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Evidence for a dual role of actin in regulating chromosome organization and dynamics in yeast
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ABSTRACT

Eukaryotic chromosomes undergo movements that are involved in the regulation of functional processes such as DNA repair. To better understand the origin of these movements, we used fluorescence microscopy, image analysis and chromosome conformation capture to quantify the actin contribution to chromosome movements and interactions in budding yeast. We show that the cytoskeletal and nuclear actin drive local chromosome movements, independently of Csm4, a putative LINC protein. Inhibition of actin polymerization reduces subtelomere dynamics, resulting in more confined territories and enrichment in subtelomeric contacts. Artificial tethering of actin to nuclear pores increased both nuclear pore complex (NPC) and subtelomere motion. Chromosome loci that were positioned away from telomeres exhibited reduced motion in the presence of an actin polymerization inhibitor but were unaffected by the lack of Csm4. We further show that actin was required for locus mobility that was induced by targeting the chromatin-remodeling protein Ino80. Correlated with this, DNA repair by homologous recombination was less efficient. Overall, interphase chromosome dynamics are modulated by the additive effects of cytoskeletal actin through forces mediated by the nuclear envelope and nuclear actin, probably through the function of actin in chromatin-remodeling complexes.
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INTRODUCTION

All genomes exhibit multiple levels of organization. Dynamic changes of this organization are observed concomitantly with the proceeding of most, if not all, DNA-related metabolic processes, such as replication, transcription and repair. Experimental evidence points to a role of this dynamic organization in the functional regulation of these important processes (Soutoglou and Misteli, 2007). Hence, the origin of chromosome dynamics is being increasingly investigated, with important efforts aiming to characterize both active and passive mechanisms (for reviews, see Kapoor and Shen, 2014). Nuclear actin has also been shown to modulate transcription through binding to all three essential gene, ACT1, encoding actin. The yeast Act1 protein is found in NuA4, a histone acetyl transferase complex, as well as in the chromatin-remodeling complexes SWR1 and INO80. The INO80 module containing Arp4 and Arp8 (Kapoor et al., 2013). Targeting of Ino80 to a given locus in vivo enhances motion of the locus, through a process involving the ATPase activity of Ino80 (Neumann et al., 2012). The exact role of actin in regulating these functions is, however, unclear, notably its influence on chromosome dynamics.

One of the mechanisms that links the cytoskeleton to chromosomes functions through proteins that contain SUN and KASH domains. These proteins, located in the nuclear envelope, form a linker of nucleoskeleton and cytoskeleton (LINC) complex that physically connects the cytoskeleton to chromosomes. During
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meiotic prophase, a dynamic reorganization of the chromosomes is ensured by the LINC complex (Horn et al., 2013; Rog and Dernburg, 2013; Sheehan and Pawlowski, 2009). In budding yeast, *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*, these movements are driven by forces generated at the level of the actin cytoskeleton and transduced through the nuclear envelope and Csm4 to the telomeres (Conrad et al., 2008; Koszul et al., 2008; Scherthan, 2007; Trelles-Sticken et al., 2000).

During interphase of yeast vegetative cells, dynamic interactions of chromosomes with the nuclear envelope are observed, but the rapid global movements described in meiosis remain specific to that cell stage. The dynamics of discrete chromosomal loci depend on their chromosomal and nuclear position, for which the nuclear envelope appears to play a crucial role. More specifically, the 32 chromosome ends of a haploid *S. cerevisiae* genome are tethered to the nuclear envelope through redundant pathways that involve Esc1, Mps3, Csm4, the silencing information regulator (Sir) proteins and the nuclear pore complex (NPC) (Bupp et al., 2007; Feuerbach et al., 2002; Galy et al., 2000; Hediger et al., 2002; Hiraga et al., 2008; Taddei et al., 2004; Therizols et al., 2006; Van de Vosse et al., 2013). As a result of their attachment to the nuclear envelope, subtelomere movements occur within a relatively confined environment (Heun et al., 2001; Therizols et al., 2010).

Here, we investigate the role of actin and Csm4 in the dynamics and organization of chromosomes in the *S. cerevisiae* nucleus through a combination of cellular imaging and genetic techniques. We show that the diffusion of NPCs is reduced in the absence of polymerized actin, whereas the bridging of actin filaments to NPCs increases their diffusion. Such a bridge increases subtelomere dynamics but not that of an internal locus. Interestingly, the presence of latrunculin A reduces the movement of chromosomal regions located far from the nuclear envelope. Inhibition of actin polymerization also remodels the nuclear territories of subtelomeres in both wild type (WT) and Δcsm4 cells, pointing to a role of actin in actively increasing the territories explored by chromosome loci during interphase. In these conditions, contacts between subtelomeres increase. The presence of latrunculin A prevents local mobility owing to targeted complex-remodeling protein Ino80. In these conditions, targeted homologous recombination following induced double-strand breaks (DSBs) is reduced. We show that actin is required for active chromosome dynamics and suggest that motion is generated by an additive effect at the cytoplasmic level, possibly transduced to subtelomeres through the nuclear envelope and NPCs and, in the nucleus, probably through chromatin-remodeling complex functions.

