



HAL
open science

Development and validation of four one-step real-time RT-LAMP assays for specific detection of each dengue virus serotype.

Benjamin Lopez-Jimena, Michaël Bekaert, Mohammed Bakheit, Sieghard Frischmann, Pranav Patel, Etienne Simon-Loriere, Louis Lambrechts, Veasna Duong, Philippe Dussart, Graham Harold, et al.

► To cite this version:

Benjamin Lopez-Jimena, Michaël Bekaert, Mohammed Bakheit, Sieghard Frischmann, Pranav Patel, et al.. Development and validation of four one-step real-time RT-LAMP assays for specific detection of each dengue virus serotype.. PLoS Neglected Tropical Diseases, 2018, 12 (5), pp.6381. 10.1371/journal.pntd.0006381 . pasteur-01973492

HAL Id: pasteur-01973492

<https://pasteur.hal.science/pasteur-01973492>

Submitted on 8 Jan 2019

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License

RESEARCH ARTICLE

Development and validation of four one-step real-time RT-LAMP assays for specific detection of each dengue virus serotype

Benjamin Lopez-Jimena^{1*}, Michaël Bekaert¹, Mohammed Bakheit², Sieghard Frischmann², Pranav Patel³, Etienne Simon-Loriere^{4,5}, Louis Lambrechts^{5,6}, Veasna Duong⁷, Philippe Dussart⁷, Graham Harold¹, Cheikh Fall⁸, Oumar Faye⁸, Amadou Alpha Sall⁸, Manfred Weidmann¹

1 Institute of Aquaculture, University of Stirling, Stirling, Scotland, United Kingdom, **2** MAST Diagnostica GmbH, Reinfeld, Germany, **3** Robert Koch Institute, Centre for biological security 1 (ZBS1), Berlin, Germany, **4** Functional Genetics of Infectious Diseases Unit, Department of Genomes and Genetics, Institut Pasteur, Paris, France, **5** Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique, Unité de Recherche Associée, Paris, France, **6** Insect-Virus Interactions Group, Department of Genomes and Genetics, Institut Pasteur, Paris, France, **7** Virology Unit, Institut Pasteur du Cambodge, Institut Pasteur International Network, Phnom Penh, Cambodia, **8** Arbovirus and viral haemorrhagic fever unit, Institut Pasteur de Dakar, Institut Pasteur International Network, Dakar, Senegal

* benjamin.lopez-jimena1@stir.ac.uk



OPEN ACCESS

Citation: Lopez-Jimena B, Bekaert M, Bakheit M, Frischmann S, Patel P, Simon-Loriere E, et al. (2018) Development and validation of four one-step real-time RT-LAMP assays for specific detection of each dengue virus serotype. *PLoS Negl Trop Dis* 12(5): e0006381. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pntd.0006381>

Editor: Ann M. Powers, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, UNITED STATES

Received: September 1, 2016

Accepted: March 12, 2018

Published: May 29, 2018

Copyright: ©2018 Lopez-Jimena et al. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution License](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Data Availability Statement: All relevant data are within the paper and its Supporting Information files.

Funding: This study has been funded by the EU research project DiscoGnosis (Disc-shaped Point-of-Care platform for infectious disease diagnosis). This project was funded by the European Commission under the 7th Framework Programme 2007-2013 for Research and Technological Development of the EU (Grant Agreement No.

Abstract

Background

4 one-step, real-time, reverse transcription loop-mediated isothermal amplification (RT-LAMP) assays were developed for the detection of dengue virus (DENV) serotypes by considering 2,056 full genome DENV sequences. DENV1 and DENV2 RT-LAMP assays were validated with 31 blood and 11 serum samples from Tanzania, Senegal, Sudan and Mauritania. DENV3 and DENV4 RT-LAMP assays were validated with 25 serum samples from Cambodia.

Methodology/Principal findings

4 final reaction primer mixes were obtained by using a combination of Principal Component Analysis of the full DENV genome sequences, and LAMP primer design based on sequence alignments using the LAVA software. These mixes contained 14 (DENV1), 12 (DENV2), 8 (DENV3) and 3 (DENV4) LAMP primer sets. The assays were evaluated with an External Quality Assessment panel from Quality Control for Molecular Diagnostics. The assays were serotype-specific and did not cross-detect with other flaviviruses. The limits of detection, with 95% probability, were 22 (DENV1), 542 (DENV2), 197 (DENV3) and 641 (DENV4) RNA molecules, and 100% reproducibility in the assays was obtained with up to 10² (DENV1) and 10³ RNA molecules (DENV2, DENV3 and DENV4). Validation of the DENV2 assay with blood samples from Tanzania resulted in 23 samples detected by RT-LAMP, demonstrating that the assay is 100% specific and 95.8% sensitive (positive predictive value of 100% and a negative predictive value of 85.7%). All serum samples from Senegal, Sudan and Mauritania were detected and 3 untyped as DENV1. The sensitivity of RT-LAMP for DENV4 samples from Cambodia did not quite match qRT-PCR.

318408) (BLJ, MBa, SF, MW). The authors acknowledge the support of the MASTS pooling initiative (The Marine Alliance for Science and Technology for Scotland) in the completion of this study. MASTS is funded by the Scottish Funding Council (grant reference HR09011) and contributing institutions (MBe). The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

Competing interests: I have read the journal's policy and the authors of this manuscript have the following competing interests: MBa and SF are employed by a commercial company, MAST Diagnostica GmbH, an industrial partner included in the EU-funded DiscoGnosis project.

Conclusions/Significance

we have shown a novel approach to design LAMP primers that makes use of fast growing sequence databases. The DENV1 and DENV2 assays were validated with viral RNA extracted clinical samples, showing very good performance parameters.

Author summary

The co-existence of several dengue virus (DENV) serotypes within the same location and/or individuals as well as a single mosquito being able to carry multiple DENV serotypes highlight the necessity of specific diagnostic tools capable of detect and serotype DENV strains circulating worldwide. In addition, these methodologies must be highly sensitive in order to detect the genome at low levels (i.e., before the onset of clinical symptoms) and not cross-detect other flaviviruses. Isothermal amplification methods (such as reverse transcription loop-mediated isothermal amplification, RT-LAMP) are affordable for laboratories with limited resources and do not need expensive equipment. Because of the increasing number of publicly available full DENV genome sequences, traditional primer design tools are not able to handle such huge amount of information. Therefore, to be able to cover all the diversity documented, we developed 4 complicated oligonucleotide mixes for the individual detection of DENV1-4 serotypes by RT-LAMP. This approach combined Principal Component Analysis, phylogenetic analysis and LAVA algorithm. Our assays are specific and do not cross-react with other arboviruses and DNA pathogens included in this study, they are sensitive and have been validated with samples from Tanzania, Senegal, Sudan, Mauritania and Cambodia, showing very good performance parameters.

Introduction

Dengue is a worldwide public health concern annually affecting more than 100 million people in tropical and subtropical areas [1, 2]. It is caused by dengue virus (DENV), the most common vector-borne viral pathogen of humans, transmitted by mosquitoes of the *Aedes* genus (primarily *A. aegypti* and to a lesser extent *A. albopictus*), as previously reviewed [3]. DENV infection in humans results in a broad spectrum of disease manifestations, ranging from self-limiting, acute febrile illness (dengue fever) to more severe forms of the disease (dengue haemorrhagic fever and dengue shock syndrome), which may lead to death [4]. In 2013, the annual global incidence was estimated close to 390 million DENV infections, which was more than three times the dengue burden estimate of the World Health Organization [2].

DENV is an enveloped virus (genus *Flavivirus*, family *Flaviviridae*) with a genome that consists of a single-stranded, positive-sense RNA molecule of about 11 kb in length. The DENV genome encodes three structural proteins (C, capsid; prM, pre-membrane, and E, envelope) at the N terminus and seven non-structural (NS) proteins (NS1, NS2a, NS2b, NS3, NS4a, NS4b and NS5) [5, 6]. This virus is classified into four phylogenetically related and loosely antigenically distinct serotypes (DENV1, DENV2, DENV3 and DENV4), each of which contains phylogenetically different genotypes [7–9].

DENV outbreaks between 2006 and 2013, in India, Vietnam, Solomon Islands, Myanmar, China, Singapore, Malaysia and Portugal [10–14], highlight the necessity of rapid virus detection to identify DENV as the cause of an outbreak, in order to manage and control virus spread

in infrastructure poor urban, peri-urban and rural settings. Notably, routine detection of DENV in children who are often asymptomatic carriers could improve outbreak control [15]. A first vaccine has recently been licensed for the prevention of dengue, which aims to reduce the number of hospitalizations per year, being approved for people aged between 9 to 45 years [16].

Traditional virus isolation is time-consuming, requires experienced staff, costly facilities and equipment and needs more than seven days to complete the assay [17, 18]. IgM- and IgG-capture enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) are most widely used but some degree of cross-reactivity against other flaviviruses is usually observed and this method is not useful when antibody titers are not sufficiently high (febrile viremic phase) [19]. Molecular amplification techniques to detect DENV RNA (RT-PCR, quantitative RT-PCR—qRT-PCR), which have emerged as a new standard, have a quick turnaround time and can distinguish DENV serotypes [20–26]. However, these techniques require sophisticated equipment and experienced staff, making them unpractical for laboratories with limited resources.

