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A new asymmetric division contributes to the continuous production of infective trypanosomes in the tsetse fly

Brice Rotureau*, Ines Subota, Johanna Buisson and Philippe Bastin

SUMMARY
African trypanosomes are flagellated protozoan parasites that cause sleeping sickness and are transmitted by the bite of the tsetse fly. To complete their life cycle in the insect, trypanosomes reach the salivary glands and transform into the metacyclic infective form. The latter are expelled with the saliva at each blood meal during the whole life of the insect. Here, we reveal a means by which the continuous production of infective parasites could be ensured. Dividing trypanosomes present in the salivary glands of infected tsetse flies were monitored by live video-microscopy and by quantitative immunofluorescence analysis using molecular markers for the cytoskeleton and for surface antigens. This revealed the existence of two distinct modes of trypanosome proliferation occurring simultaneously in the salivary glands. The first cycle produces two equivalent cells that are not competent for infection and are attached to the epithelium. This mode of proliferation is predominant at the early steps of infection, ensuring a rapid colonization of the glands. The second mode is more frequent at later stages of infection and involves an asymmetric division. It produces a daughter cell that matures into the infective metacyclic form that is released in the saliva, as demonstrated by the expression of specific molecular markers – the calflagins. The levels of these calcium-binding proteins increase exclusively in the new flagellum during the asymmetric division, showing the commitment of the future daughter cell to differentiation. The coordination of these two alternative cell cycles contributes to the continuous production of infective parasites, turning the tsetse fly into an efficient and long-lasting vector for African trypanosomes.
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INTRODUCTION
Trypanosoma brucei are protozoan parasites that are responsible for human African trypanosomiasis or sleeping sickness, a re-emerging disease in numerous areas of Africa (Chappuis et al., 2010; Fevre et al., 2008; Simarro et al., 2008). African trypanosomes also cause ‘nagana’ in cattle with major socio-economical impacts (Brun et al., 2009). They proliferate as extracellular parasites in the bloodstream of their mammalian host and can, later on, cross the blood-brain barrier to provoke severe neurological symptoms that are fatal in the absence of treatment (Brun et al., 2009). Trypanosomes evade the host immune response by a sophisticated process of antigenic variation involving a dense surface coat of a single type of protein called variant surface glycoprotein (Berriman et al., 2005; Cross, 1975; Taylor and Rudenko, 2006). There is no vaccine and treatments are difficult to administer in rural areas and can provoke severe side effects (Brun et al., 2009).

African trypanosomes are transmitted by the bite of the tsetse fly and the geographical repartition of Glossina vectors governs the distribution of sleeping sickness (Brun et al., 2009; Simarro et al., 2008). A tsetse fly becomes infected during a blood meal on an infected mammal. To complete their life cycle, trypanosomes need to reach the tsetse salivary glands and to transform into infective metacyclic parasites that are found free in the saliva. This is not direct and requires several migration, proliferation and differentiation steps that take place in a strictly defined chronological order in specific fly tissues (up to 3 weeks) (Oberle et al., 2010; Rotureau et al., 2011; Sharma et al., 2008; Van Den Abbeele et al., 1999; Vickerman, 1985). Once trypanosomes are present in the salivary glands, a fly can produce hundreds of metacyclic parasites per day (Otieno and Darji, 1979) and remains infective for its whole life (~3 months), a meaningful fact considering that a rather low proportion of flies is infected (< 0.1%) (Aksoy et al., 2003; Brun et al., 2009). Moreover, fly behavior is altered by trypanosome infection that modifies the salivary composition, resulting in a drastically reduced anti-hemostatic potential and a hampered feeding performance. This forces infected flies to feed more often and for longer periods, which favors parasite transmission (Van Den Abbeele et al., 2010). Despite its crucial role in transmission, the way in which the continuous production of infective parasites is ensured in the salivary glands of the tsetse remains undetermined.

