

Candida albicans biofilms are generally devoid of persister cells

Iryna Denega, Christophe d'Enfert, Sophie Bachellier-Bassi

▶ To cite this version:

Iryna Denega, Christophe d'Enfert, Sophie Bachellier-Bassi. Candida albicans biofilms are generally devoid of persister cells. Antimicrobial Agents and Chemotherapy, 2019, 63 (5), pp.1-9. 10.1128/AAC.01979-18. pasteur-02086290

HAL Id: pasteur-02086290 https://pasteur.hal.science/pasteur-02086290

Submitted on 1 Apr 2019

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



1 Candida albicans biofilms are generally devoid of persister cells 2 Iryna Denega^{1,2}, Christophe d'Enfert¹ and Sophie Bachellier-Bassi^{1,#} 3 4 5 ¹Institut Pasteur, INRA, Unité Biologie et Pathogénicité Fongiques, 25 rue du Docteur Roux, Paris, France 6 7 ²Univ. Paris Diderot, Sorbonne Paris Cité, Cellule Pasteur, Paris, France 8 9 *Corresponding author: Sophie Bachellier-Bassi, Institut Pasteur, Unité Biologie et 10 Pathogénicité Fongiques, Département Mycologie, 25 rue du Docteur Roux, F-75015 11 12 Paris, France; Phone: +33 (1) 45 68 86 19; E-mail: sophie.bachellierbassi@pasteur.fr 13 14 15 16 17 RUNNING TITLE: Lack of persister cells in C. albicans biofilms KEYWORDS: Candida albicans, biofilms, antifungal tolerance, persistence 18 19

ABSTRACT

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

Candida albicans is known for its ability to form biofilms - communities of microorganisms embedded in an extracellular matrix developing on different surfaces. Biofilms are highly tolerant to antifungal therapy. This phenomenon has been partially explained by the appearance of so-called persister cells, phenotypic variants of wild-type cells, capable of surviving very high concentrations of antimicrobial agents. Persister cells in C. albicans were found exceptionally in biofilms while none were detected in planktonic cultures of this fungus. Yet, this topic remains controversial as others could not observe persister cells in biofilms formed by the *C. albicans* SC5314 laboratory strain. Due to ambiguous data in the literature, this work aimed to re-evaluate the presence of persister cells in *C. albicans* biofilms. We demonstrated that isolation of C. albicans "persister cells" as described previously was likely to be the result of survival of biofilm cells that were not reached by the antifungal. We tested biofilms of SC5314 and its derivatives, as well as 95 clinical isolates, using an improved protocol, demonstrating that persister cells are not a characteristic trait of C. albicans biofilms. Although some clinical isolates are able to yield survivors upon the antifungal treatment of biofilms, this phenomenon is rather stochastic and inconsistent.

38

INTRODUCTION

40

41 The yeast Candida albicans is a commensal of humans but also one of the most 42 prevalent fungal pathogens, responsible for superficial infections as well as life-43 threatening systemic infections (1). C. albicans is recognized for its ability to form 44 biofilms that are most frequently associated with nosocomial infections, particularly in 45 immunocompromised patients. 46 C. albicans biofilms are communities of microorganisms with a complex structure 47 composed of different cell types embedded in an extracellular matrix (2-4). They develop on different types of surfaces, either living or inert, and are characterized by 48 49 their high tolerance to antifungals. The latter can result from the properties of the 50 extracellular matrix that can serve as a trap for drug molecules (5-7). An additional 51 source of antifungal tolerance has been proposed to result from the occurrence in 52 biofilms of so-called persister cells, a subpopulation of phenotypic variants of wild-53 type cells, capable of surviving concentrations of antimicrobial agents well above the Minimal Inhibitory Concentration (MIC) (8). Persister cells were first described in 54 55 bacterial cultures as a drug tolerant subpopulation, that upon removal of the 56 antimicrobial agent gave rise to a new population of susceptible cells (9). Persisters 57 are known to be genetically identical to the rest of the population, thus persistence is 58 a non-inherited trait (10–12). 59 In the clinical setting, persisters are usually associated with relapse of infections and 60 with the development of chronic infections. For bacterial persisters, several 61 mechanisms and pathways involved in their development have been described (13). 62 In 2006, LaFleur et al. have presented the first report of persister cells in biofilms of C. albicans, which could contribute to biofilm tolerance to antifungals (8). In their 63 64 paper, the authors have reported that C. albicans exhibit a biphasic killing curve,