**RESULTS**

**Antagonistic effects of Csm4 and polymerized actin on subtelomere dynamics**

To investigate the potential role(s) of actin in controlling chromosome motion, we monitored the dynamics of three subtelomeric loci labeled with the fluorescent repressor–operator system (FRoS) comprising a GFP-tagged tetracycline repressor and tetracycline operator (TetO/TetR–GFP) (Michaelis et al., 1997). Chromosome extremities are known to be positioned close to the nuclear periphery and in the vicinity of NPCs (Bupp et al., 2007; Feuerbach et al., 2002; Galy et al., 2000; Hediger et al., 2002; Hiraga et al., 2008; Taddei et al., 2004; Therizols et al., 2010; Van de Vosse et al., 2013), and could therefore be more sensitive to actin cytoskeleton defects. The loci were chosen on chromosome arms of different lengths, ranging from short to long (Tel14R, 157 kb; Tel5R, 430 kb; Tel15R, 705 kb; Fig. 1A; Fig. S1A).

To deplete actin filaments, we incubated the cells at room temperature in the presence of 30 μM latrunculin A (hereafter referred to as Lat) for 30 min before imaging and monitored drug efficiency with actin-binding protein Abp140p. Lat was preferred over other actin depolymerizing drugs (cytochalasin, swinholide) because of its ability to bind to and trap actin monomers specifically through its insertion into the barbed end of actin (Morton et al., 2000). As a control, cells were incubated in Lat solvent, DMSO (Fig. 1A).

The movements of subtelomeres were tracked using time-lapse fluorescence microscopy in 500–1500 cells, and the mean square displacements (MSD) were computed (Fig. 1B; Fig. S1A; Materials and Methods). In both WT and Lat-treated cells, the MSD revealed a consistent scaling law with time interval Δt, MSD=4CΔtα described by an exponent α≈0.5 (Materials and Methods). The prefactor C characterizes how fast the chromatin locus moves, the exponent is indicative of the type of diffusion, subdiffusive if α<1 or diffusive if α=1 (in this latter case, C would be identical to the diffusion coefficient). Our finding that α≈0.5 is in agreement with previous studies on other chromosome loci, which have reported subdiffusion with similar exponents in both prokaryotes and eukaryotes (Cabal et al., 2006; Hajjoul et al., 2013; Weber et al., 2010). This shows that subtelomeres undergo subdiffusive motion.

Interestingly, subtelomere dynamics in Lat-treated cells remained subdiffusive with the same exponent α≈0.5; the prefactor C substantially decreased in the presence of latrunculin, indicating a reduced motion of the chromatin locus (Fig. 1B, Table 1; Fig. S1A).

During yeast meiotic prophase, the actin cytoskeleton drives movements of the nuclear envelope LINC complex (Conrad et al., 2008; Koszul et al., 2008). To test whether a similar interplay determines subtelomeric dynamics during vegetative growth, the imaging analysis was performed in Mps3 and Csm4 mutant strains, two members of the LINC complex whose absence impairs meiotic movements (Conrad et al., 2008; Wanat et al., 2008). ΔN1-75mps3 mutants quickly accumulate aneuploid chromosomes. This phenotype can be rescued in a mutant of the NPC, Δpom152 (Fig. S2; Witkin et al., 2010). Yet, Δpom152 itself has an effect on subtelomere organization (Fig. S2), making it difficult to non-ambiguously interpret the role of ΔN1-75mps3 in subtelomere positioning in the double mutant. Therefore, this mutant was discarded from further analysis. In contrast, Δcsm4 did not accumulate chromosomal defects. Subtelomeric subdiffusion appeared to be faster in Δcsm4 than in WT (Fig. 1B). Further analyses of subtelomere organization in this mutant revealed a release from the nuclear envelope (see below, Fig. 1C, Table 1; Fig. S1), confirming and extending previous observations of a delocalization of the long chromosome arm subtelomere Tel14L in the absence of Csm4 (Hiraga et al., 2008). Our results show that Csm4 is important for subtelomere positioning and for constrained dynamics. Interestingly, in the presence of latrunculin, subtelomere dynamics were decreased in Δcsm4 (Fig. 1B). This indicates a synergistic rather than an epistatic influence between defects in actin polymerization and the Δcsm4 mutant.

All together, these results point to an influence of actin filaments on subtelomere dynamics during interphase that is distinct from that observed during meiotic prophase.