At least three main parasite morphotypes have been reported in the tsetse salivary glands (supplementary material Table S1): one epimastigote stage, where the basal body of the flagellum, which is linked to the DNA of the single mitochondrion (kinetoplast) (Robinson and Gull, 1991), is found in an anterior position relative to the nucleus; and two trypomastigote stages, where the basal body/kinetoplast complex is present at the posterior end of the cell. The epimastigote form and the first type of trypomastigote, called pre-metacyclic, are both attached to the salivary gland epithelium via elaborate extensions of their flagellum membrane (Tetley and Vickerman, 1985). The second type of trypomastigote is the free-swimming metacyclic form, the only one to be infective for mammals. Dividing attached epimastigotes have been reported (Steiger, 1973; Tetley and Vickerman, 1985; Vickerman, 1985; Vickerman et al., 1988) but their abundance, their mode of division and their significance for the parasite cycle has not been established. Some of these epimastigotes were recently observed to...
complete the first meiotic division (Peacock et al., 2011); however, the second step of the meiotic program, the subsequent cell fusion and the significance of these phenomena in trypanosome development remain to be clarified. Metacyclic trypanosomes express a variant surface glycoprotein coat (Vickerman, 1978) and are expelled at each blood meal with the saliva. They have been proposed to derive from the progressive migration of the kinetoplast to the posterior end, accompanied by the resorption of the flagellum membrane and the detachment from the epithelium, concomitant with the acquisition of a variant surface glycoprotein coat (Tetley and Vickerman, 1985). However, this model might not completely explain the continuous production of infective metacyclic parasites throughout the whole life of the vector (Sharma et al., 2009). We therefore investigated how trypanosomes proliferate in the salivary glands and how this process could be coupled to differentiation.

Trypanosomes were monitored by live video microscopy and by immunofluorescence using different molecular probes for cytoskeleton components, cell cycle markers and surface antigens to examine cell morphogenesis and parasite division in the salivary glands. We reveal the existence of two alternative modes of trypanosome proliferation occurring simultaneously in the salivary glands. The first one produces two equivalent progeny that are not competent for infection and remain attached to the epithelium. The second one results in an asymmetric division, producing a daughter that is apparently similar to its mother, and a daughter that differentiates to become infective. Remarkably, the first mode of proliferation is abundant at the early stages of infection, ensuring colonization of the salivary glands, whereas the second one is predominant at later stages. The balance between these two modes of division contributes to the continuous production of infective parasites in the saliva to ensure efficient transmission to the next mammalian host.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Trypanosome strains and cultures

The pleomorphic strain Trypanosoma brucei brucei AnTat1.1 (Le Ray et al., 1977) was used throughout this study. AnTat1.1 procyclic trypanosomes nucleasefected with the GFP-expressing construct pHD67E (Bingle et al., 2001) were also used to monitor parasite attachment and development within tissues. Cultures of bloodstream and procyclic forms were performed as described previously (Rotureau et al., 2011).

Tsetse fly infection, maintenance and dissection

A total of 2530 teneral males of Glossina morsitans morsitans from 8 to 96 hours post-eclosion were obtained from the UMR 177 IRD-CIRAD, Campus International de Baillarguet, Montpellier, France. Tsetse flies were infected, maintained and dissected as described previously (Rotureau et al., 2011). Flies were starved for at least 48 hours before being dissected 15 to 55 days post-ingestion. Tissues were then directly observed under the microscope or rapidly opened and flushed to resuspend parasites in culture medium or phosphate-buffered saline for further experiments.

Immunofluorescence

Cells were treated for immunofluorescence after paraformaldehyde or methanol fixation as described previously (Rotureau et al., 2011). DNA was stained with 4',6-diamidino-2-phenylindole (DAPI). The mAb25 antibody (IgG2a) recognizes a protein found all along the axoneme (Pradel et al., 2006), whereas the mAb22 (IgM) antibody detects an as yet unidentified antigen found at the proximal zone of both the mature and the pro-basal body (Bonhivers et al., 2008). The LSC4 antibody (IgG1) targets an epitope of the PFR2 protein (Kohl et al., 1999). The YL1/2 (Kilmartin et al., 1982) and KMX1 (Birkett et al., 1985) antibodies recognize tyrosinated α-tubulin and β-tubulin, respectively. The anti-CRD (Zamze et al., 1988) and the anti-BARP (Urwyler et al., 2007) antibodies were, respectively, used to label the cross-reactive determinant of the glycosyl-phosphatidylinositol anchors of the variant surface glycoproteins and the BARP antigens after a 30-second methanol fixation protocol. Parasites from dissected organs were immediately air-dried, fixed in a methanol bath at –20°C for about 30 seconds and re-hydrated in phosphate-buffered saline for 10 minutes before immunostaining. A calflagin antiserum that labels all proteins of the calflagin family was used after a 30-second methanol fixation (Giroud et al., 2009). The anti-SCC1 antibody targets the sister chromatid cohesin component 1 found in the nucleus only during the S and G2 phases of the cell cycle (Sharma et al., 2008). For each antibody, immunofluorescence experiments were repeated on trypanosomes issued from three to 13 different flies and from at least three distinct experimental infections. Phalloidin coupled to Alexa Fluor 555 (Invitrogen) was used according to the manufacturer’s recommendations to label actin fibers in whole fly tissues after overnight paraformaldehyde fixation.

