

Three-way interactions between mosquito population, viral strain and temperature underlying chikungunya virus transmission potential

Karima Zouache, Albin Fontaine, Anubis Vega-Rua, Laurence Mousson, Jean-Michel Thiberge, Ricardo Lourenço-De-Oliveira, Valérie Caro, Louis Lambrechts, Anna-Bella Failloux

► **To cite this version:**

Karima Zouache, Albin Fontaine, Anubis Vega-Rua, Laurence Mousson, Jean-Michel Thiberge, et al.. Three-way interactions between mosquito population, viral strain and temperature underlying chikungunya virus transmission potential. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*, Royal Society, The, 2014, 281 (1792), pp.20141078. <10.1098/rspb.2014.1078>. <pasteur-01680228>

HAL Id: pasteur-01680228

<https://hal-pasteur.archives-ouvertes.fr/pasteur-01680228>

Submitted on 8 Feb 2019

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



1 **Three-way interactions between mosquito population, viral strain and temperature**
2 **underlying chikungunya virus transmission**

3
4
5 Karima ZOUACHE,^{1,*} Albin FONTAINE,^{2,3} Anubis VEGA-RUA,^{1,4} Laurence MOUSSON,¹
6 Jean-Michel THIBERGE,⁵ Ricardo LOURENCO-DE-OLIVEIRA,^{1,6} Valérie CARO,⁵ Louis
7 LAMBRECHTS² and Anna-Bella FAILLOUX¹

8
9 ¹Department of Virology, Institut Pasteur, Arboviruses and Insect Vectors Laboratory, Paris,
10 France

11 ²Department of Genomes & Genetics, Institut Pasteur – Centre National de la Recherche
12 Scientifique URA 3012, Insect-Virus Interactions Group, Paris, France

13 ³Institut de Recherche Biomédicale des Armées (IRBA), Unité d'Entomologie, Brétigny-sur-
14 Orge, France

15 ⁴Université Pierre et Marie Curie, Cellule Pasteur UPMC, Paris, France

16 ⁵Institut Pasteur, Genotyping of Pathogens and Public Health, Paris, France

17 ⁶Laboratório de Transmissores de Hematozoários, Instituto Oswaldo Cruz, Fiocruz, Rio de
18 Janeiro, Brazil

19 * Corresponding author: karima.zouache@pasteur.fr

20
21 **Running title:** Complex interactions influence chikungunya virus transmission

22
23
24
25

26 **Abstract**

27

28 Interactions between pathogens and their insect vectors in nature are under the control of both
29 genetic and non-genetic factors, yet most studies on mosquito vector competence for human
30 pathogens are conducted in laboratory systems that ignore genetic and environmental
31 variability. Evaluating the risk of emergence of arthropod-borne viruses (arboviruses) of
32 public health importance such as chikungunya virus (CHIKV) requires a more realistic
33 appraisal of genetic and environmental contributions to vector competence. In particular,
34 sources of variation do not necessarily act additively and may combine in the form of
35 interactions. Here, we measured CHIKV transmission by the mosquito *Aedes albopictus* in all
36 combinations of six worldwide vector populations, two virus strains and two ambient
37 temperatures (20°C and 28°C). Overall, CHIKV transmission by *Ae. albopictus* strongly
38 depended on the three-way combination of mosquito population, virus strain and temperature.
39 Such genotype-by-genotype-by-environment (G x G x E) interactions question the relevance
40 of vector competence studies conducted with a simpler set of conditions. Our results highlight
41 the need to account for the complex interplay between vectors, pathogens and environmental
42 factors to accurately assess the potential of vector-borne diseases to emerge.

43

44 **Keywords:** mosquito, arbovirus, chikungunya, temperature, transmission, interaction

45

46

47

48

49

50

51 1. Introduction

52 Our mechanistic understanding of interactions between pathogens and their insect
53 vectors has made considerable progress in the last two decades. In particular, tremendous
54 knowledge has been generated on the immune responses of mosquitoes against pathogens of
55 public health importance such as arthropod-borne viruses (arboviruses) and malaria parasites
56 reviewed in [1,2]. To date, however, the vast majority of studies on vector-pathogen
57 interactions have been conducted in laboratory models that ignore the genetic and
58 environmental variability of natural situations [3]. Only very recently, studies begun to
59 consider that natural vector-pathogen interactions occur in a variable world. Vector
60 competence, defined as the ability of an insect to become infected and subsequently, transmit
61 a pathogen, is a quantitative trait that displays substantial variation in natural populations. As
62 in many other host-parasite associations, vector-pathogen interactions are governed by
63 genotype-by-genotype (G x G) interactions, whereby the outcome of infection depends on the
64 specific pairing of vector and pathogen genotypes [4,5]. The existence of such G x G
65 interactions implies that the effect of vector genes controlling competence depends on the
66 pathogen genotype [6-8]. Several recent ecological studies have also emphasized the role of
67 environmental factors, such as ambient temperature, in shaping mosquito vector competence
68 for pathogens [9,10]. For example, the immune response and resistance of *Anopheles*
69 mosquitoes to bacterial challenge strongly depended on environmental drivers such as mean
70 temperature, diurnal temperature variation, and time of infection [11]. Likewise, both the
71 mean and daily amplitude of temperature variation influenced *Aedes aegypti* vector
72 competence for dengue virus [12,13]. In the natural environment, vector-pathogen
73 interactions are likely governed by complex genotype-by-genotype-by environment (G x G x
74 E) interactions [9,14], but this has yet to be documented.