Loop-mediated isothermal amplification (LAMP) has the potential to substitute PCR-based methods because of its simplicity, rapidity, specificity, sensitivity and cost-effectiveness, as no special equipment is needed (just a heating block or water bath capable to maintain a constant temperature between 60°C to 65°C) [27–29]. Reactions can be visualised by monitoring either the turbidity in a photometer or the fluorescence in a fluorimeter, by visual inspection under UV lamp when using an intercalating dye or by colour change [8, 28–36].

Previously reported reverse transcription LAMP (RT-LAMP) assays for DENV target the 3' untranslated region (UTR) [8, 30, 32, 34, 37], whilst other detect a fragment of the C-prM region [33], a conserved region of the NS1 [36], or regions of NS2A (DENV1), NS4A (DENV3), NS4A (DENV2) and the 3' UTR (DENV4) [38]. In all cases information about the primer design is limited as only one sequence per serotype or reference sequences were considered or it is not clearly detailed how the sequence alignment was carried out or how many sequences were included in the design. An initial screen of all published DENV RT-LAMP detection amplicons quickly revealed that all of them fail to cover the documented sequence variation. To improve DENV RT-LAMP design we used the LAMP Assay Versatile Analysis (LAVA) algorithm [39] which solves the limitations of existing LAMP primer-designing programs by allowing designs based on large multiple sequence alignments. Our LAMP design is based on 2,056 whole-genome DENV sequences covering DENV strains from 2004 to 2014 and yielded 4 one-step, real-time RT-LAMP assays to specifically detect each DENV serotype.

Materials and methods

Ethics statement

Ethical approval for retrospective use of the anonymized samples in diagnostic development research was available: Tanzania samples (Ethikkommission Basel in Switzerland, Institutional Review Board of the Ifakara Health Institute and National Institute for Medical Research Review Board in Tanzania), IPD and IPC samples (Ministry of Health of Senegal and National Ethics Committee for Health Research of Cambodia, respectively).

Viral RNA, patient samples and RNA extraction

Virus material: DENV isolates were provided and tested at the Institut Pasteur in Paris (Table 1). TriReagent extracts from flavivirus culture supernatants were provided by M. Weidmann. Inactivated strains ATCC VR-344 (DENV1), ATCC VR-345 (DENV2), ATCC VR-1256 (DENV3) and ATCC-1257 (DENV4) were provided by ENIVD / Robert Koch Institute.

Table 1. RNA samples used in this study. Cross-specificity and cross-detection results.

Provided by	Pathogen	Strains (Serotype)	RT-LAMP protocols			
			DENV1	DENV2	DENV3	DENV4
Robert Koch Institute ^a	DENV	ATCC VR-344 (D1)	+	-	-	-
		ATCC VR-345 (D2)	-	+	-	-
		ATCC VR-1256 (D3)	-	-	+	-
		ATCC VR-1257 (D4)	-	-	-	+
Institut Pasteur Paris ^b	DENV	KDH0026A (D1)	+	-	-	-
		KDH0002A (D1)	+	-	-	-
		KDH0030A (D1)	+	-	-	-
		KDH0032A (D1)	+	-	-	-
		30173/10 (D1)	+	-	-	-
		30520/09 (D1)	+	-	-	-
		DJOM2.9.12 (D1)	+	-	-	-
		R0712259 (D2)	-	+	-	-
		DJ.OS.1.7.12 (D2)	-	+	-	-
		DJ.MO.1.7.12 (D2)	-	+	-	-
		DJWA1.7.12 (D3)	-	-	+	-
		KDH0012A (D3)	-	-	+	-
		KDH0014A (D3)	-	-	+	-
		KDH0010A (D3)	+	-	+	-
		VIMFH4 (D4)	+	-	-	+
University of Stirling ^c	DENV	DEN1/T081117 (D1)	+	-	-	-
	YFV	YFV/T090109	-	-	-	-
	WNV	WNV P2 24.07.08	-	-	-	-
	NTAV	Ntaya P3 DPP 8.8.13	-	-	-	-
Unité des Virus Emergents ^d	ZIKV	H/PF/2013	-	-	-	-
MAST Diagnostica GmbH ^e	<i>S. Typhi</i>	ST	-	-	-	-
	<i>S. Paratyphi</i>	SP	-	-	-	-
	<i>S. pneumoniae</i>	Spn5	-	-	-	-
	<i>P. falciparum</i>	3D7	-	-	-	-

^a Dr Pranav Patel, Robert Koch Institute, Centre for biological security 1 (ZBS1), Berlin, Germany

^b Dr Anavaj Sakuntabhai (Functional Genetics of Infectious Diseases Unit) and Dr Louis Lambrechts (Department of Genomes and Genetics). Isolates from clinical samples in Myanmar, Cambodia, Thailand and Gabon between 2007 and 2010. VIMFH4 was isolated in 1976.

^c Prof. Manfred Weidmann, Institute of Aquaculture, University of Stirling, United Kingdom.

^d Prof. Xavier de Lamballerie, Unité des Virus Emergents, Marseille, France.

^e Dr Mohammed Bakheit, MAST Diagnostica GmbH, Reinfeld, Germany.

<https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pntd.0006381.t001>

An inactivated Zika virus strain (ZIKV, H/PF/2013) was provided by Prof. Xavier de Lamballerie (Unité des Virus Emergents, Marseille, France).

An External Quality Assessment (EQA) 2015 panel was provided by QCMD (Quality Control for Molecular Diagnostics, Glasgow, UK) including ten unknown samples (15–01 to 15–10).

Patient samples: We used RNA extracts of 31 blood samples collected during a fever study in Tanzania, 2013 (Table 2) provided by the Swiss Tropical and Public Health Institute in Basel, Switzerland. These samples included 24 DENV qRT-PCR positive, 2 DENV positive (not characterized by qRT-PCR) and 5 negative samples. In addition, a negative sample from MAST Diagnostica GmbH (Reinfeld, Germany) was included. RNA extracts of 11 DENV

Table 2. Blood samples used in this study, analysed by real-time RT-PCR and RT-LAMP.

Pathogen	Patient ID	C _T values	RNA from 50 µL blood	RNA from 100 µL blood		
			Initial T _T values (min)	Current T _T values (min)		
				Mean	SD	Positives/total replicates
DENV2	1341	26.11	37			
	1371	25.89	38			
	1226	24.38	40			
	1284	27.36	43			
	1329	27.51	44			
	1343	27.93	49			
	1430	27.63	50			
	1478	27.52	50			
	1217	25.53	50			
	1207	27.24	52			
	1472	26.57	53			
	1337	28.13	56			
	1473	29.13	81	73.9	0.3	2/3
	1342	28.41	81	62.4	2.1	3/3
	1365	21.57	84	55.0	0.0	3/3
	1352	26.27	87	77.0	10.4	3/3
	1321	23.81	89	58.5	2.4	3/3
	3053	NT ^a	- ^b	-		0/3
	3062	NT	-	-		0/3
	1232	28.78	-	-		0/3
	1363	28.16	-	61.7	3.4	3/3
	1270	26.79	-	67.8	3.3	3/3
	1273	26.71	-	68.4		1/3
1488	26.45	-	72.2	12.2	3/3	
1257	26.15	-	64.1	1.7	3/3	
1241	24.27	-	70.0		1/3	
Non-DENV2 (negative samples)	1479	-	NT	-		0/3
	1090	-	NT	-		0/3
	1025	-	NT	-		0/3
	1126	-	NT	-		0/3
	1158	-	NT	-		0/3
S	S	NT	NT	-		0/3

^a NT: non-tested.

^b negative result

<https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pntd.0006381.t002>

qRT-PCR serum samples from Senegal, Sudan and Mauritania collected in November-December 2014 by the Institut Pasteur in Dakar (IPD), Senegal (Table 3) were tested by qRT-PCR and LAMP in Dakar. Additionally serum samples from Cambodia collected through the National Dengue Surveillance System [40] were tested. RNA was extracted and air-dried using pre-dried RNastable 1.5 mL microfuge tubes (Biomatrix, USA) from 13 DENV3 and 12 DENV4 samples, collected by the Institut Pasteur du Cambodge (IPC) in 2004–2006 and between 2008 and 2014, respectively. Samples were shipped at ambient temperature. Moreover, samples were tested by qRT-PCR before shipment and after receipt and reconstitution in molecular grade water. Overall the qRT-PCR C_T deviation was in a range of 0.8 C_T. Five µL RNA of each sample were used per reaction.

Table 3. RNAs tested from samples collected by the Institut Pasteur in Dakar (DENV 1, 2) in 2014, and Institut Pasteur du Cambodge (DENV3, 4).