when exposed to the antifungals such as amphotericin B (AMB), chlorhexidine or the combination of both. This phenomenon is explained by the presence of a multidrugtolerant subpopulation of persister cells within a biofilm, while planktonic cultures of C. albicans were found to be devoid of persisters. Notably, the experiments for this study were performed using in vitro biofilm model of C. albicans, developed in polystyrene 96-well plates. Following this work and relying on the protocol for persister cells isolation described therein (8), persister cells in C. albicans biofilms were described by a few other groups (14-16). However, later work by the Douglas group showed that not all Candida species and strains were able to form persister cells in laboratory-grown biofilms (17). This was in particular the case for C. albicans strain SC5314 (18), the parental strain of almost all C. albicans strains used for functional genomics and molecular genetics studies. Unlike in the previously mentioned papers (8, 14-16), the protocol Al-Dhaheri and Douglas (17) used for persisters isolation involved growing biofilms on silicone discs followed by their immersion into an antifungal solution. As the topic of C. albicans persister cells remains controversial, the main objective of this work was to re-evaluate their occurrence in in vitro-grown C. albicans biofilms.

82

83

84

81

65

66

67

68

69

70

71

72

73

74

75

76

77

78

79

80

METHODS

Strains and growth conditions

- 85 In this study we used 3 reference strains (listed in Table 1) and a set of 95 C.
- 86 albicans, 3 C. tropicalis and 3 C. parapsilosis clinical isolates (Table S1).
- 87 Yeast precultures were grown overnight in YPD (1% yeast extract, 2% peptone, 2%
- 88 glucose) with shaking at 30°C.

Biofilms were grown either in RPMI 1640 medium with L-glutamine (buffered with 50 mM HEPES), as described in (8) and (20), or in GHAUM medium (SD supplemented

with 2% glucose and 1 mg/mL histidine, 1 mg/mL arginine, 0.02 mg/mL uridine and 2

92 mg/mL methionine (21)).

Resistance was checked on solid YNG (6,7 g/L yeast nitrogen base without amino

acids and with ammonium sulfate, 2% glucose and 2% agar) supplemented with 10

95 μg/mL AMB.

96

97

102

104

105

106

107

108

109

110

111

112

113

91

93

94

Biofilm growth and persister cells isolation

98 To assess persister cell appearance in biofilms we used two protocols adapted either

99 from (8) or (14). The first protocol uses 96-well plates and the biofilms are grown in

100 RPMI. In the second protocol the biofilms are grown in 24-well plates but using

101 GHAUM medium instead of YNB.

Biofilm growth

103 Overnight cultures were washed in sterile 1x PBS and diluted in the corresponding

medium to OD₆₀₀ 0.3. Either 100 µL or 1 mL of cells in the 96-well plate or the 24-well

plate, respectively, were allowed to adhere for 1.5 h without agitation. The non-

adhered cells were then washed with 1X PBS, the same volume of fresh medium

was added, plates were covered with a breathable seal and biofilms were allowed to

form for 48 h at 37°C with agitation (110 rpm) with a medium change after 24 hours.

Antifungal treatment

Media were carefully aspirated from the 48 h-old biofilms, without disrupting the

biofilm structure. Biofilms were washed once with either 100 µL or 1 mL of 1x PBS,

respectively, and treated with a 100 µg/mL AMB solution in either RPMI or GHAUM

for 24 hours at 37°C, statically. AMB solutions were prepared from an 8 mg/mL stock

in DMSO, so that the final concentration of DMSO in a working solution did not exceed 1.25%. For control biofilms, corresponding amount of DMSO was added to the medium instead of the antifungal solution.

This step was either performed using the same volumes of antifungal solution as for biofilm growth as described in (8) and (14) or increasing the volume of antifungal to fill the well up to the top (350 µL or 3 mL for 96- and 24-well plates, respectively).

Clinical isolates were first treated with 64 µg/mL AMB solution. Strains giving rise to colonies were then tested 5 times with 100 µg/mL AMB.

Plating

Upon 24 hours of antifungal treatment, AMB solution was aspirated and biofilms were washed twice with 1X PBS prior to plating on YPD-agar plates. Biofilms were resuspended in 1x PBS/0.05% Tween-20. For the AMB-treated samples, the whole biofilms were plated. For control biofilms, serial dilutions were performed to allow CFU counting. CFU were counted after incubating the plates at 30°C for 48 h.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

In this work, we aimed to study the occurrence of persister cells in *C. albicans* biofilms. We applied the protocol published by LaFleur and colleagues, growing the biofilms in RPMI and in a 96-well plate format (8). We set up the protocol with 3 *C. albicans* prototroph strains, namely SC5314, CEC369 and CEC4664 - prototroph derivatives of BWP17 (22) and SN76 (23), respectively. BWP17 and SN76 are independent auxotroph derivatives of SC5314.