75 Accounting for such ecological complexity is especially important when assessing the
76 vector competence of natural insect populations to evaluate the risk of vector-borne disease
77 emergence. For instance, the presence of competent insect vectors is the key factor in
78 assessing the risk of arboviral emergence [15], but vector competence for arboviruses is a
79 dynamic process. This was illustrated during the recent emergence of chikungunya virus
80 (CHIKV), a mosquito-borne alphavirus usually transmitted among non-human primates by
81 forest-dwelling mosquitoes. In 2004, a chikungunya outbreak emerged on the coast of Kenya.
82 The mosquito incriminated was the typical vector *Ae. aegypti* ensuring inter-human CHIKV
83 transmission. The virus subsequently spread to several islands of the Indian Ocean where
84 transmission was predominantly achieved by an alternative vector, *Ae. albopictus* [16,17].
85 The switch from *Ae. aegypti* to *Ae. albopictus* was associated with the selection of an amino-
86 acid change from an alanine to a valine at position 226 of CHIKV E1 glycoprotein (E1-
87 A226V) causing increased replication, midgut infection, dissemination and transmission in
88 *Ae. albopictus* but not in *Ae. aegypti* [18,19]. This adaptive mutation conferring enhanced
89 transmission by *Ae. albopictus* is thought to have occurred in at least three independent
90 occasions in the Indian Ocean region, the Indian subcontinent and Central Africa, supporting
91 the hypothesis of evolutionary convergence [16,20]. Since the 2004 outbreak, CHIKV has
92 emerged worldwide including temperate regions, such as Italy where several hundred
93 autochthonous cases were reported in 2007 [21].

94 In this study, we investigated the combined influence of genetic and environmental
95 variations on the risk of CHIKV transmission by a collection of *Ae. albopictus* populations
96 from both tropical and temperate regions. We determined the respective contributions of virus
97 genotype, mosquito genotype, ambient temperature and their interactions to variation in
98 CHIKV transmission.

99

100

101 **2. Material and methods**102 **(a) Ethics Statement**

103 Laboratory mice were used to blood feed mosquitoes for egg production (see below). The
104 Institut Pasteur animal facility has received accreditation from the French Ministry of
105 Agriculture to perform experiments on live mice in appliance of the French and European
106 regulations on care and protection of the Laboratory Animals (EC Directive 2010/63, French
107 Law 2013-118, February 6th, 2013). Protocols were approved by the veterinary staff of the
108 Institut Pasteur animal facility and were performed in compliance with the NIH Animal
109 Welfare Insurance #A5476-01 issued on 31/07/2012.

110

111 **(b) Mosquito populations and viral strains**

112 Mosquito populations were sampled in the field as eggs collected with ovitraps (more than
113 500 eggs per population) that were brought back to the laboratory and reared in an insectary
114 for less than 10 generations before experimental infections. Sampling locations included three
115 temperate regions in Europe: France (Bar-sur-Loup, F₃-F₄ generations), Italy (Castiglione-di-
116 Cervia, F₃ generation), Montenegro (Ulcinj, F₁-F₂ generation); and three tropical overseas
117 regions: Brazil (Manaus, F₃ generation), Vietnam (Bình Phước, F₈-F₉ generation) and La
118 Réunion island (Providance, F₈-F₉ generation). After hatching, larvae were split into pans of
119 150 individuals and supplied every two days with a yeast tablet dissolved in 1 liter of
120 dechlorinated tap water. Emerging adults were maintained at 28°C±1°C with a light: dark
121 cycle of 16h: 8h, 80% relative humidity and supplied with a 10% sucrose solution. Females
122 were blood fed three times a week on anaesthetized mice (OF1 mice, Charles River
123 laboratories, France).

124 Experimental infections of mosquitoes used two CHIKV strains, named 06-021 and
125 2010-1909 here after, that were kindly provided by the French National Reference Center for
126 Arboviruses at Institut Pasteur. The 06-021 strain was isolated on C6/36 cells (*Ae. albopictus*
127 cell line) from a patient on La Réunion island in 2005 [22]. The 2010-1909 strain was isolated
128 on Vero cells (African green monkey kidney cell line) from an autochthonous human case in
129 Southeast France in 2010 [23]. Following isolation, both strains were passaged twice on
130 C6/36 cells and the viral stocks produced were stored at -80°C prior to their use in mosquito
131 oral infections [19,22]. The viral titer estimated by plaque assay on Vero cells [19], was 10^9
132 plaque-forming units per mL (PFU/mL) and 10^8 PFU/mL for 06-021 and 2010-1909 CHIKV
133 strains, respectively. Phylogenetic analysis of the complete viral genome sequences (11,237
134 nucleotides) showed that the two strains belonged to the East-Central-South Africa
135 phylogroup (ECSA) (Figure 1; Tables S1 and S2).

136

137 (c) Mosquito oral infections and temperature regimes

138 Each of the six *Ae. albopictus* populations (three temperate and three tropical populations)
139 was simultaneously challenged with the two CHIKV strains (06-021 or 2010-1909) and then
140 split into two subsets that were incubated at 20°C or 28°C . The entire experiment was
141 repeated twice. For each experiment, 7- to 10-day-old females were fed on an infectious
142 blood-meal provided at a final titer of $10^{7.5}$ PFU/mL. The blood-meal mixture contained two
143 thirds of washed rabbit erythrocytes, one third of viral suspension and ATP as a
144 phagostimulant at a final concentration of 10 mM. Mosquito feeding was limited to 50
145 minutes and non-engorged females were discarded. Fully engorged females were transferred
146 to cardboard cups and maintained on 10% sucrose in climatic chambers (KB 53, Binder,
147 Tuttlingen, Germany) set at constant temperatures of $20^{\circ}\text{C}\pm 0.1^{\circ}\text{C}$ or $28^{\circ}\text{C}\pm 0.1^{\circ}\text{C}$, with a
148 light: dark cycle of 16h: 8h and 70% relative humidity. The temperature 20°C was chosen as

149 representative of the low-temperature threshold recorded when local transmission occurred
150 during the Italian epidemic between June and September 2007 [21,24] and in Southeast
151 France in September 2010 (<http://www.meteociel.fr>) [23], whereas 28°C was chosen as a
152 typical average temperature in tropical regions and has been commonly used in vector
153 competence assays to CHIKV [19, 25-27].