IPD/IPC number	C _T values*	T _T values (min)#	Origin	Serotype
267197	25.89	20	Senegal	1§
267196	26.17	20–21	Senegal	1
267174	27.22	20	Mauritania	1§
267175	29.79	21–22	Mauritania	1§
267150	26.15	28–29	Senegal	2
267267	27.82	30–31	Senegal	2
267234	33.22	38–45	Senegal	2
267219	36.52	36–43	Senegal	2
267186	37.62	40–45	Senegal	2
267213	38.09	32–45	Sudan	2
267207	38.48	39–45	Senegal	2
P1212131	24.78	-	Cambodia	3
Q0427132	25.66	59.36	Cambodia	3
R0104070	27.73	15.75	Cambodia	3
R0104072	28.87	-	Cambodia	3
P0921232	32.01	-	Cambodia	3
R0104074	32.25	-	Cambodia	3
P0913209	32.55	-	Cambodia	3
Q0427138	34.21	57.03	Cambodia	3
P1111026	34.8	-	Cambodia	3
Q0531203	36.05	-	Cambodia	3
Q0427140	36.06	-	Cambodia	3
Q0529123	37.24	-	Cambodia	3
R0302118	39.33	-	Cambodia	3
T0423100	28.17	41–48	Cambodia	4
W1019304	28.52	40	Cambodia	4
Z0603308	29.7	-	Cambodia	4
Z0722323	30.45	36–37	Cambodia	4
Y0807311	30.66	40–43	Cambodia	4
Z0603310	31.51	36	Cambodia	4
Z0617306	31.62	-	Cambodia	4
T0408073	31.71	46	Cambodia	4
Y0521311	31.73	33	Cambodia	4
Y0731302	32.73	33	Cambodia	4
Z0713303	-	-	Cambodia	4
U0927345	-	41	Cambodia	4

* C_T (qRT-PCR) as tested at IPD immediately before testing RT-LAMP (DENV1, DENV2); C_T as tested immediately before shipment by IPC (DENV3), C_T as tested on arrival of shipment (DENV4). C_T values are listed incremental per DENV type.

T_T ranges of LAMP results: triplicates for DENV1 and DENV2, duplicates for DENV3 and DENV4. A single T_T result represents one positive out of 2 (DENV3, DENV4).

§ Serotype determined by RT-LAMP.

<https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pntd.0006381.t003>

RNA extraction

RNA extractions were carried out using the RNeasy mini (DENV strains from Robert Koch Institute, QCMD samples) (QIAGEN, Crawley, West Sussex, UK) and the QIAamp Viral RNA mini (DENV samples from IPD and IPC and ZIKV strain from Unité des Virus

Emergents) (QIAGEN, Courtaboeuf, France) kits. TriReagent extracts were processed according to the manufacturer's extraction protocol (Sigma-Aldrich, Dorset, UK).

RNA extraction of the clinical samples from Tanzania was initially performed from 50 μ L whole blood using a trial version of a nucleic acid isolation system equivalent to the protocol established for the MagSi-gDNA blood kit (MagnaMedics, Geleen, The Netherlands). RNA was eluted in 190 μ L elution buffer, and 5 μ L per sample were used for each RT-LAMP reaction. Additionally, an improved trial version of the MagnaMedics system for nucleic acid isolation, starting from 100 μ L whole blood and eluting the RNA in 100 μ L elution buffer, using 5 μ L per sample for each RT-LAMP reaction, was used. RNA was extracted from the clinical samples from Senegal using the QIAamp Viral RNA mini kit.

DENV qRT-PCR and nested PCR

A DENV RNA standard was transcribed from the DENV 3' UTR region, ligated into pCRII and evaluated as previously described [41]. DEN FP and DEN P were as described with the probe now tagged 5'-FAM / BBQ-3' but an adapted reverse primer DEN RP2 (5'-CTGHRGA-GACAGCAGGATCTCTG-3') as described [42]. DENV qRT-PCR was performed using the Light Cycler 480 Master Hydrolysis Probes (Roche, Mannheim, Germany) in a 20- μ L reaction volume containing 1x LightCycler 480 RNA Master Hydrolysis Probes, 3.25 mM activator Mn (OAc)₂, 500 nM primers DEN FP and DEN RP2, 200 nM probe DEN P, and 1 μ L RNA template on the LightCycler 2.0 (Roche), as follows: reverse transcription for 3 min at 63°C, activation for 30 s at 95°C, followed by 45 cycles consisting of amplification for 5 s at 95°C and 15 s at 60°C and a final cooling step of 40 s at 40°C. Analysis of the reactions was conducted using LightCycler software version 4.1.1.21 (Roche).

The Institut Pasteur in Dakar performed a qRT-PCR [43], using the ABI7500 Fast Real-time PCR System (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA). An RT-PCR assay, which simultaneously detects the 4 DENV serotypes, followed by a nested PCR, that specifically detects each DENV serotype, were used [20].

LAMP primer design

A two-step approach was used. First, all available sequences of DENV1 to 4 were downloaded from the NCBI database. Searches were limited to the samples collected between 2004 and 2014. All sequences were then aligned (for each serotype) using GramAlign v3.0 [44], and diversity was assessed using the *glPCA* module of R/adegetnet v1.4.1 [45]. Finally, based on the Principal Component Analysis (PCA) and phylogenetic tree (Neighbor-Joining tree using the R/ape 3.2 package), the sequences were manually split into different clusters in order to maximise the region of sequence identity. LAMP DNA signatures for each cluster (and all combinations to minimise the number of primer sets) were designed using a modified version [<https://github.com/pseudogene/lava-dna>] of LAVA [39] applying the *loose* parameters set for DENV1-3 and the *standard* parameter set for DENV4. Full scripts and methods are available on GitHub at <https://github.com/pseudogene/lamp-denv>.

All the designed sets of primers were first checked for primer dimerisation with the VisualOMP version 7.8.42.0 (DNA Software, Ann Arbor, MI). In addition, primer combinations for each of the DENV assays were tested for primer dimerisation by comparing amplification signals in positive and negative controls.

One-step real-time RT-LAMP

RT-LAMP reactions were run at 64°C using either an ESE-Quant TubeScanner (QIAGEN Lake Constance GmbH, Stockach, Germany) or Genie II (Optigene, Horsham, UK), in a final

reaction volume of 25 μ L. The Genie II device displays the annealing curve for specificity after the reaction has finished, by melting curve analysis from 98°C to 80°C (0.05°C/s).

Four RT-LAMP assays were developed, one for each DENV serotype (S1 File). Each reaction consisted of 1x RM Trehalose, 6 mM MgSO₄, 5% polyethylene glycol (PEG), 1 μ L fluorochrome dye (FD), 8 U *Bst* 2.0 DNA Polymerase (New England BioLabs, Hitchin, Herts, UK), 10 U Transcriptor Reverse Transcriptase (Roche) and 1 μ L template (DENV RNA or H₂O as negative control). For each primer set per RT-LAMP assay, the final concentrations was as follows: 50 nM F3, 50 nM B3, 400 nM FIP, 400 nM BIP, 200 nM FLOOP, 200 nM BLOOP. Before adding the *Bst* 2.0 DNA Polymerase, Transcriptor Reverse Transcriptase and template, mixes were incubated at 95°C for 5 min to melt any primer multi-mers and cooled immediately on ice for 5 min. Reaction times vary for each RT-LAMP protocol, running for 45 min (DENV1), 90 min (DENV2), 75 min (DENV3) and 50 min (DENV4).

RM Trehalose, MgSO₄, PEG and FD were supplied by MAST Diagnostica GmbH.

Sensitivity of the RT-LAMP protocols

Sensitivity analysis was performed in the ESE-Quant TubeScanner (QIAGEN). Ten-fold dilutions of viral DENV RNA samples (ATCC VR-344 (DENV1), ATCC VR-345 (DENV2), ATCC VR-1256 (DENV3) and ATCC VR-1257 (DENV4)), quantified by qRT-PCR, were used to analyse the sensitivity of the developed RT-LAMP assays (range from 10⁴–10⁵ to 10 molecules/ μ L) and 1 μ L per dilution was added to the RT-LAMP reaction. The complete RNA standard was tested in eight separate runs. The values obtained were subjected to probit analysis (Statgraphics plus v5.1, Statistical Graphics Corp., Princeton, NJ) and the limit of detection at 95% probability for each RT-LAMP assay was obtained.

Cross-specificity and cross-detection tests

Cross-specificity tests for the four RT-LAMP assays were carried out at the Institut Pasteur (Paris) using the QuantStudio 12K Flex Real-Time PCR System, and results were analysed with the software QuantStudio 12K Flex v1.2.2. (Applied Biosystems, Carlsbad, CA). Each of the RT-LAMP assays was tested using 1 μ L RNA extracted from the DENV strains described in Table 1. Cross detection of other flaviviruses, ZIKV, Yellow fever virus (YFV), West Nile virus (WNV) and Ntaya virus (NTAV), was analysed using the Genie II (Optigene) at the University of Stirling.