We encountered a technical problem at the biofilm recovery step, usually performed by scraping the cells in 1x PBS and vortexing prior to plating (8, 14, 16, 24). In our hands, the cells could not be properly resuspended and plated, as clumps of the

biofilms would usually remain stranded inside the tips. Consequently, the CFU numbers obtained were highly variable for all samples, making any further analysis and comparison impossible (data not shown). We decided to test alternative approaches to circumvent the stickiness of biofilms. Resuspending cells in 20% glycerol/1X PBS for plating helped reducing stickiness, but did not improve consistency (data not shown). We hypothesized that EDTA might reduce adherence of biofilms by binding bivalent cations that are required for the activity of cell surface adhesins (25). Thus, we attempted applying 20% glycerol with a range of EDTA concentrations (0, 50, 100 mM) for plating. 100 µL of EDTA solutions of different concentrations were added to biofilms and left for 10 minutes at room temperature prior to biofilm disruption by scraping and vortexing. None of the applied EDTA solutions allowed abolishing stickiness. Additionally, colonies growing on YPD-agar exhibited a wrinkled morphology, most probably linked to the toxicity of EDTA (26). Finally, we tried adding Tween-20 (0.05%) to PBS. Tween-20 eradicated the problems of stickiness and poor disruption and improved recovery of cells from the biofilms (Fig. 1). The effect on cell viability was tested using a planktonic culture of SC5314 that was washed and plated on YPD-agar using PBS and PBS-Tween-20 solutions. No impact on viability was observed (data not shown). Thus, in the experiments described below, biofilms were resuspended in a 0.05% Tween-20/1X PBS solution. However, even after this modification, the ratio of cells that survived AMB treatment was still inconsistent between repeats. According to Lafleur and colleagues the ratios of *C. albicans* persister cells in biofilms vary from 0.1% to 2% for different strains, notably from 0.05 to 0.1% for strain CAI4 - a derivative of C. albicans SC5314 (8). Our values hardly ever exceeded 0.01% persisters per biofilm, even after improving

139

140

141

142

143

144

145

146

147

148

149

150

151

152

153

154

155

156

157

158

159

160

161

162

the recovery protocol, thus bordering with statistical error. We reasoned that increasing the surface of a biofilm and changing the growth media could improve persister yields and decided to test the protocol described in (14), applying the modifications that were mentioned previously. However, the problem of inconsistency and low ratios of persisters remained (Fig. 2). In all protocols described previously, the volumes of the media and solutions used for biofilm growth, washing, and AMB treatment were identical. Upon a careful observation, we noticed that C. albicans cells form a dense rim at the border of the air and liquid phases, as a result of agitation during growth. Treating a biofilm with the exact same volume of antifungal and growth medium in static conditions thus could result in cells from the rim escaping treatment. We decided to increase the volume of the applied antifungal solution (filling wells to the top) and, to our surprise, this change in the protocol led to a complete eradication of persisters for the laboratory strain SC5314 and its derivatives. Reproducibly, we did not get any persisters after applying this change for all strains for both RPMI- and GHAUM-grown biofilms. Thus, the volume of the antifungal applied in the original protocols for persister isolation was skewing the results. Increasing the volume of antifungal eliminated this bias, resulting in a complete eradication of any survivors after the antifungal treatment. In our work we used a modified protocol for persister cells isolation with a starting cell suspension of OD₆₀₀ 0.3 used for biofilm growth instead of 0.1 as described in the original protocols (8, 14). To assess the impact of the initial cell number used for seeding biofilms on persister cells' appearance, we tested our protocol for SC5314 using cell suspensions of OD₆₀₀ 0.1, 0.3 and 0.5 for seeding. Regardless of the initial biomass, persister cells did not form in SC5314 biofilms grown either in RPMI or GHAUM (data not shown).