154

155 **(d) Vector competence phenotypes**

156 Due to the relatively high blood-meal titer of $10^{7.5}$ PFU/mL, 100% of engorged mosquitoes
157 were considered to have established a midgut infection [25]. Vector competence was assessed
158 based on two conventional phenotypes: viral dissemination from the midgut and transmission
159 potential [26]. For each experimental condition, viral dissemination and transmission was
160 tested in 12-20 mosquitoes 6 days post-infection (pi). CHIKV dissemination and transmission
161 were previously found to reach a maximum at 6 days pi in *Ae. albopictus* maintained at 28°C
162 [25,27]. Transmission potential was measured by forced salivation as previously described
163 [26]. Briefly, legs and wings of each mosquito were removed and the mosquito's proboscis
164 was inserted into a micropipette tip containing 5 μ L of foetal bovine serum (FBS). After 45
165 minutes, the saliva-containing FBS was expelled into 45 μ L of Leibovitz L15 medium
166 (Invitrogen Life Technologies, Carlsbad, CA). After salivation, the head of each mosquito
167 was removed and homogenized individually in 135 μ L of Leibovitz L15 medium.
168 Homogenates were then supplemented with 10% FBS and stored at -80°C before processing.
169 Transmission efficiency (TE) was calculated as the overall proportion of females that had
170 infectious saliva (*i.e.*, among all tested females with or without a disseminated infection). TE
171 was then broken down in two intermediate indices. Dissemination efficiency (DE) was
172 calculated as the proportion of females with infected head tissues (*i.e.*, in which the virus
173 successfully disseminated from the midgut). Transmission rate (TR) was defined as the

174 proportion of females with infectious saliva among those that developed a disseminated
175 infection. Therefore, TE equals the product of DE and TR [27,28].

176

177 **(e) Virus titration**

178 Viral dissemination and transmission were determined by the presence of infectious virus in
179 heads and saliva extracts, respectively, by focus-forming assay (FFA) in C6/36 cells as
180 previously described [27]. Briefly, 96-well plates were seeded with cells and each well was
181 inoculated with 50 μ L of saliva extract or head homogenate and incubated for 1 h at 28°C.
182 Then, cells were overlaid with a 1:1 mix of carboxymethyl cellulose and Leibovitz L15
183 medium supplemented with 10% FBS and 1.5X of an antibiotic-antifungal solution (Dutscher,
184 Brumath, France). After three days of incubation, cells were fixed for 20 min at room
185 temperature with formaldehyde 3.7%, washed 3 times in PBS 1X, and incubated 15 min with
186 0.5% Triton X-100 in PBS 1X. Cells were then incubated for 1 h with a hyper-immune
187 ascetic fluid specific to CHIKV as the primary antibody, washed three times with PBS 1X,
188 and incubated for 1 h at room temperature with a goat anti-mouse conjugate as the second
189 antibody (BioRad, Hercules, CA). The number of focus-forming units (FFU) was determined
190 under a fluorescence microscope. The data was analyzed qualitatively, that is, presence of
191 absence of infectious virus in the sample.

192

193 **(f) Phylogenetic analysis**

194 Sequence analysis, contig assembly and CHIKV sequence alignments were performed using
195 the program BioNumerics version 6.5 (Applied-Maths, Saint-Martens-Latem, Belgium). For
196 phylogenetic analysis, a maximum-likelihood tree was constructed using MEGA version 5
197 (www.megasoftware.net), based on the Tamura-Nei model. Reliability of nodes was assessed
198 by bootstrap resampling with 1,000 replicates.

199

200 **(g) Statistical analyses**

201 The study was run in two separate experiments that involved the same set of virus strains,
202 mosquito populations and temperature conditions, therefore experiment was included as a
203 covariate. Viral dissemination and transmission were analyzed as a binary response
204 (0=absence and 1=presence of virus in heads or saliva) with a full-factorial generalized linear
205 model that included the factors experiment, mosquito population, viral strain, temperature and
206 all their interactions. The model was fitted with a binomial error structure and a logit link
207 function. Statistical significance of the effects was assessed by an analysis of deviance [29].
208 Effects were considered statistically significant when $P < 0.05$. All analyses were performed in
209 the statistical environment R (<http://www.r-project.org/>).

210

211 **3. Results**

212 CHIKV dissemination and transmission were examined in a total of 940 *Ae.*
213 *albopictus* females from six mosquito populations (France, Italy, Montenegro, Brazil, La
214 Reunion, Vietnam) infected with two strains of CHIKV (2010-1909 and 06-021) following
215 incubation for six days post-infection under two temperatures regimes (20°C or 28°C). The
216 study was run in two separate experiments that consisted of 464 and 476 individual females,
217 respectively. All twenty-four combinations of mosquito population, viral strain and
218 temperature were represented in both experiments. For each combination, 12-20 individual
219 females were tested by experiment.

220 Epidemiologically, the most important phenotype is the ability of mosquitoes to
221 deliver infectious virus in their saliva following virus exposure during a blood meal (*i.e.*,
222 vector competence). This is adequately measured by the transmission efficiency (TE),
223 calculated as the proportion of all tested mosquitoes that had infectious virus in their saliva

224 extracts. Overall, TE depended strongly on the three-way interaction between mosquito
225 population, viral strain, and temperature ($P = 0.00024$; Table 1). The effect of the four-way
226 interaction between experiment, mosquito population, viral strain, and temperature was not
227 statistically significant ($P = 0.13281$; Table 1), indicating that the three-way interaction was
228 consistent across experiments. The three-way interaction can be represented graphically as
229 differing patterns of two-way interactions between temperature and viral strain among
230 mosquito populations (Figure 2). The strongest two-way interaction between temperature and
231 viral strain was observed for *Ae. albopictus* from Brazil: TE were 2.25 times lower at 20°C
232 than at 28°C for CHIKV 06-021 (37.5% vs. 85%) and 2.15 times higher at 20°C than at 28°C
233 for CHIKV 2010-1909 (75% vs. 35%)(Figure 2). In other mosquito populations, TE values
234 ranged from 12.5% (*Ae. albopictus* from Vietnam infected by CHIKV 06-021 at 20°C) to
235 87.5% (*Ae. albopictus* from France infected by CHIKV 2010-1909 at 20°C)(figure 2).