**Csm4 and actin differentially modulate the characteristics of subtelomeric territories**

To determine the impact of the decreased chromosome mobility on their overall organization, the territories occupied in the nuclear space by the subtelomeres were computed from thousands of cells using nucloc, an automated image analysis program (Berger et al., 2008;
Fig. 1. Subtelomere motion and territory are differentially affected in the absence of polymerized actin or KASH-like protein Csm4, and subtelomere–subtelomere contacts are increased in the absence of polymerized actin. (A) Top, actin filaments visualized by staining for Abp140 (arrow) are lost upon latrunculin A treatment (+Lat). Scale bar: 1 µm. Bottom, schematic of the TetO/TetR–GFP-labeled subtelomere Tel15R located on the long right arm of chromosome XV in *S. cerevisiae*. Size is indicated in kb on the right, the centromere as a red dot, the TetO/TetR–GFP arrays as a green triangle and telomeres as black boxes. (B) MSD after tracking spots in 2D time-lapse video (10 Hz) in 500–1000 cells in untreated WT cells (grey, WT) or in the presence of latrunculin A (pink, WT +Lat), in the Δcsm4 mutant strain without latrunculin A (blue, Δcsm4) and in the presence of latrunculin A (pink, Δcsm4 +Lat). Nuclei displacements were corrected, and one-third of the curve was taken into consideration to fit α and C (subdiffusion coefficient). Shaded area around the lines represent ±s.e.m. (C) Nuclear territories mapped by automated analysis of 3D images of ~750–1500 nuclei using the nucloc software (Berger et al., 2008; Therizols et al., 2010). Left, in each nucleus, the SPB is visible as a red dot on the 3D projection of a z-stack. Nucloc uses 3D coordinates of the SPB together with the center of mass of the nucleus to orient each nucleus and to generate probability maps of loci positions. Right, locus probability maps of synchronized G1 cells under different conditions, WT and Δcsm4 with (+Lat) or without (−Lat) latrunculin B. The dark red area in each map has the highest probability density and contains the locus in 10% of nuclei. *N* is the total number of analyzed nuclei. (D) Left, correlation matrices of subtelomere–subtelomere contacts with and without latrunculin A from genome-wide chromosome conformation capture (3C-seq analysis). Subtelomeres are sorted according to their chromosome arm length into three subgroups. The first group corresponds to the smallest arms ranging from 80 kb (Tel1R) to 155 kb (Tel14R). The second group corresponds to arms of intermediate size ranging from 202 kb (Tel3R) to 355 kb (Tel9L). The last group corresponds to telomeres of the longest arms ranging from 392 kb (Tel16R) to 1082 kb (Tel4R). Right, interaction score according to genomic position on subtelomeres. Correlation matrices with ungrouped subtelomeres are shown in Fig. S1D.
null
Because Csm4, which plays such a role during meiosis prophase, did not appear to do so in G1, we examined NPC dynamics under conditions that affect actin filament formation. We characterized the mobility of wild-type NPCs in both tropomyosin mutants and under latrunculin-A-treated conditions. Tropomyosin stabilizes actin cables and is encoded by the paralogous genes TPM1 and TPM2 (Drees et al., 1995). At 34.5°C, actin filaments are destabilized in the thermosensitive strain Δpm2 tpm1-1 (Pruyne et al., 1998). Actin cables were labeled using Abp140-GFP (Riedl et al., 2008). Cytoplasmic actin cables were depleted both in tropomyosin mutants at the non-permissive temperature and in the presence of latrunculin A (Fig. 2). NPC diffusion was visualized with nucleoporin Nup49 fused to GFP (Nup49-GFP) and by fluorescence recovery after photobleaching (FRAP) of a region of the nuclear envelope (Materials and Methods) in WT cells and under actin-depolymerizing conditions (Fig. 2A). To compare quantitatively different situations, we considered the fluorescence recovered after 30 s. In WT or tropomyosin mutant cells, grown at 30°C, 30%±3% fluorescence was recovered after 30 s. Nuclear pore mobility was compromised upon addition of latrunculin A to a WT culture (20%±3%, Fig. 2B) or in tropomyosin mutant cells at the restrictive temperature (20%±1.5%, Fig. 2D). Deletion of nucleoporin components, Δcsm4 (Fig. 2B) or members of the NPC (Δpom152; Δnup170) did not result in significant effects on nuclear pore diffusion (Fig. S3, see Discussion). Furthermore, nuclear pore dynamics remained unchanged in a background in which the chromatin-remodeling complex INO80 was compromised (Δrop8, Fig. S3). These results show that actin cytoplasmic filaments are required for nuclear pore diffusion.