164

165

166

167

168

169

170

171

172

173

174

175

176

177

178

179

180

181

182

183

184

185

186

187

These results made us question the very existence of persister cells in C. albicans biofilms. Previously, Al-Dhaheri and Douglas showed that not all strains of C. albicans can form persister cells (17). Particularly, in their hands, SC5314 biofilms lost all viability after exposure to 30 µg/mL AMB. However, biofilms of another clinical isolate, GDH2346, appeared to contain a small proportion (0.01%) of cells that survived 100 µg/mL AMB treatment. These authors used a different in vitro model for assessing persistence, as they grew biofilms on silicone disks that were transferred to a new well filled with an antifungal solution. This prevented an escape of any cells from the antifungal treatment. Thus, our modified protocol for treatment of biofilms formed in 96-well or 24-well plates corroborated the results obtained by the Douglas group for *C. albicans* strain SC5314 (17). Since the clinical isolate GDH2346 could give rise to survivors (17), we could not exclude that persisters could emerge in biofilms of different C. albicans isolates. Additionally in 2010, LaFleur and colleagues isolated and described C. albicans strains from patients with long-term oral infection, that gave yield to increased levels of persisters (up to 8.9%) (24). These were called hip-mutants, by analogy with the high persister strains previously described for bacteria (27, 28). Although hip-mutants were identified using a protocol that showed limitations in our hands, we hypothesized that some C. albicans clinical isolates could generally be more prone to form persisters than others (namely SC5314). To test this assumption, we tested 95 clinical isolates (Table S1) for their ability to form biofilms and the occurrence of persister cells following AMB treatment. In a first round of experiments, biofilms were treated with a 64 µg/mL AMB solution. Only 38 isolates (39.6%) displayed survivors (notably, never exceeding a rate of 0.02%). According to the generally accepted concept of persistence (10), the frequency of persisters' appearance is independent

189

190

191

192

193

194

195

196

197

198

199

200

201

202

203

204

205

206

207

208

209

210

211

212

of the increase in antibiotic concentration. Thus in a second round of experiments, biofilms were developed for these 38 isolates and treated with a 100 µg/mL AMB solution. Notably, only 7 isolates out of these 38 displayed survivors when grown with 100 μg/mL AMB (CEC3622, CEC3668, CEC3669, CEC4514, CEC4521, CEC5317, CEC5318). These 7 strains, together with 4 other isolates randomly picked in the remaining 31 strains (CEC712, CEC3708, CEC3711, CEC5316), were tested seven more times with 100 µg/mL of AMB. In most cases these strains did not yield persister cells (Fig. 3); however, 7 strains (CEC3622, CEC3669, CEC4514, CEC4521, CEC5316, CEC5317 and CEC5318) gave rise to small numbers of survivors in one to four of the experiments (Fig. 3), with the survival rate never exceeding 9.1*10⁻⁴% per biofilm (for CEC3622). This could be explained either by the stochastic nature of persistence as a phenomenon or by technical errors during the experiment. We tested up to 30 randomly picked colonies for three isolates (CEC3622, CEC4514 and CEC5316) on YNG medium containing 10 µg/mL of AMB. None of the tested colonies was able to grow in presence of amphotericin B (data not shown), proving that their survival was not a result of AMB resistance development. With an improved protocol in our hands, we decided to test other Candida species for their ability to form persister cells in biofilms. Previously, Al Dhaheri and Douglas (17) reported that clinical isolates of C. krusei (Glasgow strain) and C. parapsilosis (AAHB 4479) developed persister cells in biofilms (approximately 0.001% and 0.07%, respectively) upon treatment with 100 µg/mL AMB. We selected 3 clinical isolates of C. tropicalis (CEC5296, CEC5297, CEC5298) and 3 of C. parapsilosis (CEC5299, CEC5300, CEC5301) from our lab collection to test with our protocol. One of the C. tropicalis strains (CEC5298), as well as the 3 selected C. parapsilosis strains were

214

215

216

217

218

219

220

221

222

223

224

225

226

227

228

229

230

231

232

233

234

235

236

237

unable to grow as biofilms, and were excluded from the study. *C. tropicalis* CEC5296 and CEC5297 formed proper biofilms, with a small fraction of persisters varying between 2*10⁻⁵-6.4*10⁻³% and 2.3*10⁻⁷-2.6*10⁻⁴% respectively (data not shown). Such low values are comparable to the survival rates we observed for some of the *C. albicans* clinical isolates tested in this study. As before, we cannot exclude that these survivors are persister cells arising within *C. tropicalis* biofilms, or that they are the consequence of a technical error during the experiment.