236 TE is a composite phenotype that encapsulates the ability of the virus to disseminate
237 from the midgut, invade the salivary glands, and be released in the saliva. Failure to transmit
238 the virus can therefore result from lack of dissemination from the midgut, lack of salivary
239 gland infection and/or lack of virus release in the saliva. To determine whether the strong
240 three-way interaction underlying TE could be specifically attributed to one of these
241 intermediate steps of transmission, we analyzed dissemination efficiency (DE) and
242 transmission rate (TR) separately. While TR was strongly influenced by the three-way
243 interaction between mosquito population, viral strain, and temperature ($P = 0.0016$; table 3),
244 DE was only slightly influenced ($P = 0.0486$; Table 2). The effect of the four-way interaction
245 between experiment, mosquito population, viral strain, and temperature did not significantly
246 affect TR ($P = 0.2317$; Table 3), indicating that the three-way interaction was consistent across
247 experiments. The four-way interaction could not be included in the analysis of DE because of
248 the disproportionately high frequency of dissemination that resulted in a strongly unbalanced

249 response variable. Indeed, 90.2% of all females tested had virus-infected head tissues, which
250 prevented analysis of the full-factorial model. Together, the secondary analyses indicated that
251 the effect of the three-way interaction on TE resulted primarily from differences in TR.

252

253 **4. Discussion**

254 In this study, we provide evidence that CHIKV transmission depends on a complex
255 interaction between the mosquito vector population, the viral strain and the ambient
256 temperature. Prior and during our experiments, *Ae. albopictus* mosquitoes were maintained in
257 controlled insectary conditions and we interpret phenotypic differences between populations
258 as primarily genetic variation. Likewise, we consider the viral strain effect to reflect the
259 underlying genetic make-up (Figure 1; Tables S1 and S2) because both virus isolates were
260 prepared in an identical method and used to infect mosquitoes at the same infectious dose in
261 the blood meal. Therefore, we conclude that the three-way interaction between mosquito
262 population, viral strain and temperature that we observed reflects a G x G x E interaction. Our
263 analyses of intermediate phenotypes suggest that the G x G x E interaction influencing
264 CHIKV transmission that we have uncovered results primarily from differences in the ability
265 of mosquitoes with a disseminated infection to deliver infectious virus in their saliva, rather
266 than differences in dissemination efficiency. Although the molecular mechanism(s)
267 underlying this complex interaction remains to be elucidated, our data suggest that it occurs
268 during viral invasion of the salivary glands and/or release in saliva.

269 The existence of G x G x E interactions has been documented in other cases of
270 biological interactions [30-33]. To the best of our knowledge, however, this is the first time G
271 x G x E interactions are documented in the case of a mosquito-borne pathogen of public health
272 relevance. It bears particular importance in the context of pathogen emergence because it
273 suggests that the environment can profoundly modify adaptive properties of genotypes. For

274 example, the adaptive E1-A226V amino-acid change conferring enhanced transmission by *Ae.*
275 *albopictus* [18,19] might not be favored to the same extent in different environments. The two
276 CHIKV strains of this study differed mainly by three substitutions in E1 and E2 glycoproteins
277 at positions E1-211, E1-226 and E2-264 (see Table S1). CHIKV 2010-1909 has an alanine
278 whereas CHIKV 06-021 has a valine at position E1-226. Consistently with the Indian Ocean
279 emergence scenario [16,22,34], CHIKV 06-021 was always better or equally transmitted at
280 28°C than CHIKV 2010-1909 in our experiments (red lines in Figure 2). However, it was the
281 opposite pattern at 20°C, with CHIKV 2010-1909 being better or equally transmitted than
282 CHIKV 06-021 in all mosquito populations but one (Italy) (blue lines in Figure 2). Therefore,
283 the probability of emergence of a viral strain in a particular mosquito species or population
284 may vary according to the environmental temperature. It is worth noting that both CHIKV
285 strains used in this study were relatively efficiently transmitted at 20°C by most *Ae.*
286 *albopictus* populations. Accordingly, we have previously demonstrated that TR and TE of *Ae.*
287 *aegypti* from temperate Argentina experimentally infected with dengue virus were higher
288 when mosquitoes were incubated at 20°C than at 28°C [28]. This is in contrast with the
289 notion that cooler temperature is less permissive to arboviral transmission [35]. However,
290 exposure to cooler temperatures was recently shown to increase mosquito susceptibility to
291 CHIKV infection through destabilization of the antiviral immune response [36]. Thus,
292 temperature has multiple, and sometimes opposite effects on the efficiency of virus
293 transmission by mosquitoes.

294 Our study adds a new layer of complexity to the understanding of mosquito-borne
295 pathogen transmission. It shows that temperature may alter G x G interactions that have
296 previously observed between vectors and pathogens [4,5]. Temperature has long been
297 considered an important environmental driver of insect-pathogen interactions [14]. There are,
298 however, a wide variety of additional environmental factors that may also influence vector

299 competence [9]. These additional factors can be abiotic or biotic. For example, mosquito-
300 pathogen interactions can be modulated by bacterial communities. Bacteria diversity, which is
301 mosquito population-specific [37], is an essential determinant of vector competence [38].
302 Pathogens co-exist and/or directly interact with bacteria colonizing the midgut or with
303 intracellular symbionts such as *Wolbachia*. So, bacterial communities may alter vector
304 competence by acting directly on virus replication or by modulating the host immune system
305 [40]. A change in the composition or density of bacteria in mosquitoes [39-41] triggered by
306 external factors such as temperature may alter mosquito susceptibility to pathogens [42].

