and leave digestion overnight (*see Note 6*).
1. Pour a 0.35% agarose gel in 300 mL 1 $\times$  TBE buffer, in a cold room to accelerate polymerization. Do *not* add ethidium bromide. After polymerization, handle the gel with much care because it is *very* fragile.
2. Add 20  $\mu$ L of 6 $\times$  loading dye to each digestion and load in wells large enough to accommodate 120  $\mu$ L. Leave one empty well between each sample for convenient cutting at the next step (Fig. 2a).
3. Run gel overnight at 1 V/cm.

- 273  
274  
275  
276  
277  
278  
279  
280  
281  
282  
283
4. With a clean scalpel, cut the lane containing the molecular weight ladder and stain it with ethidium bromide. Measure the distance between which you want to study replication intermediates. For example, if your restriction fragment measures 6 kb, you want to cut first dimension pieces of agarose between ~6 kb and ~12 kb (to catch molecules between  $n$  and  $2n$  in size, *see Note 7*).
  5. Cut four pieces of similar sizes, one for each time point (Fig. 2b). Watch out at this step because 0.35% agarose is *very* fragile and easily breaks.


### 3.6 Second Dimension

- 284  
285  
286  
287  
288  
289  
290  
291  
292  
293  
294  
295  
296  
297  
298  
299
1. Rotate each piece of DNA by 90° and place them in an empty tray (Fig. 2c).
  2. Prepare the volume of agarose 0.9% sufficient to fill the tray up to the height of the four agarose pieces. Add ethidium bromide (0.3 µg/µL final concentration) and let the melted agarose cool down until you may hold the flask (~50 °C).
  3. In the meantime, prepare 1× TBE buffer supplemented with ethidium bromide (0.3 µg/µL final concentration) and fill the migration tank in a cold room.
  4. Pour the cooled down agarose under a chemical hood, at room temperature and wait for solidification.
  5. Take it to the tank in the cold room and migrate the gel at 5 V/cm for ~4.5 h, or until the DNA signal corresponding to linear molecules of  $n$  size reaches the bottom of the gel. Take a picture under 260 nm UV lamp (Fig. 2d).

### 3.7 Transfer

- 300  
301  
302  
303  
304  
305  
306  
307  
308  
309  
310  
311  
312  
313  
314  
315  
316
1. Soak the gel in 1 L depurination solution (0.25 N HCl) for 10 min.
  2. Soak the gel in denaturation solution (0.5 M NaOH/1.5 M NaCl) for 30 min.
  3. Soak the gel in neutralization solution (0.02 M NaOH/1 M NH<sub>4</sub> Acetate) for 30 min.
  4. Cut a positively charged nylon membrane to the size of the gel and soak it in 10× SSC for 5 min (*see Note 8*).
  5. Transfer the gel by setting up a classical Southern blot apparatus, in a tray filled with 10× SSC buffer: a plastic platform, 3 MM paper soaked in 10× SSC, the gel, the membrane, three layers of 3 MM paper soaked in 10× SSC, finished by a thick layer (20 cm) of absorbent paper. The bottom 3 MM paper must be larger than the gel and soaks into the SSC-containing tray. Leave the transfer overnight.
  6. Crosslink DNA to the membrane in a Stratagene Stratalinker at 0.120 J (or a similar UV cross-linker).

## Two-Dimensional Gel Electrophoresis of Trinucleotide Repeats



**Fig. 2** First and second dimension procedures. **(a)** Each of the four (or more) sample is loaded on an agarose gel, leaving one well free between each sample. Migration is performed at low voltage, in the absence of ethidium bromide. **(b)** The lane containing the molecular weight ladder is cut off and stained to make molecular weight measurements. Each lane containing a DNA sample is subsequently cut between the appropriate molecular weights, as measured on the ladder lane. **(c)** Each cut piece of agarose is turned 90° to be run in the second dimension, at high voltage and in the presence of ethidium bromide. **(d)** Left: Second dimension agarose gel at the end of migration. Linear DNA is stained by ethidium bromide. Structured DNA may sometimes be visible as a weak and fuzzy signal above linear molecules. Right: Hybridization with a radioactive probe specific of the locus studied

317

### 318 **3.8 Hybridization**

319

Prehybridization and hybridization are performed at 65 °C in a hybridization oven in a rotating tube.