Measurements and analyses

Samples were observed either with: (1) a DMR microscope (Leica) and images were captured with a CoolSnap HQ camera (Roper Scientific); (2) with a DMI4000 microscope (Leica) and images were acquired with a Retiga-SRV camera (Q-Imaging); or (3) with a digital D-Eclipse EZ-C1si confocal system (Nikon) installed on an Eclipse TE2000-E inverted microscope (Nikon). Pictures were analyzed and cell parameters were measured using the IPLab Spectrum 3.9 software (Scanalytics & BD Biosciences), the ImageJ 1.38× software (NIH) or the NIS-elements software (Nikon). For clarity purposes, the brightness and contrast of several pictures were adjusted after their analysis in accordance with editorial policies. To compare fluorescence intensities, background fluorescence was removed from all pictures and values were normalized to the highest value of each batch of experiment (Figs 3, 4). Measured parameters are: the total length of the cell (Total); the distance between the center of the most anterior nucleus and the anterior end of the cell (Nucleus 1/N1–Anterior); the distance between the center of the most anterior nucleus and the posterior end of the cell (Nucleus 1/N1–Posterior); the distance between the center of the most anterior nucleus and the kinetoplast associated with the old flagellum (Nucleus 1/N1–Old kinetoplast/K1); the distance between the kinetoplast associated with the old flagellum and the posterior end of the cell (Old kinetoplast/K1–Posterior); the length of the old flagellum measured on phase-contrast pictures (Old flagellum); the length of the axoneme of the old flagellum measured on pictures of mAb25 stained cells [Old flagellum (mAb25)]; the distance between the center of the most anterior nucleus and the kinetoplast associated with the new flagellum (Nucleus 1/N1–New kinetoplast/K2); the distance between the two kinetoplasts (Old kinetoplast/K1–New kinetoplast/K2); the distance between the kinetoplast associated with the new flagellum and the posterior end of the cell (New kinetoplast/K2–Posterior); the length of the new flagellum measured on phase-contrast pictures (New flagellum); the length of the axoneme of the new flagellum measured on pictures of mAb25 stained cells [New flagellum (mAb25)]; the distance between the center of the two nuclei (Nucleus 1/N1–Nucleus 2/N2); the distance between the center of the most posterior nucleus and the anterior end of the cell (Nucleus 2 / N2–Anterior); the distance between the center of the most posterior nucleus and the posterior end of the cell (Nucleus 2/N2–Posterior); and the distance between the center of the most posterior nucleus and the kinetoplast associated with the new flagellum (Nucleus 2/N2–New kinetoplast/K2). Statistical analyses were performed in Excel or with the KaleidaGraph V.4.0 software (Synergy Software). Normalized fluorescence intensity measurements (arbitrary units), morphometric measurements (µm) and cell counts were carried out as previously described (Rotureau et al., 2011) and plotted as means±s.d. Two-tailed unpaired t-tests or one-way ANOVA tests, with intergroup comparisons by Tukey ad-hoc post-tests with α=0.05, were performed, and significant results were indicated with ***P<0.0001, **P<0.001 and *P<0.01 (Fig. 1L, Fig. 2L, Fig. 3K, Fig. 4E,H, Fig. 5A).
RESULTS

Two modes of trypanosome proliferation in the salivary glands

In order to unravel the development of trypanosomes in the tsetse salivary glands, a total of 2530 teneral males of Glossina morsitans morsitans were infected with the pleomorphic strain Trypanosoma brucei brucei AnTat1.1 in 35 separate experiments. Out of the 594 flies dissected 15 to 55 days post-ingestion, 14.5% presented a salivary gland infection. This rate of mature infections was comparable with those previously observed (Peacock et al., 2007; Rotureau et al., 2011; Sharma et al., 2008; Van Den Abbeele et al., 1999; Vassella et al., 2009). Epimastigotes attached to the salivary glands were first examined directly in vivo (supplementary material Movie 1). Parasites expressing a cytosolic GFP were also used to verify the attachment of dividing cells in fixed salivary glands stained with phalloidin, a marker for the actin network of epithelial cells (supplementary material Movie 2 and Fig. S1). Details were obtained by monitoring live dividing epimastigotes released from opened salivary glands (supplementary material Movie 3), and by staining the flagellar axoneme (Fig. 1A-C, Fig. 2A,B) and paraflagellar rod (PFR in supplementary material Fig. S2), β-tubulin in the microtubule corset (Fig. 1F-G, Fig. 2E-F) and tyrosinated α-tubulin (Fig. 1D,E, Fig. 2C,D) as a marker of cytoskeleton elongation (Sherwin and Gull, 1989). The cell cycle status was verified by labeling the DNA with 4',6-diamidino-2-phenylindole (DAPI staining in red with N for nucleus and K for...
kinetoplast) and the cohesin sub-unit SCC1 (Fig. 1H-K, Fig. 2G-K), found exclusively in the nucleus during S and G2 phases but not during mitosis (Sharma et al., 2008).