307 In conclusion, we detected strong G x G x E interactions underlying CHIKV
308 transmission by *Ae. albopictus*. This finding questions the relevance of vector competence
309 studies conducted in laboratory systems that typically use one mosquito population and a
310 single virus strain under constant and single environmental conditions. It also underlines the
311 public health significance of questions that are usually addressed in an ecological or
312 evolutionary context. In future studies, it will be important to account for the complex
313 interplay between genetic and environmental variability to accurately assess the potential of
314 vector-borne diseases to emerge.

315

316

317

318 **Acknowledgments**

319

320 The authors thank Pascal Delaunay (Centre Hospitalier Universitaire, Nice, France), Igor
321 Pajovic (University of Montenegro, Biotechnica faculty, Podgorica, Montenegro), Didier
322 Fontenille (Institute of Research for Development, Montpellier, France), Tran Huynh (Institut
323 Pasteur, Ho Chi Minh, Vietnam), Sergio L. B. Luz (Fiocruz, Manaus, Brazil) and Ashgar
324 Tabalaghi (Italy) for providing mosquito eggs. We thank Marc Grandadam (Institut Pasteur,
325 Vientiane, Laos) for providing CHIKV 2010-1909 strain. Thanks to Marie Vazeille and Ilaria
326 Castelli for their technical assistance on salivation experiments and helpful discussions. We
327 also thank François Rougeon for his support and critical comments on this work. This study
328 was funded by the European Community's Seventh Framework Programme (FP7/2007–2013)
329 under the project "VECTORIE", EC grant agreement number 261466 and the French
330 Government's Investissement d'Avenir program, Laboratoire d'Excellence "Integrative
331 Biology of Emerging Infectious Diseases" (grant n°ANR-10-LABX-62-IBEID). AVR was
332 supported by PhD fellowships from the French Ministry of Higher Education and Research
333 and KZ by the project "VECTORIE" and the Foundation Inkermann (Fondation de France).

334

335 **References**

- 336 1. Kingsolver MB, Huang Z, Hardy RW. 2013 Insect Antiviral Innate Immunity:
337 Pathways, Effectors, and Connections. *J. Mol. Biol* **425**, 4921–4936. (doi:
338 10.1016/j.jmb.2013.10.006)
- 339 2. Clayton AM, Dong Y, Dimopoulos G. 2014 The *Anopheles* innate immune system in
340 the defense against malaria infection. *J Innate Immun* **6**, 169-81. (doi:
341 10.1159/000353602)
- 342 3. Lazzaro BP, Little TJ. 2009 Immunity in a variable world *Phil. Trans. R. Soc. B* **364**.
343 (doi: 10.1098/rstb.2008.0141)
- 344 4. Lambrechts L, Halbert J, Durand P, Gouagna LC, Koella JC. 2005 Host genotype by
345 parasite genotype interactions underlying the resistance of anopheline mosquitoes to
346 *Plasmodium falciparum*. *Malar J* **4**, 3.
- 347 5. Lambrechts L, Chevillon C, Albright RG, Thaisomboonsuk B, Richardson JH, Jarman
348 RG, Scott TW. 2009 Genetic specificity and potential for local adaptation between
349 dengue viruses and mosquito vectors. *BMC Evol Biol* **9**, 160. (doi: 10.1186/1471-
350 2148-9-160)
- 351 6. Harris C, Lambrechts L, Rousset F, Abate L, Nsango SE, Fontenille D, Morlais I,
352 Cohuet A. 2010 Polymorphisms in *Anopheles gambiae* immune genes associated with
353 natural resistance to *Plasmodium falciparum*. *PLoS Pathog* **6**, e1001112. (doi:
354 10.1371/journal.ppat.1001112)
- 355 7. Lambrechts L, Scott TW. 2009 Mode of transmission and the evolution of arbovirus
356 virulence in mosquito vectors. *Proc Biol Sci* **276**, 1369-1378. (doi: 10.1098/rspb.2008)
- 357 8. Fansiri T, Fontaine A, Diancourt L, Caro V, Thaisomboonsuk B, Richardson JH,
358 Jarman RG, Ponlawat A, Lambrechts L. 2013 Genetic mapping of specific

- 359 interactions between *Aedes aegypti* mosquitoes and dengue viruses. *PLoS Genet* **9**,
360 e1003621. (doi:10.1371/journal.pgen.1003621.)
- 361 9. Lefèvre T, Vantaux A, Dabiré KR, Mouline K, Cohuet A. 2013. Non-genetic
362 determinants of mosquito competence for malaria parasites. *PLoS Pathog* **9**,
363 e1003365. (doi: 10.1371/journal.ppat.1003365)
- 364 10. Murdock CC, Paaijmans KP, Cox-Foster D, Read AF, Thomas MB. 2012 Rethinking
365 vector immunology: the role of environmental temperature in shaping resistance. *Nat*
366 *Rev Microbiol* **10**, 869-76. (doi: 10.1038/nrmicro2900)
- 367 11. Murdock CC, Moller-Jacobs LL, Thomas MB. 2013 Complex environmental drivers
368 of immunity and resistance in malaria mosquitoes *Proc R Soc B* **280**, 20132030. (doi:
369 10.1098/rspb.2013.2030)
- 370 12. Lambrechts L, Paaijmans KP, Fansiri T, Carrington LB, Kramer LD, Thomas MB,
371 Scott TW. 2011 Impact of daily temperature fluctuations on dengue virus transmission
372 by *Aedes aegypti*. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **108**, 7460-7465. (doi:
373 10.1073/pnas.1101377108)
- 374 13. Carrington LB, Armijos MV, Lambrechts L, Scott TW. 2013 Fluctuations at a low
375 mean temperature accelerate dengue virus transmission by *Aedes aegypti*. *PLoS Negl*
376 *Trop Dis* **7**, e2190. (doi: 10.1371/journal.pntd.0002190)
- 377 14. Thomas MB, Blanford S. 2003 Thermal biology in insect-parasite interactions. *Trends*
378 *Ecol Evol* **18**, 344–350. (doi: 10.1016/s0169-5347(03)00069)
- 379 15. Weaver SC, Reisen WK. 2010 Present and future arboviral threats. *Antiviral Res* **85**,
380 328-345. (doi: 10.1016/j.antiviral.2009.10.008)
- 381 16. de Lamballerie X, Leroy E, Charrel RN, Tsetsarkin K, Higgs S, Gould EA. 2008
382 Chikungunya virus adapts to tiger mosquito via evolutionary convergence: a sign of
383 things to come? *Virol J* **5**, 33. (doi: 10.1186/1743-422X-5-33)