320

1. Prehybridize the membrane with 10 mL Church buffer.

321

2. Prepare the probe by random priming. Use a purified PCR fragment covering the region of interest. Mix 50–60 ng of this PCR product with 120 pmoles hexadeoxyribonucleotide mix (pd(N)<sub>6</sub>) in 15 µL of 1× random priming buffer (500 mM Tris-HCl (pH 7.0), 100 mM MgSO<sub>4</sub>, 1 mM DTT).

322

323

3. Denature DNA for 5 min at 95 °C, then put rapidly on ice.

324

325

4. Add 3 µL of α <sup>32</sup>P dATP (6000 Ci/mmol), 1 µL of the three remaining dNTP (10 mM each), and 10 units of Klenow polymerase fragment.

326

327

328


329

- 330 5. Incubate at 37 °C for 30–60 min.
- 331 6. Purify the probe a ProbeQuant G50 micro sepharose column
- 332 to quantify the probe. Specific activity must be above  $10^8$  cpm/
- 333  $\mu\text{g}$  DNA in order to obtain a clear signal. Do not use lower
- 334 activity probes.
- 335 7. Denature probe for 5 min at 95 °C and add to the tube
- 336 containing the membrane in the hybridization buffer.
- 337 Hybridization is performed overnight at 65 °C.
- 338 8. On the following day, wash twice the membrane with 100 mL
- 339 of Church washing buffer, for 15 min.
- 340 9. Expose 1–4 days on a phosphor screen before quantification. We
- 341 usually expose 1 day, read the result and possibly reexpose for
- 342 2–4 days if the signal is too weak to be accurately quantified.
- 343

### 3.9 Quantification

We routinely use a Fujifilm FLA-9000 to read phosphor screens, but any similar phosphorimaging system could be used.

1. Draw forms surrounding each of the signal to be quantified: the large spot corresponding to  $n$ , linear DNA, the Y arc, structured molecules migrating as a cone, possibly a pausing signal (Fig. 3 left, *see Note 9*).
2. Copy and paste the forms in an area of the membrane containing only background signal (Fig. 3, right).
3. Export data into a spreadsheet. For each signal, subtract the background of the corresponding form.
4. Only signals that are above background by 20% or more were kept for further calculation. Discard other signals.



**Fig. 3** 2D gel quantification. Left: forms of different shapes are drawn around each signal:  $n$ , linear DNA, Y arc, cone and pausing signal. Right: The same forms are duplicated in another region of the gel in which there is no signal, used as the background to be subtracted from each signal. (Gel originally published by D. Viterbo and G.-F. Richard and reprinted with permission from Elsevier [16])

356  
357  
358  
359  
360

5. If pausing signals are to be quantified, one may determine a Pausing index (P), as the ratio of pausing signal over Y arc signal, compared to their respective areas. Pausing indexes above one indicate a more intense signal at the pausing locus than on the average of the Y arc [18].

---

361 **4 Notes**

362  
363  
364  
365  
366  
367  
368  
369  
370  
371  
372  
373  
374  
375  
376  
377  
378  
379  
380  
381  
382  
383  
384  
385  
386  
387  
388  
389  
390  
391  
392  
393  
394  
395  
396  
397  
398

1. In the present experiment, maximum replication timing was known to occur 50 min after alpha-factor release and S phase was finished by 90 min. When working with other yeast strains than S288C (or BY4741) derivatives, or at other genomic loci than *ARG2*, it is advised to experimentally determine the maximum replication timing in preliminary experiments and possibly adjust the above time point values accordingly.
2. Some authors do not use sodium azide, cells are killed by freezing. However, ATP-dependent DNA nucleases may be still active during subsequent steps of DNA isolation, possibly leading to partial DNA degradation, particularly ssDNA. To limit this potential problem, we prefer to shut down ATP metabolism by poisoning the respiratory chain with sodium azide.
3. During this step, the solution must become blurred over time, indicating that DNA is precipitating. If the solution remains crystal clear, it means that the extraction failed or that too little DNA was recovered to form a visible precipitate. If this happens, it is better to stop the experiment at this stage and start over the time course, rather than pursuing through the long and tedious 2D gel protocol with too little DNA to obtain a clear signal at the end.
4. When pipetting DNA use blue tips or yellow tips whose end was cut off with a clean scalpel and slowly pipette to avoid shearing DNA molecules containing single-stranded regions.
5. After extraction, DNA may be kept at 4 °C for weeks. Do not store at -20 °C, to avoid repeated cycles of freezing and thawing, if several experiments are planned.
6. It is very important at this stage that genomic DNA is totally digested. Partial digestion will result in the DNA of interest migrating above its expected size, ending up in missing it when cutting the first dimension gel.
7. Practically, as a security, we recommend cutting agarose a little bit below the  $n$  size and a little bit above  $2n$  size, to ensure that the entire replication arc will be visible.
8. We used the PerkinElmer charged nylon membrane (NEF1017001PK). However, the experiment may be performed with any other positively charged membrane.