**Artificial tethering of actin to the nuclear envelope increases NPC diffusion and subtelomere dynamics**

Having shown that lack of actin filaments impairs NPC and subtelomere dynamics, we sought to obtain direct evidence of the mechanical link between nuclear pore diffusion and subtelomere dynamics. In order to see if increasing the nuclear pore dynamics would result in an increase in chromosome mobility, we anchored actin to Nup49. To do so, the actin-cable-binding domain LifeAct (Riedl et al., 2008) was fused to Nup49–GFP and put under the control of the wild-type NUP49 promoter (LifeAct–GFP–Nup49, hereafter named LGN) in a Δnup49 background (Fig. 3). Given the fact that NUP49 is essential for cell survival, we first constructed two shuffling strains in which Δnup49 was complemented by two plasmids, one expressing wild-type Nup49 and another expressing either Nup49–GFP or LGN. If LGN can efficiently complement Δnup49, it should be possible to recover cells that express only LGN. This was the case with a frequency of spontaneous loss three times more efficient for Nup49–GFP (40% and 13.5% for Nup49-GFP and LGN, respectively). The respective division times were 1 h 45 min and 2 h 20 min for cells expressing Nup49–GFP and cells expressing the LGN fusion protein. As observed with fluorescence and confirmed with structured illuminated microscopy (SIM), LGN concentrated into bright discrete structures at the NPC that colocalized with another integral component of NPC fused to a red variant, Nup159–mCherry (Fig. 3; Hayakawa et al., 2012). Interestingly, the nuclear envelope exhibited important deformations, with elongated structures reminiscent of those observed in an Δadj1 mutant during meiotic prophase, where subtelomeres are detached from the LINC complex, but actin cables keep pulling on the nuclear envelope external membrane, leading to nuclear envelope deformations (Koszul et al., 2008). In LGN-expressing cells, the nuclear envelope deformations were lost upon treatment with latrunculin A (Fig. S4). We conclude that LGN is a functional NPC protein and that its ability to connect to actin filaments modifies the morphology of the nuclear envelope. Nup49–GFP and LGN diffusion dynamics were then followed by using FRAP. FRAP experiments first revealed an increase in NPC diffusion in the strain expressing LGN compared to the strain that did not (+ and −LGN, respectively; Fig. 3B). NPC diffusion was greatly diminished in the presence of latrunculin A (Fig. S4). These observations indicate that LGN favors actin filament attachment to the nucleus and consequently Nup49–GFP diffusion in the nuclear membrane.

We then tested whether the LGN construct had an effect on subtelomere dynamics. The MSD of subtelomere Tel15R was characterized in the presence or absence of LGN. Subtelomere dynamics remained subdiffusive with a power of law of α=0.5, the subdiffusion coefficient increased significantly (P-value Kolmogorov–Smirnov test=0.0065; Fig. 3). In addition, subtelomere dynamics decreased in the presence of latrunculin A (Fig. S4). Altogether, these results establish that NPC association to the cytoskeleton influences both NPC and subtelomere motion, pointing at the nuclear envelope as a regulator of subtelomere dynamics.

**Internal chromosome loci dynamics are reduced in the absence of polymerized actin but not in the absence of Csm4**

The links between actin cytoskeleton, NPC and subtelomere dynamics prompted us to analyze the motion of other chromosomal loci distant from the telomere, in the presence and absence of filamentous actin. A position within the right arm of chromosome IV, known to be preferentially positioned away from the periphery (735 kb from the centromere; Wong et al., 2012) was tracked by using time-lapse acquisition as described above, with or without latrunculin A (Materials and Methods). In the DMSO control, all loci exhibited similar prefactor C values in agreement with previous results (above and Hajjoul et al., 2013). Interestingly, in the presence of latrunculin A, a marked decrease in subdiffusion was observed both in WT and Δcsm4 cells (Fig. 4A; Fig. S1, Table S1). However, in the absence of treatment with latrunculin A, the movement of the middle chromosomal arm site was unaltered in Δcsm4 (Fig. 4B), indicating that this putative KASH ortholog is not involved in chromosome dynamics in general.

The effect of latrunculin A on the dynamics of chromosomal loci in interstitial regions points to two possible scenarios. Either the influence of actin inside the nuclear space results from the forces generated at the level of cytoplasmic actin filaments that are transduced to chromosomes, extending from subtelomeres to the entire chromosome, or alternatively this effect could result from the sequestration of nuclear monomeric actin by latrunculin. Indeed, the size of the latrunculin A molecule does allow its diffusion into the nucleus (Morton et al., 2000). The former scenario appears unlikely given that the dynamics of an internal locus is unaffected by the expression of LGN (Fig. 4B). Therefore, a likely effect of latrunculin A is that it impacts on the chromosome dynamics of all interstitial loci studied through the sequestration of nuclear actin.

**Actin is required for chromosomal movements induced by fusion of the chromatin remodeling protein Ino80 to LexA**

To address whether nuclear actin plays a role in chromosome mobility through chromatin-remodeling complexes, we implemented the LexA–Ino80 system described previously by Neumann et al. (2012; Methods). This system allows us to affect the mobility of a locus by targeting it with the Ino80 protein, the ATPase of the
chromatin-remodeling complex INO80. As expected, the mobility of a centromeric locus was increased when Ino80 was targeted into its vicinity through the use of the LexA–Ino80 fusion (C=4.76×10⁻³±2.84×10⁻⁴ µm²/s⁰.⁵ versus 6.3×10⁻³±3.94×10⁻⁴ for LexA and LexA–Ino80 transformed strains respectively; Fig. 5A, left). Following addition of latrunculin, the locus dynamics induced by LexA–Ino80 decreased and became similar to a control in which LexA alone had been targeted (C=4.4×10⁻³±1.19×10⁻⁴ µm²/s⁰.⁵ for LexA–Ino80 versus C=4.3×10⁻³±1.21×10⁻⁴ µm²/s⁰.⁵ for LexA alone; Fig. 5A, middle and right). Altogether, these results indicate that actin is essential for the Ino80-dependent increase in chromatin mobility.