The RT-LAMP assays were also tested against several DNA pathogens (*Salmonella* Typhi, *S. Paratyphi*, *Streptococcus pneumoniae* and *Plasmodium falciparum*). DNA samples were provided by MAST Diagnostica GmbH.

The performance of the RT-LAMP assays (sensitivity and specificity) was additionally evaluated using the 2015 DENV EQA panel provided by QCMD. Results obtained from QCMD refer to 8 core and 2 educational samples. Core samples are those needed to assess the performance from the regulatory point of view and educational samples are additional samples related to questions such as limit of detection or specificity.

Evaluation of the RT-LAMP assays with clinical samples

We used 31 blood samples from a fever study in Tanzania, 2013 (Table 2). Twenty-six samples had been confirmed as DENV2 positive by the Swiss Tropical and Public Health Institute (Basel, Switzerland) (2 of them were not tested by qRT-PCR). Aliquots of these blood samples were sent to MAST Diagnostica GmbH and stored at -20°C until RNA extraction was performed using the Magnamedics kit trial version. RNA samples were stored at -80°C.

RT-LAMP reactions were run in the TubeScanner TS2 (QIAGEN), using 5 μ L RNA of each sample per reaction.

The samples at IPD were analysed by both qRT-PCR [43], and the DENV1 and DENV2 RT-LAMP assays (in triplicates) in an ABI7500 Fast Real-time PCR system (Applied Biosystems), using 5 μ L RNA of each sample per reaction.

Sensitivity, specificity, positive predictive value (PPV) and negative predictive value (NPV) were obtained for the DENV2 RT-LAMP developed when compared against the results obtained by qRT-PCR.

Results

Quantification of DENV RNA by absolute one-step qRT-PCR

The RNA standard was tested 3 times and similar crossing point (CP) values were obtained for the different dilutions from 10^7 to 10^3 RNA molecules detected (S1 Fig), showing an efficiency ($E = 10^{-1/\text{slope}} - 1$) of 0.99 ± 0.04 (mean \pm standard deviation, SD). Quantification of DENV1-4 RNA extracted from inactivated isolates ATCC VR-344 (DENV1), ATCC VR-345 (DENV2), ATCC VR-1256 (DENV3) and ATCC VR-1257 (DENV4) (Table 1) ranged from 6.9×10^4 – 9.4×10^4 (DENV1), 4×10^5 – 5.3×10^5 (DENV2), 1.5×10^5 – 3×10^5 (DENV3), and 1.8×10^5 – 2.7×10^5 (DENV4) RNA molecules/ μ L.

LAMP primer design and evaluation

In total 1,145, 477, 376 and 58 genomic sequences were retrieved from the NCBI database for DENV1, DENV2, DENV3 and DENV4, respectively. Each serotype dataset was split into 4 to 21 clusters (Fig 1A and S2–S4 Figs), allowing for the LAVA algorithm to design LAMP primer sets, and was executed for each group separately as well as for all possible combinations of the groups.

Sets of primers that showed dimerisation when analysed with VisualOMP (DNA Software, Ann Arbor, MI) were discarded (Fig 2A). Remaining sets were sequentially combined and tested by RT-LAMP to discard cases of primer dimerisation, visualised by the non-specific amplification signal (intercalating dye) in the no template control (NTC) (Fig 2B). The final primer sets are described in Fig 1B and S1–S4 Tables and consist of 84 (14 amplicons, DENV1), 72 (12 amplicons, DENV2), 48 (8 amplicons, DENV3) and 18 (3 amplicons, DENV4) primers.

When combining the amplicon primer sets for each RT-LAMP assay, amplification was not observed when using published standard LAMP primer concentrations for each primer set: 0.2 μ M F3, 0.2 μ M B3, 1.6 μ M FIP, 1.6 μ M BIP, 0.8 μ M FLOOP and 0.8 μ M BLOOP. To determine the concentration window of the complicated primer mix, a 2-fold dilution series of the above primer mix was used. Amplification yielding the best possible detection without amplification in the NTC was achieved at a dilution of 1:4 (50 nM F3, 50 nM B3, 400 nM FIP, 400 nM BIP, 200 nM FLOOP and 200 nM BLOOP, Fig 2C).

Cross-specificity and cross-detection tests

Table 1 and Fig 3 show the results of the cross-specificity and cross-detection tests. All DENV cell culture RNA extracts were detected and no amplification was observed in the NTC. The RT-LAMP protocols for DENV2, DENV3 and DENV4 were specific for each respective serotype. The RT-LAMP protocol for DENV1 detected all DENV1 RNA strains, but also scored positive in RNA extracts KDH0010A and VIMFH4 containing RNA extracts from DENV3 and DENV4 isolates, respectively (Table 1). Additional testing of samples KDH0010A and VIMFH4 by nested RT-PCR (Fig 4A and 4B) indicated contamination of the cell cultures samples with DENV1 confirming the RT-LAMP results.




Fig 1. LAMP primer design. (A) PCA and phylogenetic clustering of 58 DENV4 genomes. Four subgroups were necessary to describe all genotypes found (variation explained by first, second and third principal component, 43.5%, 18.8% and 5.9% respectively). (B) Location of all primer sets used for each DENV serotype. Genomes/clusters concerned are also indicated.

<https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pntd.0006381.g001>

The RNA of other flaviviruses was not cross-detected (Fig 3 and Table 1). Specific amplification was also indicated by a specific single peak temperature in the melting curve analysis (Fig 3B, 3D, 3F and 3H), with mean values \pm SD of $85.4 \pm 1.1^\circ\text{C}$ (DENV1), $83.1 \pm 1.0^\circ\text{C}$ (DENV2), $84.3 \pm 0.9^\circ\text{C}$ (DENV3) and $86.4 \pm 0.3^\circ\text{C}$ (DENV4). No amplification was observed when DNA from *S. Typhi*, *S. Paratyphi*, *S. pneumoniae* and *P. falciparum* was used as template in the different RT-LAMP assays (Table 1).

The 2015 DENV EQA panel analysis confirmed that the RT-LAMP assays developed passed 8 core and the 2 educational samples of that panel. Concerning the core samples, 5 positive samples were scored 3/3, and 1 positive sample was detected once (the other 2 samples were negative). Results obtained from the educational samples indicated that 1 sample was detected in the 3 repetitions whilst the other sample was detected in 1/3 repetitions.




Fig 2. Dimerisation and primer concentration. (A) Example of dimerisation detected by Visual OMP software. (B) Dimerisation detected in no template control during an RT-LAMP reaction. Black line reaction with RNA, grey line: NTC. (C) 2-fold dilution of the primer sets used in the DENV4 RT-LAMP. Continuous lines represent the reactions with RNA, discontinuous lines refer to NTC.

<https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pntd.0006381.g002>

Analytical sensitivity of the RT-LAMP protocols

DENV1-4 RNA samples, previously quantified by qRT-PCR, were used to analyse the sensitivity of the developed RT-LAMP assays. RT-LAMP protocols for DENV1, DENV2 and DENV4 detected as few as 10 molecules per reaction, although this amount was only obtained in 3, 5 and 2 of 8 repetitions, respectively, with the following mean times: 28.8 ± 6.3 min (DENV1),




Fig 3. Cross-detection assays to confirm the specificity of the RT-LAMP protocols to detect DENV RNA (black line). There was no amplification of other flaviviruses RNA (discontinuous grey lines) or in the NTC (continuous grey line). (A), (C), (E) and (G) show the amplification profiles for the RT-LAMP reaction. (B), (D), (F) and (H) show the annealing curve for specificity.

<https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pntd.0006381.g003>

78.2 ± 5.8 min (DENV2) and 44.6 ± 3.3 min (DENV4). RT-LAMP for DENV3 detected as few as 10² molecules, but only in 4 of 8 reactions, at 44.9 ± 18.6 min. The lowest amount of molecules detected in the 8 reactions, showing 100% reproducibility, were 10² (DENV1, mean time of 25.3 ± 2.6 min), and 10³ (DENV2, DENV3 and DENV4, mean times of 69.2 ± 11.6 min, 37.2 ± 11.6 min and 26.8 ± 2.7 min, respectively) (Fig 5). Considering 8 independent reactions per protocol developed, the probit analysis revealed that the limit of detection at 95% probability for each RT-LAMP was 22 RNA molecules (DENV1), 542 RNA molecules with a confidence interval from 92 to 3.2x10¹³ RNA molecules (DENV2), 197 RNA molecules (DENV3) and 641 RNA molecules with a confidence interval from 172 to 1.2x10⁵ RNA molecules (DENV4).

Evaluation of the RT-LAMP with clinical samples

Tables 2 and 3 show the results of the blood and serum samples analyses when using both qRT-PCR and RT-LAMP.

Out of 26 DENV2-infected blood samples 24 scored positive in qRT-PCR with cycle threshold (C_T) values ranging from 21.57–29.13 (Table 2, column 2). In a first test DENV2 RT-LAMP detected 17/24 (70.8% positive samples) with initial time to positive (T_T) values between 37 and 89 min (Table 2, column 3).