399 9. Due to the physical properties of a 2D gel, the top of a Y arc  
 400 always shows more signal than the rest of the arc. Therefore, if  
 401 planning to study a replication fork pause, choose the restric-  
 402 tion enzyme so that the pause will not be exactly in the middle  
 403 of the restriction fragment, else the pausing signal will be at  
 404 the top of the Y arc and hard to distinguish from the natural  
 405 stronger signal at this location (Fig. 3). The enzyme should be  
 406 chosen so that the pause is in the middle of the ascending or  
 407 descending Y arc.

---

## 408 Acknowledgments

409 Work on 2D gels in our lab was generously supported by the  
 410 Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique (CNRS) and by the  
 411 Institut Pasteur.

## 412 References

- 413 1. Bell L, Byers B (1983) Separation of branched 446  
 414 from linear DNA by two-dimensional gel elec- 447  
 415 trophoresis. *Anal Biochem* 130:527–535 448  
 416 2. Brewer BJ, Fangman WL (1987) The localiza- 449  
 417 tion of replication origins on ARS plasmids in 450  
 418 *S. cerevisiae*. *Cell* 51:463–471 451  
 419 3. Schwartzman JB, Martinez-Robles ML, Lopez 452  
 420 V, Hernandez P, Krimer DB (2012) 2D gels 453  
 421 and their third-dimension potential. *Methods* 454  
 422 57:170–178 455  
 423 4. Schwacha A, Kleckner N (1995) Identification 456  
 424 of double Holliday junctions as intermediates 457  
 425 in meiotic recombination. *Cell* 83:783–791 458  
 426 5. Hunter N, Kleckner N (2001) The single-end 459  
 427 invasion: an asymmetric intermediate at the 460  
 428 double-strand break to double-Holliday junc- 461  
 429 tion transition of meiotic recombination. *Cell* 462  
 430 106:59–70  
 431 6. Kim JC, Mirkin SM (2013) The balancing act 463  
 432 of DNA repeat expansions. *Curr Opin Genet* 464  
 433 *Dev* 23:280–288 465  
 434 7. Richard G-F, Kerrest A, Dujon B (2008) 466  
 435 Comparative genomics and molecular dynam- 467  
 436 ics of DNA repeats in eukaryotes. *Microbiol* 468  
 437 *Mol Biol Rev* 72:686–727 469  
 438 8. Pearson CE, Edamura KN, Cleary JD (2005) 470  
 439 Repeat instability: mechanisms of dynamic 471  
 440 mutations. *Nat Rev Genet* 6:729–742 472  
 441 9. McMurray CT (2010) Mechanisms of trinucle- 473  
 442 otide repeat instability during human develop- 474  
 443 ment. *Nat Rev Genet* 11:786–799 475  
 444 10. Usdin K, House NC, Freudenreich CH (2015) 476  
 445 Repeat instability during DNA repair: insights 477  
 478 from model systems. *Crit Rev Biochem Mol* 478  
 479 *Biol* 50(2):142–167. <https://doi.org/10.3109/10409238.2014.999192> 479  
 480 11. Orr HT, Zoghbi HY (2007) Trinucleotide 480  
 481 repeat disorders. *Annu Rev Neurosci* 481  
 482 30:575–621 482  
 483 12. McMurray CT (1999) DNA secondary struc- 483  
 484 ture: a common and causative factor for expan- 484  
 485 sion in human disease. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S* 485  
 486 *A* 96:1823–1825 486  
 487 13. Samadashwily G, Raca G, Mirkin SM (1997) 487  
 488 Trinucleotide repeats affect DNA replication 488  
 489 in vivo. *Nat Genet* 17:298–304 489  
 490 14. Pelletier R, Krasilnikova MM, Samadashwily 490  
 491 GM, Lahue R, Mirkin SM (2003) Replication 491  
 492 and expansion of trinucleotide repeats in yeast. 492  
 493 *Mol Cell Biol* 23:1349–1357 493  
 494 15. Anand RP et al (2012) Overcoming natural rep- 494  
 495 lication barriers: differential helicase require- 495  
 496 ments. *Nucleic Acids Res* 40:1091–1105 496  
 497 16. Viterbo D, Michoud G, Mosbach V, Dujon B, 497  
 498 Richard G-F (2016) Replication stalling and 498  
 499 heteroduplex formation within CAG/CTG 499  
 500 trinucleotide repeats by mismatch repair. *DNA* 500  
 501 *Repair* 42:94–106 501  
 502 17. Saini N et al (2013) Migrating bubble during 502  
 503 break-induced replication drives conservative 503  
 504 DNA synthesis. *Nature* 502:389–392 504  
 505 18. Sugawara N, Haber JE (1992) Characterization 505  
 506 of double-strand break-induced recombina- 506  
 507 tion: homology requirements and single- 507  
 508 stranded DNA formation. *Mol Cell Biol* 508  
 509 12:563–575 509