Actin is required for efficient DNA repair through homologous recombination

Because actin is important for the regulation of chromosome dynamics by the chromatin-remodeling complex INO80, we hypothesized that DNA repair might be impaired in this context...
We therefore tested the efficiency of the homologous recombination repair pathway in the absence of filamentous actin. To do so, we turned to an ectopic recombination assay where a HO-endonuclease-induced DSB is generated within a recipient cassette carrying sequences identical to a donor cassette positioned elsewhere in the genome (Agmon et al., 2013; Aylon et al., 2004). DSB and homologous recombination repair kinetics were quantified through semi-quantitative PCR amplification (Fig. 5B, Materials and Methods; Agmon et al., 2013). Repair through homologous recombination between the donor and recipient cassettes is more efficient when both loci are positioned within subtelomeres of chromosome arms of similar sizes rather than of different sizes (Agmon et al., 2013). Using a strain in which both donor and recipient cassettes were positioned within the subtelomeres of short chromosome arms (Tel9R and an artificially truncated Tel13R-f; strain NA61-B8; Agmon et al., 2013), we performed a time-course experiment to measure repair through homologous recombination in the absence and presence of latrunculin A (Materials and Methods). In a genetic WT background, the HO-endonuclease-induced DSBs at the recipient cassette were efficiently repaired using the donor cassette through homologous recombination (Fig. 5B, left). Interestingly, in the presence of latrunculin, cells conserved the ability to repair HO-endonuclease-induced DSBs through homologous recombination but with a lower efficiency (Fig. 5B, right). These results suggest that, although Tel9R and Tel13R-f share overlapping nuclear territories (Agmon et al., 2013), the proximity of homologous sequences is not sufficient for homologous repair to take place efficiently in the presence of latrunculin. The drop in the efficiency of homologous recombination in the presence of latrunculin A remains to be further characterized and could result from several mechanisms that are not mutually exclusive – the established role for actin in the INO80 complex (Agmon et al., 2013; Bennett et al., 2013; Horigome et al., 2014; Kapoor et al., 2013) – or a possible role in facilitating homology search through chromosome jiggling.

**DISCUSSION**

Although it has been established that chromatin is subject to Brownian as well as active motion, how the latter active processes are generated remains unclear. We show that during yeast interphase, both cytoplasmic and nuclear actin is required for dynamic motion of chromosomal loci. First, we provide an integrated and quantitative set of evidence that the nuclear envelope can transduce mechanical forces from the actin cytoskeleton to chromosome ends, promoting active dynamics. Second, we show that nuclear actin is required for the motion of loci that are positioned away from the nuclear membrane. Nuclear actin is involved in chromatin mobility and can act in association with the chromatin-remodeling complex INO80. Finally, we identify a role for actin in the homologous repair of DSBs.

**Interphase subdiffusive chromatin dynamics requires actin**

During the G1 stage of the cell cycle, subtelomeres localize principally at the nuclear periphery, centromeres are tethered to the SPB through nuclear microtubules and internal loci are
preferentially found in the nuclear interior (Bystricky et al., 2005; Jin et al., 1998; Schober et al., 2008; Therizols et al., 2010; Verdaasdonk et al., 2013; Wong et al., 2012). We show that during G1, the motion of subtelomeric, peri-centromeric and interstitial loci is subdiffusive (exponent $\alpha \approx 0.5$). This is in agreement with previous studies, which have shown that locus motion does not obey free diffusion but is instead constrained with a subdiffusive behavior that is ubiquitously observed in bacteria, yeast and mammals (Heun et al., 2001; Marshall et al., 1997; Weber et al., 2012). The observed subdiffusive exponent is consistent with the Rouse model of polymer dynamics that assumes spring-like interactions between consecutive monomers, or alternatively with a viscoelastic response of the nuclear medium (Bronstein et al., 2009; Cabal et al., 2006; Hajjoul et al., 2013; Lucas et al., 2014; Weber et al., 2012). Although the anomalous exponent does not depend on the locus, the prefactor C shows variations relative to the genomic position, as exemplified by the reduced mobility of subtelomeres (Bystricky et al., 2005; Hajjoul et al., 2013; Heun et al., 2001). When actin filaments were depleted following addition of latrunculin A, the subdiffusive prefactor C was decreased, whereas the exponent $\alpha \approx 0.5$ was maintained, suggesting that actin filaments do not affect the constraint that prevents the locus from undergoing free diffusion. Consistent with previous work describing active ATP-dependent chromatin dynamics in yeast, our work establishes actin as a major driver of chromosome motion (Heun et al., 2001; Marshall et al., 1997; Steinberg et al., 2012; Weber et al., 2012). We failed to find directional movements, at least at the timescale we monitored ($\sim 100$ ms; data not shown). Of note, in mammalian U2OS cells, small-sized actin filaments can be visualized, excluded from chromatin-rich regions, which lack any persistent directed motion (Belin et al., 2013). Our observations are nevertheless coherent with actin involvement in chromatin-based long-range motion that has been described in former studies in other eukaryotes (Chuang et al., 2006; Dundr et al., 2007).