RNA from 14 samples, including those with initial T_T values over 60 min, negative in both RT-LAMP and qRT-PCR, and 6 DENV negative samples (Table 2), were extracted a second time using the optimized MagnaMedics extraction starting from 100 µL sample and yielding enhanced detection.

Five samples with initial T_T values from 81–89 min, now tested positive with T_T values from 55–77 min. Six samples initially negative by RT-LAMP became positive with T_T values of 61.7–72.2 min. Three samples, 1 of which had scored positive in qRT-PCR, remained negative in RT-LAMP. Most RNA samples extracted with the optimized method scored positive in all 3 replicates. One sample was detected 2/3 times, and 2 were detected only once. All negative samples included in these analyses scored negative.




Fig 4. Detection of DENV strains by RT-PCR and nested PCR. (A) RT-PCR using D1 and D2 primers. (B) Serotype-specific nested PCR using D1/TS1, D1/TS3 and D1/TS4 primers to detect DENV1, DENV3 and DENV4, respectively. L: 100 bp DNA ladder (Thermo Scientific); NC: negative control (H₂O); 1: KDH0030A (DENV1); 2: DJOS1.7.12 (DENV2); 3: KDH0010A (DENV3); 4: VIMFH4 (DENV4); nP: negative control nested PCR; P: negative control PCR.

<https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pntd.0006381.g004>




Fig 5. Times (min) of positive detection using serial 10-fold dilutions of DENV RNA. The mean values are represented with a grey bar and error bars indicate the standard deviation. Black dots refer to positive signals of eight independent runs. (A), (B), (C) and (D) represent the plots referring to DENV1, DENV2, DENV3 and DENV4, respectively.

<https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pntd.0006381.g005>

Calculation of the clinical sensitivity and specificity yielded 100% specificity (CI: 0.63–1.00), as no false positives were detected, and a sensitivity of 95.8% (CI: 0.79–1.00) with 23/24 positive samples, a PPV of 1.00 (CI: 0.85–1.00) and NPV of 0.86 (CI: 0.42–1.00).

Table 3 summarises the results obtained with samples collected by the IPD and IPC. All 11 RNA samples from IPD used in this study were analysed in parallel by qRT-PCR and with DENV1 and DENV2 RT-LAMP assays. All scored positive in qRT-PCR (C_T 25.89–38.48), 4 samples scored positive in the DENV1 RT-LAMP, and 7 scored positive in the DENV2 RT-LAMP (T_T values 20–45 min). Samples 267175, 267197 and 267174 were serotyped as DENV1 with the developed RT-LAMP.

Additionally, of 12 qRT-PCR positive DENV4 samples dried with RNastable shipped by IPC, 10 tested positive by qRT-PCR after shipment, and 9 were detected by DENV4 LAMP. Of 13 DENV3 samples qRT-PCR positive before shipment, only 1 tested positive by qRT-PCR on arrival and only 3 by RT-LAMP.

Discussion

Dengue is now prevalent in more than 100 countries of the tropics and subtropics and as DENV continues to spread, all four serotypes co-circulate widely [46–48]. The introduction of new DENV strains continues through travellers moving between dengue-endemic countries [11] and recently the capacity of individual mosquitoes to carry multiple DENV serotypes was described [49], while elsewhere acute simultaneous infection with several DENV serotypes was observed [10].

DENV detection methods include virus culture, which is time consuming [17, 18] as well as ELISA or immunofluorescence methods to detect IgM and IgG which suffer from cross-reactivity to other flaviviruses antibodies and which are only considered valid when antibody titers are sufficiently high [19]. The introduction of NS1 antigen detection has improved the situation and recent studies show a high sensitivity of NS1 detection [50], with some concluding that the combination with IgM detection can outperform PCR [51]. However, its use for routine screening in dengue epidemics is questioned in terms of clinical necessity [52].

For molecular RNA detection, nested PCR [20] and real time PCR-assays [21–26] with high specificity and sensitivity are being used but need expensive and sophisticated thermocyclers and experienced staff. In recent years, isothermal amplification assays have been described, such as RT-LAMP [8, 30, 32–38] and RT-RPA [53, 54]. These assays require less expensive equipment and can be delivered in dried pellet format, making handling easier and amenable to poor infrastructure settings.

Worldwide monitoring and the use of Next Generation Sequencing methods have increased the number of complete DENV genomes sequenced and deposited in GenBank to 2,988 (as of June 2016). It is virtually impossible to use this amount of sequence information to manually align and design amplicons for molecular detection methods. There have been several attempts to create algorithms to derive signature sequences for PCR techniques from sequence datasets or alignments [55, 56]. LAMP amplicons are inherently more challenging to design as they require a minimum of 4 and a maximum of 6 signature sequences. LAVA software was developed to facilitate the determination of signature sequences for LAMP primer design using a set of aligned sequences [39]. The original and modified version of LAVA take into consideration the limitations observed with other primer-design programs (LAMP DESIGNER [<http://www.optigene.co.uk/lamp-designer/>] and PRIMER EXPLORER [<https://primerexplorer.jp/e/>]), such as preventing the use of extensive alignments or sequences longer than 2,000 nt.

We used this approach to design serotype-specific primers aiming to match all possible DENV strains circulating worldwide, by considering 2,056 available GenBank DENV sequences (2004–2014). This is the greatest difference compared to other previously published RT-LAMP assay designs in which primer design focused on the conserved 3' UTR, NS1 or C-prM regions but detailed limited information about the DENV sequences used to develop the primers. As the LAMP primers were designed from different clusters of each DENV serotype obtained after PCA and phylogenetic analyses, the individual LAMP amplicons locate to several regions across the DENV genome conserved in these clusters (Fig 1). This allows an overall detection of DENV variability surpassing any other molecular amplification assay. The final amplicons were selected through a combination of *in silico* primer dimer formation assessment (Visual OMP) and *in vitro* assessment by checking amplicons selected in the first step for un-specific amplification in the NTC. A similar methodology has been used to design RT-LAMP primers to detect Chikungunya virus (manuscript submitted to PLoS Neglected Tropical Diseases) and we consider this approach would be suitable for the assay development of other infectious diseases. The final DENV1-4 specific RT-LAMP assays contained 84, 72, 48 and 18 oligonucleotides respectively. The challenge was to find a working concentration of these oligonucleotide mixes, which would allow for sensitive detection. A 2-fold dilution series approach for the individual final primer mix allowed to identify a working concentration window in the dynamic range of these assays. This however came at the cost of run time. In order to increase the reaction speed without losing sensitivity, several combinations of enzymes were tested. We tested the combination of AMV RT (Promega, Southampton, UK) and GspSSD DNA polymerase (Optigene) recommended by others who successfully developed rapid RT-LAMP assays with 10–15 minute run times [57] (Manuguerra personal communication).

We also tested *Bst* 3.0 DNA polymerase (New England BioLabs), but found that none offered an advantage over the enzyme combination we used (Transcriptor Reverse Transcriptase and *Bst* 2.0). As a matter of fact, we saw an increased level of unspecific amplification with *Bst* 3.0 DNA polymerase (data non-shown).

Thus currently reaction times range from 45 (DENV1) to 90 minutes (DENV2). This was not correlated with the number of oligonucleotides in the mixture but may reflect the efficiency of the individual primer sets in the mixture detecting the respective standard strains we used for the validation, and the low oligonucleotide concentration. Alternative approaches to evaluate the sensitivity of each RT-LAMP would consist of having either a pool of RNA samples representative for each amplicon included or specific primer sets for each particular DENV strain that would be compared with the primer mixtures included in the developed assays.

We used an RNA standard evaluated by qRT-PCR to quantify viral RNA of DENV1-4. These quantified RNA were then used to test the analytical sensitivity of the 4 individual specific RT-LAMP assays for the detection of each serotype. The analytical sensitivities of the DENV1-4 RT-LAMP assays, as estimated per probit analysis, ranged from 22 to 641 RNA molecules detected, and 100% reproducibility after 8 independent runs was achieved for 10^2 – 10^3 RNA molecules detected.

Therefore, results were in the range observed for previously described RT-LAMP methods detecting all four serotypes in a single reaction [8, 33, 37] with sensitivities between 10 and 100 RNA molecules detected, and RT-LAMP assays distinguishing the serotypes in individual reactions [30, 38]. For the latter assays the analytical sensitivities determined were 10 to 100 plaque-forming units (PFU)/mL and 10 RNA molecules detected respectively. Our RT-LAMP assay for DENV1 showed a limit of detection as per probit analysis of 102 PFU/mL with a confidence interval from 20 to 7.8×10^3 PFU/mL (data non-shown).

The assays developed were serotype-specific, and no cross-detection of other flaviviruses was observed. Surprisingly, 2 viral preparations tested—KDH0010A (DENV3) and VIMFH4 (DENV4)—were also found positive for DENV1. Subsequent analysis by serotype-specific nested PCR [20] confirmed the presence of DENV1 RNA probably due to contamination during RNA extraction or virus culture, and indicating that the DENV RT-LAMP assays had picked up the contamination correctly.