- 384 17. Delatte H, Dehecq JS, Thiria J, Domerg C, Paupy C, Fontenille D. 2008 Geographic
385 distribution and developmental sites of *Aedes albopictus* (Diptera: Culicidae) during a
386 Chikungunya epidemic event. *Vector Borne Zoonotic Dis* **8**, 25-34. (doi:
387 10.1089/vbz.2007.0649)
- 388 18. Tsetsarkin KA, Vanlandingham DL, McGee CE, Higgs S. 2007 A single mutation in
389 chikungunya virus affects vector specificity and epidemic potential *PLoS Pathog* **3**,
390 e201. (doi:10.1371/journal.ppat.0030201)
- 391 19. Vazeille M, Moutailler S, Coudrier D, Rousseaux C, Khun H, Huerre M, Thiria J,
392 Dehecq JS, Fontenille D, Schuffenecker I, *et al.* 2007 Two Chikungunya isolates from
393 the outbreak of La Reunion (Indian Ocean) exhibit different patterns of infection in
394 the mosquito, *Aedes albopictus*. *PLoS One* **2**, e1168.
395 (doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0001168)
- 396 20. Tsetsarkin KA, Chen R, Sherman MB, Weaver SC. Chikungunya virus: evolution and
397 genetic determinants of emergence. *Curr Opin Virol* **1**, 310-317. (doi:
398 10.1016/j.coviro.2011.07.004)
- 399 21. Rezza G, Nicoletti L, Angelini R, Romi R, Finarelli AC, Panning M, Cordioli P,
400 Fortuna C, Boros S, Magurano F, *et al.* Infection with chikungunya virus in Italy: an
401 outbreak in a temperate region. *Lancet* **370**, 1840-1846.
- 402 22. Schuffenecker I, Itean I, Michault A, Murri S, Frangeul L, Vaney MC, Lavenir R,
403 Pardigon N, Reynes JM, Pettinelli F, *et al.* 2006 Genome microevolution of
404 chikungunya viruses causing the Indian Ocean outbreak. *PLoS Med* **3**, e263. (doi:
405 10.1371/journal.pmed.0030263)
- 406 23. Grandadam M, Caro V, Plumet S, Thiberge JM, Souares Y, Failloux AB, Tolou HJ,
407 Budelot M, Cosserat D, Leparc-Goffart I, *et al.* Chikungunya virus, southeastern
408 France. *Emerg Infect Dis* **17**, 910-913. (doi: 10.3201/eid1705.101873)

- 409 24. Tilston N, Skelly C, Weinstein P. 2009 Pan-European Chikungunya surveillance:
410 designing risk stratified surveillance zones. *Int J Health Geogr* **8**, 61. (doi:
411 10.1186/1476-072X-8-61)
- 412 25. Dubrulle M, Mousson L, Moutailler S, Vazeille M, Failloux AB. 2009 Chikungunya
413 virus and *Aedes* mosquitoes: saliva is infectious as soon as two days after oral
414 infection. *PLoS One* **4**, e5895. (doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0005895)
- 415 26. Vega-Rúa A, Zouache K, Girod R, Failloux AB, Lourenço-de-Oliveira R. 2014 High
416 vector competence of *Aedes aegypti* and *Aedes albopictus* from ten American
417 countries as a crucial factor of the spread of Chikungunya *J Virol* (doi:
418 10.1128/JVI.00370-14)
- 419 27. Vega-Rua A, Zouache K, Caro V, Diancourt L, Delaunay P, Grandadam M, Failloux
420 AB. 2013 High efficiency of temperate *Aedes albopictus* to transmit chikungunya and
421 dengue viruses in the Southeast of France. *PLoS One* **8**, e59716. (doi:
422 10.1371/journal.pone.0059716)
- 423 28. Lourenço-de-Oliveira R, Rua AV, Vezzani D, Willat G, Vazeille M, Mousson L,
424 Failloux AB. 2013 *Aedes aegypti* from temperate regions of South America are highly
425 competent to transmit dengue virus. *BMC Infect Dis* **13**, 610. (doi: 10.1186/1471-
426 2334-13-610)
- 427 29. Hastie TJ, Pregibon D. 1992. Generalized linear models. In *Statistical Models in S* (ed.
428 JM Chambers and TJ Hastie), pp. 195-248. California: Wadsworth and Brooks /Cole.
- 429 30. Tétard-Jones C, Kertesz MA, Gallois P, Preziosi RF. 2007 Genotype-by-genotype
430 interactions modified by a third species in a plant-insect system. *Am Nat* **170**, 492-
431 499.
- 432