246

247

248

249

250

251

252

253

254

255

256

257

258

259

260

261

262

263

239

240

241

242

243

244

245

CONCLUSION

Since 1944, when Bigger first described persister cells in Staphylococcus (9), many advances have been made in exploring this phenomenon, especially in bacteria. It is known that microbial cultures growing in vivo can sometimes be very difficult to eradicate completely by an antibiotic treatment, causing relapses or development of chronic infections in patients. From an evolutionary point of view, a small pool of cells with the same genotype as the rest of the population but differing in their ability to tolerate stress - including drug treatment - provides a form of insurance to the population. The phenomenon of persistence has not only been described for bacteria, but also in other types of pathogens, and it has been proposed that persister cells significantly contributed to the recalcitrance of C. albicans biofilms to antifungal treatments (29-31). C. albicans persister cells were first described in 2006 (8), and since then just a handful of reports, sometimes contradictory, have been presented. In our study, we explored standard protocols to obtain persisters, and showed that their proportion in biofilms formed by different C. albicans strains has been overestimated. Only AlDhaheri and Douglas did not detect persisters in SC5314 biofilms (17). In their study, biofilms were grown on silicon discs that were transferred in antifungal solutions for treatment. In contrast, the other published experiments were performed using 96-well plates and RPMI medium, or 24-well plates and SD-based medium, while keeping the incubation volumes constant throughout the experiment (8, 14–16). In this study, we modified the latter protocols (8, 14-16) by increasing the volume of antifungal. This change led to the eradication of biofilms, indicating that previously detected "persisters" were likely the result of survival of cells that were not reached by the antifungal. Our results corroborate the findings of Al-Dhaheri and Douglas (17). Notably, these authors were able to detect some persisters in biofilms of a clinical isolate (17), but the ratio obtained was much lower (0.01%) than the numbers published by others (8, 14). Although some of the clinical isolates of C. albicans and C. tropicalis tested in our study were occasionally able to yield survivors after the treatment of biofilms with AMB, this phenomenon was rather inconsistent, pointing either to the stochastic nature of persistence itself, or another skew in the protocol while carrying out particular experiments. At this time, we cannot completely exclude the possibility of persistence in all C. albicans strains, though with the described protocol we managed to disprove their presence for 92 C. albicans strains out of 98. It is important to stress that our results reflect only the behaviour of C. albicans biofilms grown in vitro; we cannot rule out that in the context of the host, persister cells could appear and contribute to the general resistance and dissemination of *C. albicans*.

286

287

264

265

266

267

268

269

270

271

272

273

274

275

276

277

278

279

280

281

282

283

284

285

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

- 288 Iryna Denega is part of the Pasteur Paris University (PPU) International PhD
- 289 Program. This project has received funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020
- 290 research and innovation programme under the Marie Sklodowska-Curie grant
- 291 agreement N° 665807, and from the Institut Carnot Pasteur Microbes & Santé. This
- 292 work has been supported by grants from the French Government's Investissement
- 293 d'Avenir program (Laboratoire d'Excellence Integrative Biology of Emerging
- 294 Infectious Diseases, ANR-10-LABX-62-IBEID) to C.d'E.

295

296

REFERENCES

- 297 1. Sardi JCO, Scorzoni L, Bernardi T, Fusco-Almeida AM, Mendes Giannini MJS.
- 298 2013. Candida species: current epidemiology, pathogenicity, biofilm formation,
- 299 natural antifungal products and new therapeutic options. J Med Microbiol 62:10–24.
- 300 2. Ramage G, Saville SP, Thomas DP, López-Ribot JL. 2005. Candida biofilms:
- an update. Eukaryot Cell 4:633–638.
- 302 3. Nobile CJ, Johnson AD. 2015. Candida albicans biofilms and human disease.
- 303 Annu Rev Microbiol 69:71-92.
- 4. Chandra J, Kuhn DM, Mukherjee PK, Hoyer LL, McCormick T, Ghannoum
- 305 MA. 2001. Biofilm formation by the fungal pathogen *Candida albicans*: development,
- architecture, and drug resistance. J Bacteriol 183:5385–5394.
- 5. Nett JE, Crawford K, Marchillo K, Andes DR. 2010. Role of Fks1p and matrix
- 308 glucan in Candida albicans biofilm resistance to an echinocandin, pyrimidine, and
- 309 polyene. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 54:3505–3508.
- 6. Nett JE, Sanchez H, Cain MT, Andes DR. 2010. Genetic basis of Candida
- 311 biofilm resistance due to drug sequestering matrix glucan. J Infect Dis 202:171–175.