EQA panels have been developed in order to evaluate the performance and reliability of current diagnostic methods in laboratories worldwide, by using different samples (both negative and positive samples, including different concentrations) that provide information about their specificity and sensitivity [58, 59]. The EQA panel used in this study, provided by QCMD, comprises strains for the 4 DENV serotypes, as well as negative samples. The analysis showed that our RT-LAMP assays passed all the samples included in the 2015 DENV EQA panel, consisting of 8 core and 2 educational samples.

For evaluation with clinical material, RNA was extracted from whole blood samples collected in Tanzania, confirmed as DENV2 positive by qRT-PCR. A bead-based extraction protocol was improved and, in addition, instead of using 50 μ L whole blood and eluting in 200 μ L RNA, the extraction commenced from 100 μ L whole blood and RNA was eluted into 100 μ L. Due to this improved extraction protocol, time to positivity reduced from 81–89 min to 55–77 min.

In some cases, there were disparate results between RT-LAMP and qRT-PCR. Sample 1232, negative by RT-LAMP, had a C_T value of 28.78, and samples 1241 and 1473, with C_T values of 24.27 and 29.13, showed current mean T_T values of 70 and 73.9 min, respectively. These differences in results observed may not be related to the sensitivity levels of the individual assay and we suggest that the performance of isothermal amplification reactions could be compromised when not using fresh samples, as previously described [53].

All 11 serum samples collected by Institut Pasteur in Dakar (2014), tested positive by qRT-PCR and the DENV1 and DENV2 RT-LAMP assays. While 3 of the samples could not be characterised with the qRT-PCR protocol, they were successfully amplified by the DENV1 RT-LAMP, providing evidence that determination of serotype is possible when handling samples that have not been serotyped yet.

Based on the results obtained for the fever study in Tanzania, our DENV2 RT-LAMP scored a sensitivity of 95.8% (CI: 0.79–1.00) and specificity of 100% (CI: 0.63–1.00) in reference to the qRT-PCR used by the Swiss Tropical and Public Health Institute, indicating that all detected as positive by the LAMP assay were truly positive and no false positives were detected.

We used predried tubes of RNastable for shipment of DENV4 and DENV3 RNA extracts from Institut Pasteur du Cambodge. The efficiency of this type of shipment at ambient temperature was disappointing. Surprisingly DENV3 sample RNA extracts suffered most from this type of shipment and this could not be improved in altogether three shipment trials. The results for DENV4 samples indicate specific detection which does not quite match the qRT-PCR sensitivity. DENV3 samples were detectable but sensitivity could not be assessed.

The determination of clinical sensitivity, specificity, PPV and NPV allows interpretation of diagnostic results for clinical decisions [60, 61]. The scores obtained for specificity, sensitivity, PPV and NPV were in the range observed for previously published assays [8, 30, 33, 36–38].

The scores obtained for PPV and NPV estimate the probability that the disease is present or absent depending of the result is positive or negative. Since the samples were collected in a fever study, the results obtained with the RT-LAMP (PPV = 100% and NPV = 85.7%) highlight a good performance of the method in determining true positive cases while excluding negative cases. PPV and NPV are very dependent of the number of positive and negative samples used, providing valuable information during naturally occurring infections in prospective trials. The values obtained in our study may not reflect this as only thirty samples were analysed and a larger number of both positive and negative samples would be needed to refine these results.

To conclude, we have shown a novel approach to designing LAMP primers that makes use of fast growing sequence databases. During the study time the number of complete DENV genome entries grew by 932 genomes deposited. To be able to cover all of the diversity documented, our approach devised 4 complicated mixes of oligonucleotides for the detection of the individual DENV1-4 serotypes. The DENV1 and DENV2 assays were validated with viral RNA extracted clinical samples and showed very good performance parameters. Finally the combination of PCA analysis and molecular detection assays design should also be considered for other molecular assay formats since the available sequence dataset of several pathogens has increased beyond what can be handled by traditional design based on simple alignments.

Supporting information

S1 File. Developed protocol for each DENV RT-LAMP assay.

(DOCX)

S2 File. STARD 2015 checklist.

(DOCX)

S1 Fig. RNA standard curve developed to quantify DENV samples by absolute one-step qRT-PCR.

(TIF)

S2 Fig. PCA and phylogenetic clustering of 1,145 DENV1 genomes. Twenty-one subgroups were necessary to describe all clusters found (variation explained by first, second and third

principal component, 47.7%, 11.3% and 9.1% respectively).
(TIF)

S3 Fig. PCA and phylogenetic clustering of 477 DENV2 genomes. Twenty subgroups were necessary to describe all clusters found (variation explained by first, second and third principal component, 55.4%, 8.8% and 5.4% respectively).
(TIF)

S4 Fig. PCA and phylogenetic clustering of 376 DENV3 genomes. Fifteen subgroups were necessary to describe all clusters found (variation explained by first, second and third principal component, 51.5%, 14.5% and 6.7% respectively).
(TIF)

S1 Table. Detailed primers to detect DENV1 by RT-LAMP.
(CSV)

S2 Table. Detailed primers to detect DENV2 by RT-LAMP.
(CSV)

S3 Table. Detailed primers to detect DENV3 by RT-LAMP.
(CSV)

S4 Table. Detailed primers to detect DENV4 by RT-LAMP.
(CSV)

Author Contributions

Conceptualization: Benjamin Lopez-Jimena, Michaël Bekaert, Manfred Weidmann.

Data curation: Benjamin Lopez-Jimena, Michaël Bekaert, Philippe Dussart, Manfred Weidmann.

Formal analysis: Benjamin Lopez-Jimena, Michaël Bekaert, Philippe Dussart, Manfred Weidmann.

Funding acquisition: Manfred Weidmann.

Investigation: Benjamin Lopez-Jimena, Michaël Bekaert, Veasna Duong, Philippe Dussart, Graham Harold, Cheikh Fall, Manfred Weidmann.

Methodology: Benjamin Lopez-Jimena, Michaël Bekaert, Mohammed Bakheit, Manfred Weidmann.

Project administration: Manfred Weidmann.

Resources: Michaël Bekaert, Mohammed Bakheit, Sieghard Frischmann, Pranav Patel, Etienne Simon-Loriere, Louis Lambrechts, Philippe Dussart, Amadou Alpha Sall, Manfred Weidmann.

Software: Benjamin Lopez-Jimena, Michaël Bekaert.

Supervision: Benjamin Lopez-Jimena, Mohammed Bakheit, Sieghard Frischmann, Etienne Simon-Loriere, Louis Lambrechts, Oumar Faye, Amadou Alpha Sall, Manfred Weidmann.

Validation: Benjamin Lopez-Jimena, Mohammed Bakheit, Veasna Duong, Philippe Dussart, Graham Harold, Cheikh Fall, Oumar Faye, Amadou Alpha Sall, Manfred Weidmann.

Visualization: Benjamin Lopez-Jimena, Philippe Dussart, Manfred Weidmann.

Writing – original draft: Benjamin Lopez-Jimena, Michaël Bekaert, Manfred Weidmann.

Writing – review & editing: Benjamin Lopez-Jimena, Michaël Bekaert, Mohammed Bakheit, Sieghard Frischmann, Pranav Patel, Etienne Simon-Loriere, Louis Lambrechts, Philippe Dussart, Cheikh Fall, Oumar Faye, Amadou Alpha Sall, Manfred Weidmann.