The 32 yeast subtelomeres typically cluster into 3–6 transient foci of 2–3 subtelomeres, suggesting that a subset of chromosome ends are not colocalizing at any given time (Hoze et al., 2013; Schober et al., 2008; Therizols et al., 2010). The decrease of the volume of subtelomere territories in the absence of functional actin is associated with significant reductions in subtelomere dynamics and with an approximately 15\% increase in subtelomere contacts, as detected by using 3C analysis. Hence, in the absence of actin-dependent motion, natural transient contacts are stabilized. This suggests a role for actin motion in the maintenance of subtelomere individualization through reducing subtelomere lifespan within foci. Interestingly, a potential role for the dynamic actin-mediated chromosome movements observed during yeast meiosis prophase has been found to solve unwanted entanglements and thus facilitate homolog pairing (Koszul et al., 2008; Koszul and Kleckner, 2009). Our observations suggest that such an influence of actin in maintaining individualization of chromosomes might extend to the vegetative cell cycle as well.

**Interplay between actin filaments, the nuclear envelope and chromosomes**

The nuclear envelope is a complex structure comprising a lipid bilayer and protein complexes that separates the nucleoplasm from the cytoplasm. During budding yeast meiosis, a protein complex
spanning through the nuclear envelope transmits mechanical forces from the actin cytoskeleton to chromosome ends, resulting in dynamic motion of the entire chromosome. The motion observed during interphase differs dramatically in its amplitude from the one that has been characterized during meiosis. During meiotic prophase, actin-dependent localization and dynamics of telomeres is impaired in the absence of either Csm4 (a putative KASH-like protein), the N-terminal region of Mps3 (SUN-like protein, $\Delta_{75-150}$mps3) or Ndj1, a meiotic telomere binding protein (Conrad et al., 2008; Koszul et al., 2008; Treilles-Sticken et al., 2005; Scherthan et al., 2007). In G1, Csm4 also influenced subtelomere organization and dynamics — in the mutant, the motion of delocalized subtelomere was increased, rather than reduced as it is in meiosis. The role of Csm4 in transducing a mechanical force from the cytoskeleton to chromosomes during meiosis prophase thus remains specific to that stage. The NPC was recently reported as being an additional intermediate between cytoskeleton forces and chromatin in the nuclear envelope. Cytosplastic molecular motors in both *S. cerevisiae* and *Ustilago Maydis* have been shown to prevent NPC clustering and to affect chromosome distribution (Steinberg et al., 2012). We did not observe such clustering in the absence of actin filaments in our strain backgrounds, but we observed slower nuclear pore mobility under actin-depolymerizing conditions. The deletions of *DYN2* or *PAC11* reduce NPC mobility (Steinberg et al., 2012), and Dyn2 and Pac11, which interact with Act1 and proteins of the NPCs (Stelter et al., 2007), might therefore be good candidates for establishing a bridge between actin cables and NPCs. In contrast, Pom152 and Nup170 have no effect on NPC mobility, although interactions between Nup170 and subtelomeres have recently been documented (Van de Vosse et al., 2013). Overall, these results are consistent with a pivotal role of the NPC in the regulation of subtelomere dynamics during interphase.

To test the role of the NPC as an intermediate between the actin cytoskeleton and chromosomes, two experiments were performed. First, using FRAP, we determined that the mobility of the NPC was decreased in the absence of actin filaments (both after latrunculin A addition or tropomyosin inactivation), establishing a link between actin and NPC dynamics. Second, we showed that increasing the connections of actin to the NPC increases subtelomere dynamics, pointing at a connection between NPC and chromatin. Subtelomere motion in WT cells therefore results, at least in part, from the dynamic interplay between the actin cytoskeleton, the NPC and chromosomes. Interestingly, in mammals, distinct cytoskeleton motors — i.e. the microtubules — have been recently shown to transduce a mechanical force through the LINC complex to increase the chromosome mobility of nearby damaged telomeres (Lottersberger et al., 2015).

**A role for nuclear actin in chromatin mobility**

Studying the influence of actin on nuclear processes is an intrinsically difficult task because every manipulation of cytoplasmic actin-cable depolymerization affects the equilibrium between G-actin and F-actin in the cell. This includes treatment with latrunculin A (Domazetovska et al., 2007; Schoenenberger et al., 2005). Therefore, techniques that tether cytoplasmic actin to a nucleoporin (Fig. 3), for example, appears to be a promising approach to distinguish between cytoplasmic and nuclear actin. Yet, the observation that latrunculin A affects the mobility of loci that are positioned away from the nuclear envelope (i.e. delocalized subtelomeres in the $\Delta_{sm}4$ mutant or interstitial chromosomal loci) suggests that the forces generated at the cytoplasmic level are not the only players in chromatin mobility and prompted us to test for a role of actin in the nucleus. We found that a fusion protein comprising actin and the LexA-binding domain under the control of a conditional promoter has a dominant-negative effect on cytoplasmic actin (data not shown). We therefore exploited the fusion between chromatin remodeling protein Ino80 and the LexA-binding protein (Neumann et al., 2012) to target Ino80 to LexA-binding sites. The increase in local chromatin mobility required the ATPase activity of Ino80 (Neumann et al., 2012) but was suppressed by the addition of latrunculin, showing that actin is also required for INO80 complex function. How actin acts in the remodeling complex to increase dynamics remains an open question, but it is known that, in vitro, an act1-2 mutant has a decreased affinity for chromatin and that latrunculin A might affect actin ATPase activity by binding to actin barbed ends (Morton et al., 2000). Because Ino80 is targeted to chromatin by LexA, we propose that defective chromatin motion due to latrunculin A binding to actin might not happen through a defective binding of the INO80 complex to chromatin but rather through the inability to assemble a functional INO80 complex.