- 7. Nett JE, Sanchez H, Cain MT, Ross KM, Andes DR. 2011. Interface of
- 313 Candida albicans biofilm matrix-associated drug resistance and cell wall integrity
- regulation. Eukaryot Cell 10:1660–1669.
- 8. LaFleur M. 2006. Candida albicans bofilms produce antifungal-tolerant
- persister cells. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 50:3839–3846.
- 9. Bigger J. 1944. Treatment of staphylococcal infections with penicillin by
- intermittent sterilisation. The Lancet 244:497–500.
- 10. Brauner A, Fridman O, Gefen O, Balaban NQ. 2016. Distinguishing between
- 320 resistance, tolerance and persistence to antibiotic treatment. Nat Rev Microbiol
- 321 14:320–330.
- 322 11. Lewis K. 2007. Persister cells, dormancy and infectious disease. Nat Rev
- 323 Microbiol 5:48-56.
- 12. Lewis K. 2010. Persister cells. Annu Rev Microbiol 64:357–372.
- 325 13. Harms A, Maisonneuve E, Gerdes K. 2016. Mechanisms of bacterial
- persistence during stress and antibiotic exposure. Science 354:aaf4268.
- 327 14. Li P, Seneviratne CJ, Alpi E, Vizcaino JA, Jin L. 2015. Delicate metabolic
- 328 control and coordinated stress response critically determine antifungal tolerance of
- 329 Candida albicans biofilm persisters. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 59:6101–6112.
- 330 15. Truong T, Zeng G, Qingsong L, Kwang LT, Tong C, Chan FY, Wang Y,
- 331 Seneviratne CJ. 2016. Comparative ploidy proteomics of Candida albicans biofilms
- 332 unraveled the role of the AHP1 gene in the biofilm persistence against amphotericin
- 333 B. Mol Cell Proteomics 15:3488–3500.
- 16. Sun J, Li Z, Chu H, Guo J, Jiang G, Qi Q. 2016. Candida albicans
- 335 amphotericin B-tolerant persister formation is cosely related to surface adhesion.
- 336 Mycopathologia 181:41–49.

- 337 17. Al-Dhaheri RS, Douglas LJ. 2008. Absence of amphotericin B-tolerant
- 338 persister cells in biofilms of some Candida species. Antimicrob Agents Chemother
- 339 52:1884–1887.
- 340 18. Gillum AM, Tsay EY, Kirsch DR. 1984. Isolation of the Candida albicans gene
- 341 for orotidine-5'-phosphate decarboxylase by complementation of S. cerevisiae ura3
- and E. coli pyrF mutations. Mol Gen Genet 198:179–182.
- 19. Enjalbert B, Rachini A, Vediyappan G, Pietrella D, Spaccapelo R, Vecchiarelli
- 344 A, Brown AJP, d'Enfert C. 2009. A Multifunctional, synthetic Gaussia princeps
- 345 luciferase reporter for live imaging of Candida albicans infections. Infect Immun
- 346 **77:4847–4858**.
- 347 20. De Brucker K, De Cremer K, Cammue BPA, Thevissen K. 2016. Protocol for
- 348 determination of the persister subpopulation in Candida albicans biofilms. Methods
- 349 Mol Biol 1333:67-72.
- 350 21. Cabral V, Znaidi S, Walker LA, Martin-Yken H, Dague E, Legrand M, Lee K,
- 351 Chauvel M, Firon A, Rossignol T, Richard ML, Munro CA, Bachellier-Bassi S,
- 352 d'Enfert C. 2014. Targeted changes of the cell wall proteome influence Candida
- 353 albicans ability to form single- and multi-strain biofilms. PLoS Pathog 10:e1004542.
- 354 22. Wilson RB, Davis D, Mitchell AP. 1999. Rapid hypothesis testing with Candida
- 355 albicans through gene disruption with short homology regions. J Bacteriol 181:1868–
- 356 1874.
- 357 23. Noble SM, Johnson AD. 2005. Strains and strategies for large-scale gene
- 358 deletion studies of the diploid human fungal pathogen Candida albicans. Eukaryot
- 359 Cell 4:298-309.
- 360 24. LaFleur MD, Qi Q, Lewis K. 2010. Patients with long-term oral carriage harbor
- high-persister mutants of Candida albicans. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 54:39–44.

- 362 25. Klotz SA, Rutten MJ, Smith RL, Babcock SR, Cunningham MD. 1993.
- 363 Adherence of Candida albicans to immobilized extracellular matrix proteins is
- mediated by calcium-dependent surface glycoproteins. Microb Pathog 14:133–147.
- 365 26. Chudzik B, Malm A, Rautar B, Polz-Dacewicz M. 2007. In vitro inhibitory
- 366 activity of EDTA against planktonic and adherent cells of Candida sp. Ann Microbiol
- 367 **57:115**.
- 368 27. Moyed HS, Bertrand KP. 1983. hipA, a newly recognized gene of Escherichia
- 369 coli K-12 that affects frequency of persistence after inhibition of murein synthesis. J
- 370 Bacteriol 155:768–775.
- 28. Wolfson JS, Hooper DC, McHugh GL, Bozza MA, Swartz MN. 1990. Mutants
- 372 of Escherichia coli K-12 exhibiting reduced killing by both quinolone and beta-lactam
- antimicrobial agents. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 34:1938–1943.
- 29. Borghi E, Borgo F, Morace G. 2016. Fungal Biofilms: update on resistance, p.
- 375 37–47. In Fungal Biofilms and related infections. Springer, Cham.
- 376 30. Ramage G, Rajendran R, Sherry L, Williams C. 2012. Fungal biofilm
- 377 resistance. Int J Microbiol 2012: 1-14.
- 378 31. Mathé L, Dijck PV. 2013. Recent insights into Candida albicans biofilm
- resistance mechanisms. Curr Genet 59:251–264.
- 380 32. Ropars J, Ropars J, Maufrais C, Diogo D, Marcet-Houben M, Perin A, Sertour
- 381 N, Mosca K, Permal E, Laval G, Bouchier C, Ma L, Schwartz K, Voelz K, May RC,
- 382 Poulain J, Battail C, Wincker P, Borman AM, Chowdhary A, Fan S, Kim SH, Pape
- 383 PL, Romeo O, Shin JH, Gabaldon T, Sherlock G, Bougnoux M-E, d'Enfert C. 2018.
- 384 Gene flow contributes to diversification of the major fungal pathogen Candida
- 385 *albicans*. Nature Communications 9:2253.