References

1. Kalluri S, Gilruth P, Rogers D, Szczur M. Surveillance of arthropod vector-borne infectious diseases using remote sensing techniques: a review. *PLoS pathogens*. 2007; 3(10):1361–71. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.ppat.0030116> PMID: 17967056; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC2042005.
2. Bhatt S, Gething PW, Brady OJ, Messina JP, Farlow AW, Moyes CL, et al. The global distribution and burden of dengue. *Nature*. 2013; 496(7446):504–7. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nature12060> PMID: 23563266; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC3651993.
3. Lambrechts L, Scott TW, Gubler DJ. Consequences of the expanding global distribution of *Aedes albopictus* for dengue virus transmission. *PLoS neglected tropical diseases*. 2010; 4(5):e646. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pntd.0000646> PMID: 20520794; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC2876112.
4. W.H.O. Dengue haemorrhagic fever: diagnosis, treatment prevention and control. 2nd Edition. Geneva, Switzerland: World Health Organization; 1997.
5. Clyde K, Kyle JL, Harris E. Recent advances in deciphering viral and host determinants of dengue virus replication and pathogenesis. *Journal of virology*. 2006; 80(23):11418–31. <https://doi.org/10.1128/JVI.01257-06> PMID: 16928749; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC1642597.
6. Whitehead SS, Blaney JE, Durbin AP, Murphy BR. Prospects for a dengue virus vaccine. *Nature reviews Microbiology*. 2007; 5(7):518–28. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nrmicro1690> PMID: 17558424.
7. Holmes EC, Burch SS. The causes and consequences of genetic variation in dengue virus. *Trends in microbiology*. 2000; 8(2):74–7. PMID: 10664600.
8. Teoh BT, Sam SS, Tan KK, Johari J, Danlami MB, Hooi PS, et al. Detection of dengue viruses using reverse transcription-loop-mediated isothermal amplification. *BMC infectious diseases*. 2013; 13:387. <https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2334-13-387> PMID: 23964963; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC3846474.
9. Katzelnick LC, Fonville JM, Gromowski GD, Bustos Arriaga J, Green A, James SL, et al. Dengue viruses cluster antigenically but not as discrete serotypes. *Science*. 2015; 349(6254):1338–43. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aac5017> PMID: 26383952; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC4876809.
10. Vinodkumar CS, Kalapannavar NK, Basavarajappa KG, Sanjay D, Gowli C, Nadig NG, et al. Episode of coexisting infections with multiple dengue virus serotypes in central Karnataka, India. *Journal of infection and public health*. 2013; 6(4):302–6. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jiph.2013.01.004> PMID: 23806706.
11. Franco L, Pagan I, Serre Del Cor N, Schunk M, Neumayr A, Molero F, et al. Molecular epidemiology suggests Venezuela as the origin of the dengue outbreak in Madeira, Portugal in 2012–2013. *Clinical microbiology and infection: the official publication of the European Society of Clinical Microbiology and Infectious Diseases*. 2015; 21(7):713 e5–8. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cmi.2015.03.016> PMID: 25843502.
12. Ng LC, Chem YK, Koo C, Mudin RN, Amin FM, Lee KS, et al. 2013 dengue outbreaks in Singapore and Malaysia caused by different viral strains. *The American journal of tropical medicine and hygiene*. 2015; 92(6):1150–5. <https://doi.org/10.4269/ajtmh.14-0588> PMID: 25846296; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC4458818.
13. Takamatsu Y, Nabeshima T, Nguyen TT, Dang DT, Pham LH, Pham HT, et al. A Dengue virus serotype 4-dominated outbreak in central Vietnam, 2013. *Journal of clinical virology: the official publication of the Pan American Society for Clinical Virology*. 2015; 66:24–6. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcv.2015.02.016> PMID: 25866331.
14. Thant KZ, Tun MM, Parquet Mdel C, Inoue S, Lwin YY, Lin S, et al. Molecular Epidemiology of Dengue Viruses Co-circulating in Upper Myanmar in 2006. *Tropical medicine and health*. 2015; 43(1):21–7. <https://doi.org/10.2149/tmh.2014-27> PMID: 25859150; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC4361346.
15. Poloni TR, Dornas FP, Dos Santos NN Jr., Soares AM, Amarilla AA, Alfonso HL, et al. High prevalence of clinically unsuspected dengue disease among children in Ribeirao Preto city, Brazil. *Journal of medical virology*. 2016; 88(10):1711–9. <https://doi.org/10.1002/jmv.24533> PMID: 27004990.
16. Durbin AP. A Dengue Vaccine. *Cell*. 2016; 166(1):1. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2016.06.036> PMID: 27368091.
17. Igarashi A. Isolation of a Singh's *Aedes albopictus* cell clone sensitive to Dengue and Chikungunya viruses. *The Journal of general virology*. 1978; 40(3):531–44. <https://doi.org/10.1099/0022-1317-40-3-531> PMID: 690610.

18. Tesh RB. A method for the isolation and identification of dengue viruses, using mosquito cell cultures. *The American journal of tropical medicine and hygiene*. 1979; 28(6):1053–9. PMID: [41456](#).
19. Guzman MG, Kouri G. Advances in dengue diagnosis. *Clinical and diagnostic laboratory immunology*. 1996; 3(6):621–7. PMID: [8914749](#); PubMed Central PMCID: PMC170421.
20. Lanciotti RS, Calisher CH, Gubler DJ, Chang GJ, Vorndam AV. Rapid detection and typing of dengue viruses from clinical samples by using reverse transcriptase-polymerase chain reaction. *Journal of clinical microbiology*. 1992; 30(3):545–51. PMID: [1372617](#); PubMed Central PMCID: PMC265106.
21. Callahan JD, Wu SJ, Dion-Schultz A, Mangold BE, Peruski LF, Watts DM, et al. Development and evaluation of serotype- and group-specific fluorogenic reverse transcriptase PCR (TaqMan) assays for dengue virus. *Journal of clinical microbiology*. 2001; 39(11):4119–24. <https://doi.org/10.1128/JCM.39.11.4119-4124.2001> PMID: [11682539](#); PubMed Central PMCID: PMC88496.
22. Wagner D, de With K, Huzly D, Hufert F, Weidmann M, Breisinger S, et al. Nosocomial acquisition of dengue. *Emerging infectious diseases*. 2004; 10(10):1872–3. <https://doi.org/10.3201/eid1010.031037> PMID: [15504282](#); PubMed Central PMCID: PMC3323263.
23. Waggoner JJ, Abeynayake J, Sahoo MK, Gresh L, Tellez Y, Gonzalez K, et al. Single-reaction, multiplex, real-time RT-PCR for the detection, quantitation, and serotyping of dengue viruses. *PLoS neglected tropical diseases*. 2013; 7(4):e2116. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pntd.0002116> PMID: [23638191](#); PubMed Central PMCID: PMC3630127.
24. Sasmono RT, Aryati A, Wardhani P, Yohan B, Trimarsanto H, Fahri S, et al. Performance of Simplexa dengue molecular assay compared to conventional and SYBR green RT-PCR for detection of dengue infection in Indonesia. *PloS one*. 2014; 9(8):e103815. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0103815> PMID: [25102066](#); PubMed Central PMCID: PMC4125142.
25. Alm E, Lindegren G, Falk KI, Lagerqvist N. One-step real-time RT-PCR assays for serotyping dengue virus in clinical samples. *BMC infectious diseases*. 2015; 15:493. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12879-015-1226-z> PMID: [26527283](#); PubMed Central PMCID: PMC4630907.
26. Simmons M, Myers T, Guevara C, Jungkind D, Williams M, Houng HS. Development and Validation of a Quantitative, One-Step, Multiplex, Real-Time Reverse Transcriptase PCR Assay for Detection of Dengue and Chikungunya Viruses. *Journal of clinical microbiology*. 2016; 54(7):1766–73. <https://doi.org/10.1128/JCM.00299-16> PMID: [27098955](#); PubMed Central PMCID: PMC4922117.
27. Notomi T, Okayama H, Masubuchi H, Yonekawa T, Watanabe K, Amino N, et al. Loop-mediated isothermal amplification of DNA. *Nucleic acids research*. 2000; 28(12):E63. PMID: [10871386](#); PubMed Central PMCID: PMC102748.
28. Mori Y, Nagamine K, Tomita N, Notomi T. Detection of loop-mediated isothermal amplification reaction by turbidity derived from magnesium pyrophosphate formation. *Biochemical and biophysical research communications*. 2001; 289(1):150–4. <https://doi.org/10.1006/bbrc.2001.5921> PMID: [11708792](#).
29. Tomita N, Mori Y, Kanda H, Notomi T. Loop-mediated isothermal amplification (LAMP) of gene sequences and simple visual detection of products. *Nature protocols*. 2008; 3(5):877–82. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nprot.2008.57> PMID: [18451795](#).
30. Parida M, Horioka K, Ishida H, Dash PK, Saxena P, Jana AM, et al. Rapid detection and differentiation of dengue virus serotypes by a real-time reverse transcription-loop-mediated isothermal amplification assay. *Journal of clinical microbiology*. 2005; 43(6):2895–903. <https://doi.org/10.1128/JCM.43.6.2895-2903.2005> PMID: [15956414](#); PubMed Central PMCID: PMC1151941.
31. Goto M, Honda E, Ogura A, Nomoto A, Hanaki K. Colorimetric detection of loop-mediated isothermal amplification reaction by using hydroxy naphthol blue. *BioTechniques*. 2009; 46(3):167–72. <https://doi.org/10.2144/000113072> PMID: [19317660](#).
32. Li S, Fang M, Zhou B, Ni H, Shen Q, Zhang H, et al. Simultaneous detection and differentiation of dengue virus serotypes 1–4, Japanese encephalitis virus, and West Nile virus by a combined reverse-transcription loop-mediated isothermal amplification assay. *Virology journal*. 2011; 8:360. <https://doi.org/10.1186/1743-422X-8-360> PMID: [21777455](#); PubMed Central PMCID: PMC3149006.
33. Lu X, Li X, Mo Z, Jin F, Wang B, Zhao H, et al. Rapid identification of Chikungunya and Dengue virus by a real-time reverse transcription-loop-mediated isothermal amplification method. *The American journal of tropical medicine and hygiene*. 2012; 87(5):947–53. <https://doi.org/10.4269/ajtmh.2012.11-0721> PMID: [22964720](#); PubMed Central PMCID: PMC3516274.
34. Sahni AK, Grover N, Sharma A, Khan ID, Kishore J. Reverse transcription loop-mediated isothermal amplification (RT-LAMP) for diagnosis of dengue. *Medical journal, Armed Forces India*. 2013; 69(3):246–53. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mjafi.2012.07.017> PMID: [24600118](#); PubMed Central PMCID: PMC3862550.
35. Dauner AL, Mitra I, Gilliland T Jr., Seales S, Pal S, Yang SC, et al. Development of a pan-serotype reverse transcription loop-mediated isothermal amplification assay for the detection of dengue virus.