**Functional consequences**

The addition of latrunculin A during meiosis prophase impairs the proper progression of the carefully regulated meiotic program. We found that actin-dependent chromosome movements affect homologous recombination repair efficiency. This repair mechanism requires the recruitment of INO80, SWR-C, NuA4, SWI/SNF and RSC to the DSBs during S phase (Bennett et al., 2013). Interestingly, the INO80, SWR-C and NuA4 complexes contain actin in both yeast and mammals (for review, see Kapoor and Shen, 2014). Of these three remodeling complexes, only the ATPase subunit of INO80 has been found to induce a local increase of mobility (Neumann et al., 2012). It is unknown at this stage if actin is affected to a similar extent in all remodeling complexes by the addition of latrunculin. It will be challenging to distinguish whether efficient repair requires local mobility, the presence of functional chromatin-remodeling complexes or both. Drug inhibition of actin under DSB-inducing conditions leads to chromosome fragmentation, indicating another role for actin in DNA repair (Shimada et al., 2013). Interestingly, a recent study in U2OS cells shows that nuclear actin filaments are generated upon DSB induction and, in turn, these filaments are required for efficient repair (Belin et al., 2015). A role of actin in DSB repair, perhaps through its function in chromatin dynamics, appears to be an evolutionarily conserved feature.

Overall, the present study shows that chromatin mobility during interphase requires actin. Our results open interesting perspectives regarding the interplay between cytoskeleton and chromosome organization, and the role of nuclear actin in chromatin-remodeling complexes. It is becoming increasingly clear that mechano-sensing mechanisms are able to transduce mechanical signals within and outside of the cells. For instance, signaling from the extracellular matrix to the nucleus has been described in mammals, and long nuclear filamentous structures that are sensitive to latrunculin A are associated with the NPCs of *Drosophila* oocytes, indicating intriguing interconnections that are likely to contribute to the complexity of cellular regulation (Kiseleva et al., 2004; Grinthal et al., 2010; Kumar et al., 2014).

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Yeast strains and plasmids**

All strains are derivatives of BY4741 and listed in Table S2. Strains tagged for subtelomeres have been described previously by Therizols et al. (2010). These strains were additionally marked for the SPB with *Spc29-mCherry* through PCR transformation and for the nuclear envelope with a plasmid
containing NUP49-GFP-ADE2, ∆tpm2, tpm-1 Δ mutant was obtained from I. Sagot Université de Bordeaux, Institut de Biochimie et Génétique Cellulaires, Bordeaux, France. Mutants (∆tsm4, ∆tpm152, ∆tspe170 and ∆arp8) were from the Euroscarf collection.

LifeAct-GFP and LifeAct-GFP-Nup49 fusions were constructed according to the Gilson method. Overlapping PCs from LifeAct, GFP or Nup49, and replicative plasmids containing ADE2 or KANMX were used to transform yeast. Plasmids were purified from yeast transformants, amplified in Escherichia coli and verified by sequencing.

**Centrifugal elutriation**

For cell-cycle synchronization in G1 phase, cells that had been grown in synthetic complete (SC) medium to exponential phase were harvested and resuspended in 50 ml of PBS (1×10^8–2×10^9 cells) and loaded into the chamber (Sanderson elutriation chamber; Beckman Coulter) at a flow rate of 20 ml/min and a rotor speed of 602 g, at 23°C. The elutriation chamber was filled by increasing the flow rate by 2 ml/min, followed by 1 h of cell equilibration. 50 ml were collected per fraction. The cell-cycle stage was determined on a subfraction by using fluorescence-activated cell sorting (FACS) using MACSQuant (Miltenyi) and FlowJo software. For imaging, cells were incubated for 10 min in SC medium and budded was observed after 30 min.

**Growth conditions, live imaging and image analysis**

For live imaging, overnight cultures in appropriate culture medium (SC medium) were diluted 1:50 and grown for two generations. Cells were patched onto SC medium containing 2% agar. Cover slides were sealed with 1/3 vineline, 1/3 lanoline and 1/3 paraffin (VaLaP). To depolymerize actin, latrunculin A was dissolved in DMSO at 30 µM and added to cells for 30 min. Exceptions were for cells used to generate gene maps, which were treated with latrunculin B (latrunculin B, Invitrogen Molecular Probes) dissolved in DMSO at 100 µM and incubated for 10 min. Control experiments were in the presence of the solvent. For LexA–Ino80 induction experiments, cells that had been grown in exponential phase in SC-Leu drop-out medium containing doxycycline (Dox) at 10 µg/ml, were washed once in SC-Leu drop-out medium without Dox and left to grow for 2 h. 3D images were captured as described previously (Therizols et al., 2010).