In salivary gland epimastigotes, we observed that cell proliferation starts with the duplication of the basal bodies/kinetoplast complex and the assembly of the new flagellum (Fig. 1B, Fig. 2A; supplementary material Fig. S2B,E). However, the subsequent migration of the kinetoplast associated with the new flagellum (K2) shows two different patterns: it occurs towards the anterior end of the cell in the first case (Fig. 1B,L; supplementary material Fig. S2B) and towards the posterior end of the cell, on the other side of the nucleus, in the second case (Fig. 2A,L; supplementary material Fig. S2E). These two types of kinetoplast positioning are still observed after nuclear mitosis in dividing cells with two kinetoplasts and two nuclei (2K2N cells in Fig. 1C,G-G,J, Fig. 2F-F’; supplementary material Fig. S2C,F). For clarity, we have chosen to describe these two profiles separately (Figs 1, 2).

In the first case, the posterior end of the epimastigote cell elongates, as shown by the incorporation of tyrosinated tubulin (Fig. 1D). The new flagellum elongates to reach ~6 μm (Fig. 1L) with its tip attached to the old flagellum in 79% of the cells with two kinetoplasts and one nucleus (n=42). The distance between the two kinetoplasts (K1-K2), both anterior to the nucleus, increases concomitant to the posterior end elongation (Fig. 1L). As shown by the signal of the cohesin marker in 2K1N cells (Fig. 1I), the nucleus completes mitosis after kinetoplast duplication, resulting in a cell with two kinetoplasts and two nuclei (2K2N in Fig. 1C,G-G’,J; supplementary material Fig. S2C). The new flagellum continues its growth to reach ~10 μm (Fig. 1L), still being attached to the old flagellum by its tip in 65% of the 2K2N cells (n=31). After mitosis, nuclei segregate extensively in such a way that, prior to cell division, the most anterior nucleus (N1) is positioned between the two kinetoplasts (Fig. 1C,G-G’,J; supplementary material Fig. S2C), resulting in an organizational scheme along the antero-posterior axis summarized as ‘K1-N1-K2-N2’ (Fig. 1M). This profile can be compared with the procyclic cell cycle occurring in the midgut, except that the kinetoplasts are found in an anterior position relative to the nuclei. Cytokinesis then initiates close to the tip of the new flagellum after disconnection from the old one (Fig. 1G’; supplementary material Fig. S2C), producing two equivalent daughter cells with an epimastigote configuration (Fig. 1M). Hence, the name ‘epi-epi’ division is proposed for this mode of cell proliferation.