- 38. Bougnoux M-E, Morand S, d'Enfert C. 2002. Usefulness of multilocus
- 387 sequence typing for characterization of clinical isolates of Candida albicans. J Clin
- 388 Microbiol 40:1290-1297.
- 389 34. Odds FC, Bougnoux M-E, Shaw DJ, Bain JM, Davidson AD, Diogo D,
- 390 Jacobsen MD, Lecomte M, Li S-Y, Tavanti A, Maiden MCJ, Gow NAR, d'Enfert C.
- 391 2007. Molecular Phylogenetics of Candida albicans. Eukaryot Cell 6:1041–1052.
- 392 35. Bougnoux M-E, Kac G, Aegerter P, d'Enfert C, Fagon J-Y, CandiRea Study
- 393 Group. 2008. Candidemia and candiduria in critically ill patients admitted to intensive
- 394 care units in France: incidence, molecular diversity, management and outcome.
- 395 Intensive Care Med 34:292–299.
- 396 36. Sdoudi K, Bougnoux M-E, Hamoumi RE, Diogo D, Mdaghri NE, d'Enfert C,
- 397 RazkiA. 2014. Phylogeny and Diversity of Candida albicans Vaginal Isolates from
- 398 Three Continents. Int J Curr Microbiol App Sci. 3:471–480.
- 399 37. Schönherr FA, Sparber F, Kirchner FR, Guiducci E, Trautwein-Weidner K,
- 400 Gladiator A, Sertour N, Hetzel U, Le GTT, Pavelka N, d'Enfert C, Bougnoux M-E,
- 401 Corti CF, LeibundGut-Landmann S. 2017. The intraspecies diversity of C. albicans
- 402 triggers qualitatively and temporally distinct host responses that determine the
- 403 balance between commensalism and pathogenicity. Mucosal Immunol 10:1335-
- 404 1350.
- 405 38. Shin JH, Bougnoux M-E, d'Enfert C, Kim SH, Moon C-J, Joo MY, Lee K, Kim
- 406 M-N, Lee HS, Shin MG, Suh SP, Ryang DW. 2011. Genetic Diversity among Korean
- 407 Candida albicans Bloodstream Isolates: Assessment by Multilocus Sequence Typing
- 408 and Restriction Endonuclease Analysis of Genomic DNA by Use of BssHII. J Clin
- 409 Microbiol 49:2572–2577.

410 39. Bougnoux M-E, Diogo D, François N, Sendid B, Veirmeire S, Colombel JF, 411 Bouchier C, Van Kruiningen H, d'Enfert C, Poulain D. 2006. Multilocus sequence 412 typing reveals intrafamilial transmission and microevolutions of Candida albicans 413 isolates from the human digestive tract. J Clin Microbiol 44:1810–1820. 414 40. Bougnoux M-E, Aanensen DM, Morand S, Théraud M, Spratt BG, d'Enfert C. 415 2004. Multilocus sequence typing of Candida albicans: strategies, data exchange 416 and applications. Infect Genet Evol 4:243-252. 417 41. Dieng Y, Sow D, Ndiaye M, Guichet E, Faye B, Tine R, Lo A, Sylla K, Ndiaye 418 M, Abiola A, Dieng T, Ndiaye JL, Le Pape P, Gaye O. 2012. Identification of three 419 Candida africana strains in Senegal. J Mycol Med 22:335–340 420 42. Garnaud C, Botterel F, Sertour N, Bougnoux M-E, Dannaoui E, Larrat S, 421 Hennequin C, Guinea J, Cornet M, Maubon D. 2015. Next-generation sequencing 422 offers new insights into the resistance of Candida spp. to echinocandins and azoles. 423 J Antimicrob Chemother 70:2556–2565. 424 425 426 427 FIGURE LEGENDS 428 429 Fig. 1 Effect of Tween 20 on the recovery of CFUs from C. albicans SC5314 430 biofilms. C. albicans SC5314 was allowed to form biofilms in 100 µL RPMI in a 96-431 well plate according to the protocol adapted from (8). Error bars: standard deviation 432 (SD) of 6 biological replicates generated from 2 independent experiments. # - non-

significant difference, *** - significant difference, p=0,0007 (unpaired t-test was

433

434

applied to compare datasets).