Stacks with a slice spacing of 300 nm were taken at an acquisition time of 200 ms for each wavelength excitation. Powers of diode pumped solid-state laser (DPSSL) were 75% for 488-nm excitation and 100% for 560 nm. Images were analyzed with the nucloc software (Berger et al., 2008) modified to use the SPB as a nuclear landmark. All 3D distances were computed after correction for chromatic aberrations. Locus probability maps were generated using kernel density estimation, and contours corresponded to percentiles of cells (Therizols et al., 2010). When comparing two locus probability maps, a P-value was attributed for the null hypothesis that the probability densities were identical. For 2D locus tracking, cells were imaged for 100 ms at 100% for 488-nm excitation for the TetO/TetR–GFP insertions and 100 ms at 100% for 560-nm excitation for Spc29–mCherry with a Nikon fluorescence microscope (Camera Andor Neo sCMOS, software Andor IQ 2.7.1, LED Lumencor Spectra X). At least 200 nuclei were tracked for 30 s.

2D locus trajectories were computed using an in-house ImageJ tracking plugin. The program located the locus and the center of the nucleus and corrected for the motion of the nucleus. The MSD values were computed using non-overlapping time intervals and fitted to power laws MSD=C×4Δtα using Matlab. To minimize statistical uncertainty, the fit was performed on the first third of the total duration of the experiment. Here, Δt is time interval, α is an exponent characterizing the motion (α=1 for normal diffusion, α=1 for subdiffusion) and C is a constant coefficient that corresponds to the diffusion coefficient for α=1. Because we consistently obtained α=0.5, the power law with the exponent was fixed to 0.5 (MSD=C×4Δt0.5) to obtain C values with the same units.

**Fluorescence recovery after photobleaching**

FRAP experiments were performed with an inverted confocal microscope UltraView ERS (26-01-08e). Samples were excited with a 488-nm laser at 100% for 800 ms. After recording five pre-bleach images, nuclei were bleached by the bleaching device at 488 nm at 100% for 50 ms. Post-bleaching images were taken every 10 s for 5 min. Nuclear drift was corrected by using the StackReg function in ImageJ, and fluorescence recovery was quantified using a Matlab program that computed: Inorm (t)=(Iend(t)−1)×100%, where Iend(t) is the intensity of the FRAP region at time t, Iend is the intensity of the background region, I0 is the normalized intensity, Iend−pre is the pre-bleach intensity.

**DNA repair assay**

This assay was performed as described previously, using strain NA61-B8 (Agmon et al., 2013). This strain contains a 1.2-kb recipient cassette (KanMX::HO-cs) and a homologous donor cassette (KanMX::ClaI). The strain also contains a GAL-inducible HO endonuclease. Cells were grown in YPL medium at 30°C to exponential phase. Cells were then centrifuged and incubated in 30 µM latrunculin A for 30 min or the equivalent volume of DMSO when 2% galactose was added. Subsequently, cells were diluted in YPgal medium, and samples were taken every 30 min for semi-quantitative PCR analysis with primers against Tel13R (Agmon et al., 2013). Control PCR analyses were performed using primers for the gene CSM4. After electrohoresis, bands were quantified using ImageJ.

**Generation of 3C libraries and generation of contact matrices**

3C libraries were prepared as described previously by Marie-Nelly et al. (2014). Cells that had been treated with 30 µM latrunculin A or DMSO were subjected to crosslinking with 3% formaldehyde for 30 min. 3C libraries were sheared after digestion with DpnII, and fragments of 400–800 bp were sequenced using 100-bp pair-end sequencing on an Illumina HiSeq2000. Genome-wide 3C matrices were built with bins of 1 restriction fragment and normalized with Sequential Component Normalization after filtering of non-relevant events for 3D information and removing poor interacting bins. Specific subtelomere matrices were constructed by taking 100 bins, representing about 35 kb, for each subtelomeric region. Each interaction between two different subtelomeric regions is the average of interaction scores between the bins belonging to two subtelomeric regions resulting in a 32×32 matrix. For each pair of vectors i,j, the (i,j) and (j,i) elements were set to zero and the (i,j) and (j,i) elements were positioned so that both vectors had the same order in the interactions. The correlation matrix was calculated by taking the Pearson coefficient between each pair of vectors using the cor function of R with complete method. Subtelomerizes were divided into three groups according to the size of the chromosome arm. The matrix representing interactions of the three different categories was obtained by calculating the average of elements of the correlation matrix between each group. To generate the plot of interactions along the subtelomeric region, genome-wide 3C matrices were built and normalized to represent the interactions between subtelomerizes along this region, comprising the first 20 or the last 20 bins of each chromosome (representing about 35 kb). 3C matrices were built as described above, except that bins of five restriction fragments were used. For each bin inside a subtelomeric region, the interaction score was the average of the interactions with the bins from other subtelomerizes. The final signal is the average of all subtelomeric regions. For the random set, an equal number of bins were chosen at random in the genome.
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