- 433 31. Bryner SF, Rigling D. 2011 Temperature-dependent genotype-by-genotype interaction
434 between a pathogenic fungus and its hyperparasitic virus *Am Nat* **177**, 65-74. (doi:
435 10.1086/657620)
- 436 32. Sadd BM. 2011 Food-environment mediates the outcome of specific interactions
437 between a bumblebee and its trypanosome parasite. *Evolution* **65**, 2995-3001. (doi:
438 10.1111/j.1558-5646.2011.01345.x)
- 439 33. Cayetano L1, Vorburger C. 2013 Effects of heat shock on resistance to parasitoids and
440 on life history traits in an aphid/endosymbiont system *PLoS One* **8**, e75966. (doi:
441 10.1371/journal.pone.0075966)
- 442 34. Tsetsarkin KA, Weaver SC. 2011 Sequential adaptive mutations enhance efficient
443 vector switching by Chikungunya virus and its epidemic emergence. *PLoS Pathog* **7**,
444 e1002412. (doi: 10.1371/journal.ppat.1002412)
- 445 35. Kilpatrick AM, Meola MA, Moudy RM, Kramer LD. 2008 Temperature, viral
446 genetics, and the transmission of West Nile virus by *Culex pipiens* mosquitoes. *PLoS*
447 *Pathog* **4**, e1000092. (doi: 10.1371/journal.ppat.1000092)
- 448 36. Adelman ZN, Anderson MA, Wiley MR, Murreddu MG, Samuel GH, Morazzani EM,
449 Myles KM. 2013 Cooler temperatures destabilize RNA interference and increase
450 susceptibility of disease vector mosquitoes to viral infection *PLoS Negl Trop Dis.* **7**,
451 e2239. (doi: 10.1371/journal.pntd.0002239)
- 452 37. Zouache K, Raharimalala FN, Raquin V, Tran-Van V, Raveloson LHR, Pierre
453 Ravelonandro P, Mavingui P. 2011 Bacterial diversity of field-caught mosquitoes,
454 *Aedes albopictus* and *Aedes aegypti*, from different geographic regions of Madagascar.
455 *FEMS Microbiology Ecology* **75**, 377-389. (doi: 10.1111/j.1574-6941.2010.01012.x)
- 456 38. Boissière A, Tchioffo MT, Bachar D, Abate L, Marie A, Nsango SE, Shahbazkia HR,
457 Awono-Ambene PH, Levashina EA. 2012 Midgut Microbiota of the Malaria

- 458 Mosquito Vector *Anopheles gambiae* and Interactions with *Plasmodium falciparum*
459 Infection. *PLoS Pathog* **8**, e1002742. (doi: 10.1371/journal.ppat.1002742)
- 460 39. Ramirez JL, Souza-Neto J, Torres Cosme R, Rovira J, Ortiz A, Juan M. Pascale,
461 George Dimopoulos. 2012 Reciprocal Tripartite Interactions between the *Aedes*
462 *aegypti* Midgut Microbiota, Innate Immune System and Dengue Virus Influences
463 Vector Competence. *PLoS Negl Trop Dis* **6**, e1561. (doi:
464 10.1371/journal.pntd.0001561.)
- 465 40. Gusmão DS, Santos AV, Marini DC, Bacci Jr. M, Berbert-Molina MA, Lemos FJA.
466 2010 Culture-dependent and culture-independent characterization of microorganisms
467 associated with *Aedes aegypti* (Diptera: Culicidae) (L.) and dynamics of bacterial
468 colonization in the midgut *Acta Tropica* **115**, 275–281. (doi:
469 10.1016/j.actatropica.2010.04.011)
- 470 41. Zouache K, Michelland RJ, Failloux AB, Grundmann GL, Mavingui P. 2012
471 Chikungunya virus impacts the diversity of symbiotic bacteria in mosquito vector.
472 *Mol Ecol* **9**, 2297-309. (doi: 10.1111/j.1365-294X.2012.05526.)
- 473 42. Murdock CC, Blanford S, Hughes GL, Rasgon JL, Thomas MB. 2014 Temperature
474 alters *Plasmodium* blocking by *Wolbachia*. *Sci Rep* **4**, 3932. (doi: 10.1038/srep03932)
- 475
476
477
478
479
480
481
482

483 **Figure and Table Legends**

484

485 **Figure 1. Phylogenetic relationship among CHIKV strains inferred from complete**
486 **genome sequences (11,237 nucleotides)**

487 Bootstrap support values (1,000 replicates) are indicated at major nodes. Scale bar indicates
488 the number of base substitutions per site. French strains used in this study are shown in bold.

489

490 **Figure 2. Effect of mosquito population, viral strain and temperature on transmission**
491 **efficiency**

492 Transmission efficiency (TE) is the overall proportion of females that had infectious virus in
493 their saliva six days after exposure to the virus during an infectious blood meal. Different
494 panels represent different mosquito populations (left column: temperate regions; right
495 column: tropical regions). In each panel, the average TE across two experiments is shown for
496 both viral strains (indicated on the x-axis) under two temperature regimes (blue line: 20°C;
497 red line: 28°C). Vertical bars are the TE confidence intervals.

498

499 **Table 1. Test statistics of virus transmission efficiency**

500 d.f.: degrees of freedom; res. dev.: residual deviance. Transmission efficiency is calculated as
501 the overall proportion of females that had infectious saliva (*i.e.*, among all tested females with
502 or without a disseminated infection).

503

504 **Table 2. Test statistics of virus dissemination efficiency**

505 d.f.: degrees of freedom; res. dev.: residual deviance. Dissemination efficiency is calculated
506 as the proportion of tested females that had infected head tissues (*i.e.*, in which the virus
507 successfully disseminated from the midgut). In this analysis, the four-way interaction between

508 experiment, population, viral strain and temperature could not be supported by the model, due
509 to the strongly unbalanced distribution of the response variable (>90% of mosquitoes had a
510 disseminated infection).