Fig. 2. Schemes of the protocols (A) and levels of persisters (B) obtained from biofilms grown using modified protocol from (14). Biofilms were grown in 1 mL of GHAUM medium in 24-well plates before application of either 1 mL of AMB solution (on the left) or 3 mL of AMB solution (on the right). Ratios of surviving cells are as follow: SC5314 – 5.6*10⁻⁴%, CEC369 – 2.6*10⁻⁵%, CEC4664 – 9.4*10⁻⁵%. Error bars: SD of 6 biological replicates generated from 2 independent experiments.

Fig. 3. Analysis of persister cell formation in 11 clinical isolates. Biofilms were grown in 1 mL of GHAUM medium in 24-well plates, and treated with 3 mL of AMB solution (modified protocol from (14)). The values obtained from 7 biofilms were used to draw the graph.

TABLE 1. C. albicans reference strains used in this study

STRAIN	GENOTYPE	REFERENCE
SC5314		(18)
CEC369	ura3::λimm434/ura3::λimm434 ARG4/arg4::hisG HIS1/his1∆::hisG RPS1/RPS1::Clp10	(19)
CEC4664	ura3Δ::λimm434/ura3Δ::λimm434 iro1Δ::λimm434/iro1Δ::λimm434 ADH1/adh1::P _{TDH3} - carTA::SAT1 arg4Δ/ARG4 his1Δ::hisG/HIS1 RPS1/RPS1::Clp10	Lab's collection

TABLE 2. Clinical isolates used in this study

NAME	REFERENCE
CEC704	(32)
CEC712	(32)
CEC718	(32)
CEC723	(32)
CEC1289	(33)
CEC1424	(34)
CEC2018	(35)
CEC2019	(34)
CEC2020	(36)
CEC2021	(35)
CEC2022	(37)
CEC2871	(38)
CEC2876	(38)
CEC3494	(39)
CEC3533	(37)
CEC3534	(36)
CEC3535	(36)
CEC3536	(36)
CEC3540	(32)
CEC3541	(33)
CEC3544	(39)
CEC3547	(39)
CEC3548	(39)
CEC3549	(39)
CEC3550	(40)
CEC3553	(39)
CEC3555	(33)
CEC3556	(36)
CEC3560	(34)
CEC3561	(39)
CEC3596	(33)
CEC3611	(36)
CEC3614	(36)
CEC3615	(36)
CEC3621	(32)
CEC3622	(36)
CEC3623	(32)

```
CEC3626
               (39)
CEC3627
               (36)
               (36)
CEC3634
CEC3637
               (34)
CEC3659
               (35)
CEC3662
               (35)
CEC3663
               (32)
               (35)
CEC3664
CEC3665
               (35)
CEC3668
               (35)
CEC3669
               (35)
CEC3672
               (35)
CEC3675
               (35)
CEC3681
               (35)
CEC3682
               (35)
               (35)
CEC3685
CEC3706
               (35)
CEC3708
               (35)
CEC3711
               (35)
CEC4035
               (32)
CEC4039
               (32)
CEC4103
               (41)
CEC4104
               (41)
CEC4106
               (41)
CEC4108
               (41)
CEC4256
               (42)
CEC4259
               (42)
CEC4481
               (32)
CEC4482
               (32)
CEC4485
               (32)
CEC4486
               (32)
CEC4487
               (32)
               (32)
CEC4488
CEC4489
               (32)
CEC4492
               (32)
CEC4494
               (32)
CEC4495
               Lab's collection
CEC4496
               (32)
CEC4501
               Lab's collection
CEC4504
               Lab's collection
CEC4505
               Lab's collection
CEC4511
               Lab's collection
CEC4514
               Lab's collection
CEC4515
               Lab's collection
CEC4517
               Lab's collection
CEC4521
               Lab's collection
               Lab's collection
CEC4524
CEC4526
               (32)
               Lab's collection
CEC4527
CEC4547
               Lab's collection
CEC4548
               Lab's collection
CEC4549
               Lab's collection
CEC4550
               Lab's collection
CEC4552
               (32)
CEC5029
               (32)
```

CEC5316	Lab's collection
CEC5317	Lab's collection
CEC5318	Lab's collection