## Two-Dimensional Gel Electrophoresis of Trinucleotide Repeats

- 479 19. Kim JC, Harris ST, Dinter T, Shah KA, Mirkin  
480 SM (2017) The role of break-induced repli- 505  
481 cation in large-scale expansions of (CAG)<sub>n</sub>/ 506  
482 (CTG)<sub>n</sub> repeats. *Nat Struct Mol Biol* 24:55–60
- 483 20. Mosbach V, Poggi L, Viterbo D, Charpentier  
484 M, Richard G-F (2018) TALEN-induced  
485 double-strand break repair of CTG trinucleo-  
486 tide repeats. *Cell Rep* 22:2146–2159
- 487 21. Richard G-F, Goellner GM, McMurray CT,  
488 Haber JE (2000) Recombination-induced  
489 CAG trinucleotide repeat expansions in yeast  
490 involve the MRE11/RAD50/XRS2 complex.  
491 *EMBO J* 19:2381–2390
- 492 22. Mosbach V, Poggi L, Richard G-F (2018)  
493 Trinucleotide repeat instability during double-  
494 strand break repair: from mechanisms to gene  
495 therapy. *Curr Genet* 65(1):17–28. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00294-018-0865-1)  
496 [doi.org/10.1007/s00294-018-0865-1](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00294-018-0865-1)
- 497 23. Gomes-Pereira M, Monckton DG (2017)  
498 Ethidium bromide modifies the agarose elec-  
499 trophoretic mobility of CAG•CTG alternative  
500 DNA structures generated by PCR. *Front Cell*  
501 *Neurosci* 11:153
- 502 24. Fierro-Fernandez M, Hernandez P, Krimer  
503 DB, Schwartzman JB (2007) Replication fork  
504 reversal occurs spontaneously after digestion  
but is constrained in supercoiled domains. *J Biol Chem* 282:18190–18196
- 505 25. Fierro-Fernandez M, Hernandez P, Krimer  
506 DB, Schwartzman JB (2007) Topological lock-  
507 ing restrains replication fork reversal. *Proc Natl*  
508 *Acad Sci USA* 104:1500–1505
- 509 26. Marbouty M, Ermont C, Dujon B, Richard  
510 G-F, Koszul R (2014) Purification of G1  
511 daughter cells from different *Saccharomyces*  
512 species through an optimized centrifugal elu-  
513 triation procedure. *Yeast* 31:159–166
- 514 27. Holmes A et al (2012) Lsd1 and lsd2 control pro-  
515 grammed replication fork pauses and imprinting  
516 in fission yeast. *Cell Rep* 2:1513–1520
- 517 28. Lopes M, Cotta-Ramusino C, Liberi G, Foiani  
518 M (2003) Branch migrating sister chromatid  
519 junctions form at replication origins through  
520 Rad51/Rad52-independent mechanisms. *Mol*  
521 *Cell* 12:1499–1510
- 522 29. Liberi G et al (2006) Methods to study replica-  
523 tion fork collapse in budding yeast. *Methods*  
524 *Enzym* 409:442–462
- 525 30. Brachmann CB et al (1998) Designer deletion  
526 strains derived from *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*  
527 S288C: a useful set of strains and plasmids  
528 for PCR-mediated gene disruption and other  
529 applications. *Yeast* 14:115–132
- 530  
531

# Author Query

Chapter No.: 4      0004441421

Queries	Details Required	Author's Response
AU1	Please check whether the author name and affiliation are presented correctly.	

Uncorrected Proof