511

512 **Table 3. Test statistics of virus transmission rate**

513 d.f.: degrees of freedom; res. dev.: residual deviance. Transmission rate is calculated as the
514 proportion of females with infectious saliva among females with a disseminated infection.

515

516 **Table S1. Amino acids differences in the structural protein (nsp) between CHIKV 2010-
517 1909, CHIKV 06-021 and different chikungunya strains***

518 *Molecular signatures were based on the analysis of complete amino-acid sequence of nsp1, nsp2 and
519 nsp3 (2474 amino acids). The numbering of amino-acid positions refers to the African isolate S27.
520 CHIKV 2010-1909 and CHIKV 06-021 strains are highlighted in red. Colors correspond to amino-
521 acid changes among ECSA phylogroup (green) or Asian phylogroup (pink). CHIKV, chikungunya
522 virus. ECSA, East Central South Africa.

523

524 **Table S2 Amino acids differences in the non structural protein between CHIKV 2010-
525 1909, CHIKV 06-021 and different chikungunya strains***

526 *Molecular signatures were based on the analysis of complete amino acid sequence of C, E3,
527 E2, 6K and E1 glycoprotein (1244 amino acids). The numbering of amino-acid positions
528 refers to the African isolate S27.

529 CHIKV 2010-1909 and CHIKV 06-021 strains are highlighted in red. Colors correspond to amino-
530 acid change among ECSA phylogroup (yellow) or Asian phylogroup (purple). CHIKV,
531 chikungunya virus. C, capsid. E, envelope (glycoprotein). ECSA, East Central South Africa.

532 †The amino acid substitution E2-V264A was unique to France 2010-1909 CHIKV isolate.

533

534

535

536

537 **Table 1. Test statistics of virus transmission efficiency**

538

Factor	d.f.	res. dev.	P-value
Experiment	1	0.1	0.70797
Population	5	63.0	2.9e-12
Viral strain	1	0.2	0.6465
Temperature	1	2.6	0.10696
Experiment * Population	5	21.5	0.00066
Experiment * Viral strain	1	0.2	0.65539
Population* Viral strain	5	29.8	1.6e-5
Experiment * Temperature	1	0.2	0.64037
Population * Temperature	5	15.4	0.00893
Viral strain * Temperature	1	22.3	2.3e-6
Experiment * Population * Viral strain	5	7.3	0.19681
Experiment * Population * Temperature	5	4.1	0.53824
Experiment * Viral strain * Temperature	1	3.2	0.07471
Population * Viral strain * Temperature	5	23.8	0.00024
Experiment * Population * Viral strain * Temperature	5	8.5	0.13281

539 d.f.: degrees of freedom; res. dev.: residual deviance. Transmission efficiency is calculated as the overall
540 proportion of females that had infectious saliva (*i.e.*, among all tested females with or without a
541 disseminated infection).

542

543 **Table 2. Test statistics of virus dissemination efficiency**

544


Factor	d.f.	res. dev.	P-value
Experiment	1	4.9	0.0272
Population	5	60.4	1e-11
Viral strain	1	33	9.3e-9
Temperature	1	25.4	4.6e-7
Experiment * Population	5	21	0.0008
Experiment * Viral strain	1	10	0.0016
Experiment * Viral strain	5	13.3	0.0208
Experiment * Temperature	1	0.1	0.7547
Population * Temperature	5	2.7	0.7397
Viral strain * Temperature	1	0.6	0.4380
Experiment * Population * Viral strain	5	1.4	0.925
Experiment * Population * Temperature	5	10.2	0.0699
Experiment * Viral strain * Temperature	1	1.8	0.1782
Experiment * Viral strain * Temperature	5	11.1	0.0486

545 d.f.: degrees of freedom; res. dev.: residual deviance. Dissemination efficiency is calculated as the
546 proportion of tested females that had infected head tissues (*i.e.*, in which the virus successfully
547 disseminated from the midgut). In this analysis, the four-way interaction between experiment,
548 population, viral strain and temperature could not be supported by the model, due to the strongly
549 unbalanced distribution of the response variable (>90% of mosquitoes had a disseminated
550 infection).


551 **Table 3. Test statistics of virus transmission rate**

Factor	d.f.	res. dev.	P-value
Experiment	1	1.5	0.2235
Population	5	42.6	4.6e-8
Viral strain	1	1.8	0.1798
Temperature	1	0	0.9285
Experiment * Population	5	20.5	0.001
Experiment * Viral strain	1	0.1	0.7526
Population* Viral strain	5	17.4	0.0037
Experiment * Temperature	1	1.2	0.2718
Population * Temperature	5	11.3	0.0457
Viral strain * Temperature	1	17	3.8e-5
Experiment * Population * Viral strain	5	2.7	0.7396
Experiment * Population * Temperature	5	7.3	0.2020
Experiment * Viral strain * Temperature	1	2.3	0.1321
Population * Viral strain * Temperature	5	19.4	0.0016
Experiment * Population * Viral strain * Temperature	5	6.9	0.2317

552 d.f.: degrees of freedom; res. dev.: residual deviance. Transmission rate is calculated as the
553 proportion of females with infectious saliva among females with a disseminated
554



Bootstrap support values (1,000 replicates) are indicated at major nodes. Scale bar indicates the number of base substitutions per site. French strains used in this study are shown in bold.
172x128mm (300 x 300 DPI)



Transmission efficiency (TE) is the overall proportion of females that had infectious virus in their saliva six days after exposure to the virus during an infectious blood meal. Different panels represent different mosquito populations (left column: temperate regions; right column: tropical regions). In each panel, the average TE across two experiments is shown for both viral strains (indicated on the x-axis) under two temperature regimes (blue line: 20°C; red line: 28°C). Vertical bars are the TE confidence intervals.
188x239mm (300 x 300 DPI)