- Diagnostic microbiology and infectious disease. 2015; 83(1):30–6. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.diagmicrobio.2015.05.004> PMID: 26032430.
36. Neeraja M, Lakshmi V, Lavanya V, Priyanka EN, Parida MM, Dash PK, et al. Rapid detection and differentiation of dengue virus serotypes by NS1 specific reverse transcription loop-mediated isothermal amplification (RT-LAMP) assay in patients presenting to a tertiary care hospital in Hyderabad, India. *Journal of virological methods*. 2015; 211:22–31. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jviromet.2014.10.005> PMID: 25455901.
 37. Lau YL, Lai MY, Teoh BT, Abd-Jamil J, Johari J, Sam SS, et al. Colorimetric Detection of Dengue by Single Tube Reverse-Transcription-Loop-Mediated Isothermal Amplification. *PloS one*. 2015; 10(9): e0138694. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0138694> PMID: 26384248; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC4575147.
 38. Hu SF, Li M, Zhong LL, Lu SM, Liu ZX, Pu JY, et al. Development of reverse-transcription loop-mediated isothermal amplification assay for rapid detection and differentiation of dengue virus serotypes 1–4. *BMC microbiology*. 2015; 15:265. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12866-015-0595-1> PMID: 26572227; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC4647581.
 39. Torres C, Vitalis EA, Baker BR, Gardner SN, Torres MW, Dzenitis JM. LAVA: an open-source approach to designing LAMP (loop-mediated isothermal amplification) DNA signatures. *BMC bioinformatics*. 2011; 12:240. <https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2105-12-240> PMID: 21679460; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC3213686.
 40. Huy R, Buchy P, Conan A, Ngan C, Ong S, Ali R, et al. National dengue surveillance in Cambodia 1980–2008: epidemiological and virological trends and the impact of vector control. *Bulletin of the World Health Organization*. 2010; 88(9):650–7. <https://doi.org/10.2471/BLT.09.073908> PMID: 20865069; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC2930366.
 41. Weidmann M, Sall AA, Manuguerra JC, Koivogui L, Adjami A, Traore FF, et al. Quantitative analysis of particles, genomes and infectious particles in supernatants of haemorrhagic fever virus cell cultures. *Virology journal*. 2011; 8:81. <https://doi.org/10.1186/1743-422X-8-81> PMID: 21349180; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC3056813.
 42. Nordmann T. Entwicklung und optimierung eines cytometric bead array zum nachweis von afrikanischen hämorrhagischen fieberviren [Inaugural Dissertation zur Erlangung des Doktorgrades der Medizinischen Fakultät der Georg-August-Universität zu Göttingen; 2011].
 43. Wu SJ, Pal S, Ekanayake S, Greenwald D, Lara S, Raviprakash K, et al. A dry-format field-deployable quantitative reverse transcriptase-polymerase chain reaction assay for diagnosis of dengue infections. *The American journal of tropical medicine and hygiene*. 2008; 79(4):505–10. PMID: 18840736.
 44. Russell DJ, Otu HH, Sayood K. Grammar-based distance in progressive multiple sequence alignment. *BMC bioinformatics*. 2008; 9:306. <https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2105-9-306> PMID: 18616828; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC2478692.
 45. Jombart T, Ahmed I. adegenet 1.3–1: new tools for the analysis of genome-wide SNP data. *Bioinformatics*. 2011; 27(21):3070–1. <https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btr521> PMID: 21926124; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC3198581.
 46. Holmes EC, Tio PH, Perera D, Muhi J, Cardosa J. Importation and co-circulation of multiple serotypes of dengue virus in Sarawak, Malaysia. *Virus research*. 2009; 143(1):1–5. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.virusres.2009.02.020> PMID: 19463715.
 47. Weaver SC, Vasilakis N. Molecular evolution of dengue viruses: contributions of phylogenetics to understanding the history and epidemiology of the preeminent arboviral disease. *Infection, genetics and evolution: journal of molecular epidemiology and evolutionary genetics in infectious diseases*. 2009; 9(4):523–40. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.meegid.2009.02.003> PMID: 19460319; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC3609037.
 48. Messina JP, Brady OJ, Scott TW, Zou C, Pigott DM, Duda KA, et al. Global spread of dengue virus types: mapping the 70 year history. *Trends in microbiology*. 2014; 22(3):138–46. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tim.2013.12.011> PMID: 24468533; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC3946041.
 49. Angel B, Angel A, Joshi V. Multiple dengue virus types harbored by individual mosquitoes. *Acta tropica*. 2015; 150:107–10. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.actatropica.2015.07.007> PMID: 26209106.
 50. Chua KB, Mustafa B, Abdul Wahab AH, Chem YK, Khairul AH, Kumarasamy V, et al. A comparative evaluation of dengue diagnostic tests based on single-acute serum samples for laboratory confirmation of acute dengue. *The Malaysian journal of pathology*. 2011; 33(1):13–20. PMID: 21874746.
 51. Anand AM, Sistla S, Dhodapkar R, Hamide A, Biswal N, Srinivasan B. Evaluation of NS1 Antigen Detection for Early Diagnosis of Dengue in a Tertiary Hospital in Southern India. *Journal of clinical and diagnostic research: JCDR*. 2016; 10(4):DC01–4. <https://doi.org/10.7860/JCDR/2016/15758.7562> PMID: 27190798; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC4866096.

52. Pothapregada S. The Dilemma of Reactive NS1 Antigen test in Dengue Fever. *Indian pediatrics*. 2015; 52(10):906–7. PMID: [26499030](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/26499030/).
53. Abd El Wahed A, Patel P, Faye O, Thaloengsok S, Heidenreich D, Matangkasombut P, et al. Recombinase Polymerase Amplification Assay for Rapid Diagnostics of Dengue Infection. *PLoS one*. 2015; 10(6):e0129682. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0129682> PMID: [26075598](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/26075598/); PubMed Central PMCID: PMC4468249.
54. Teoh BT, Sam SS, Tan KK, Danlami MB, Shu MH, Johari J, et al. Early detection of dengue virus by use of reverse transcription-recombinase polymerase amplification. *Journal of clinical microbiology*. 2015; 53(3):830–7. <https://doi.org/10.1128/JCM.02648-14> PMID: [25568438](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/25568438/); PubMed Central PMCID: PMC4390637.
55. Gardner SN, Lam MW, Smith JR, Torres CL, Slezak TR. Draft versus finished sequence data for DNA and protein diagnostic signature development. *Nucleic acids research*. 2005; 33(18):5838–50. <https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gki896> PMID: [16243783](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/16243783/); PubMed Central PMCID: PMC1266063.
56. Hysom DA, Naraghi-Arani P, Elsheikh M, Carrillo AC, Williams PL, Gardner SN. Skip the alignment: degenerate, multiplex primer and probe design using K-mer matching instead of alignments. *PLoS one*. 2012; 7(4):e34560. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0034560> PMID: [22485178](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/22485178/); PubMed Central PMCID: PMC3317645.
57. Manuguerra JC. Molecular pathogens detection in the field, directly at the point of care. *Targeting Ebola 2015 Recent Advances and Studies*, May 28th-29th2015.
58. Panning M, Charrel RN, Donoso Mantke O, Landt O, Niedrig M, Drosten C. Coordinated implementation of chikungunya virus reverse transcription-PCR. *Emerging infectious diseases*. 2009; 15(3):469–71. <https://doi.org/10.3201/eid1503.081104> PMID: [19239767](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/19239767/); PubMed Central PMCID: PMC2681123.
59. Jacobsen S, Patel P, Schmidt-Chanasit J, Leparac-Goffart I, Teichmann A, Zeller H, et al. External quality assessment studies for laboratory performance of molecular and serological diagnosis of Chikungunya virus infection. *Journal of clinical virology: the official publication of the Pan American Society for Clinical Virology*. 2016; 76:55–65. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcv.2016.01.008> PMID: [26828561](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/26828561/).
60. Lee VJ, Chow A, Zheng X, Carrasco LR, Cook AR, Lye DC, et al. Simple clinical and laboratory predictors of Chikungunya versus dengue infections in adults. *PLoS neglected tropical diseases*. 2012; 6(9):e1786. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pntd.0001786> PMID: [23029573](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/23029573/); PubMed Central PMCID: PMC3459852.
61. Faye O, Faye O, Soropogui B, Patel P, El Wahed AA, Loucoubar C, et al. Development and deployment of a rapid recombinase polymerase amplification Ebola virus detection assay in Guinea in 2015. *Euro surveillance: bulletin European sur les maladies transmissibles = European communicable disease bulletin*. 2015; 20(44). <https://doi.org/10.2807/1560-7917.ES.2015.20.44.30053> PMID: [26558690](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/26558690/).