Surprisingly, we also detected numerous individuals that did not match the ‘epi-epi’ configuration: these trypansomes possess two kinetoplasts, two flagella and one or two nuclei, but the position of the new basal body is posterior to the nucleus (Fig. 2A,C,E-E’,H; supplementary material Fig. S2E). Incorporation of tyrosinated tubulin mostly takes place at the posterior end (Fig. 2C), whereas the new flagellum elongates to reach ~10 μm before mitosis and ~13 μm before the end of cell division (Fig. 2L). In contrast to the ‘epi-epi’ division, the tip of the new flagellum is frequently detached from the old flagellum: only 49% (n=37) and 36% (n=22) of the cells with one and two nuclei, respectively, showed an apparent connection between the tip of the new flagellum and the side of the old one (Fig. 2F-F’). The distribution of the data presented in supplementary material Table S2 provides information about the numerous intermediate situations reflecting the subcellular events occurring during this division. The 57 asymmetrically dividing cells in which the distances between the kinetoplast associated with the new flagellum (K2) and the posterior end of the cell (K2-Posterior) have been measured were observed at different steps of the division process (compare range and standard deviation of these two parameters). During the 2K1N to 2K2N transition, the distance between the new kinetoplast (K2) and the posterior end decreases from 5 μm to 3.8 μm, and the distance between the anterior nucleus (N1) and the new kinetoplast (K2) (N1-K2) significantly increases from 2 μm to 3.8 μm; the distance between the anterior nucleus (N1) and the posterior end (N1-Posterior), however, remains broadly constant at 7-8 μm, as does the total cell length at 20 μm (supplementary material Table S2; Fig. 1L, Fig. 2L). Thus, it appears that one major event of this division is the early migration of the kinetoplast associated with the new flagellum to the posterior side of the nucleus. After this repositioning of the kinetoplast associated with the new flagellum, mitosis occurs lateral to the antero-posterior axis and the two nuclei remain relatively close to each other (Fig. 2F-F’, supplementary material Fig. S2F), resulting in a novel organizational scheme along the antero-posterior axis of the cell that is ‘K1-N1-N2-K2’ (Fig. 2M). Cytokinesis begins along the axis of the new flagellum before the completion of mitosis (Fig. 2A,F-F’). At this stage, the total cell length, the inter-kinetoplast distance and the position of each kinetoplast relative to the posterior and the anterior end of the cell are comparable with the parameters measured for the ‘epi-epi’ mode of proliferation (supplementary material Table S2; Fig. 1L, Fig. 2L). These morphometric data indicate that mitosis is delayed in these cells compared with ‘epi-epi’ dividing parasites. This asymmetric division results in two distinct daughter cells: the one inheriting the old flagellum displays the epimastigote configuration and the one inheriting the new flagellum adopts the trypomastigote configuration (Fig. 2M). Hence, the term ‘epi-trypo’ division is proposed.

**Molecular markers for differentiation**

The trypomastigote daughter cell resulting from the asymmetric division could be the precursor of the infective metacyclic form. Such an intermediate form has been called pre-metacyclic and shown to remain attached to the epithelium (Steiger, 1973; Tetley et al., 1987; Tetley and Vickerman, 1985). The maturation of the pre-metacyclic into the metacyclic form involves morphological changes such as rounding-up of the posterior end, elongation of the flagellum and repositioning of the kinetoplast to the far posterior end (Fig. 2J,K, Fig. 3D,E,I,J, Fig. 4C,D; supplementary material S2G,H). It is noteworthy that the posterior migration of the kinetoplast associated with the new flagellum initiated during the asymmetric ‘epi-trypo’ division seems to go further on during the maturation of the 1K1N trypomastigote daughter cell with a broadly linear distribution (R²=0.64) of the distance between the kinetoplast and the posterior end in the range of 6.9 to 0.1 μm (Kinetoplast-Posterior in supplementary material Table S2).

To assess whether the trypomastigote daughter cell issued from the ‘epi-trypo’ division is the precursor of the infective metacyclic form, we looked for molecular markers expressed in the pre-metacyclic and metacyclic stages that could appear as early as during the asymmetric ‘epi-trypo’ division. The transition from the epimastigote to the trypomastigote metacyclic form is accompanied by the disappearance of the epimastigote-specific surface antigen BARP (Urwylter et al., 2007) and the acquisition of a variant surface glycoprotein coat (Tetley et al., 1987; Tetley and Vickerman, 1985). Immunofluorescence analyses with an anti-BARP antiserum revealed that attached epimastigotes (Fig. 3A) as well as ‘epi-epi’ dividing cells (Fig. 3B) were strongly positive for BARP, whereas metacyclic parasites turned out to be negative, as
A decrease in the abundance of the BARP antigen was observed in pre-metacyclic cells (Fig. 3D) but not in asymmetrically dividing ‘epi-trypo’ cells (Fig. 3C).

Monitoring variant surface glycoproteins is more difficult as only a single type of variant surface glycoproteins is expressed at a time, but it can be the product of any single gene from the metacyclic repertoire, and the encoded proteins are extremely divergent (Berriman et al., 2005). However, the variant surface glycoproteins share a conserved region called the cross-reacting determinant (CRD) that is implicated in glycosyl-phosphatidylinositol anchoring to the membrane. This cross-reacting determinant is buried in the variant surface glycoprotein molecules and only accessible to antibodies upon phospholipase treatment (Cardoso de Almeida and Turner, 1983; Grab et al., 1984; Zamze et al., 1988). We reasoned that this domain of the glycosyl-phosphatidylinositol anchor of the variant surface glycoprotein could be exposed upon methanol fixation, and this exposure would offer the possibility of probing for the presence of a variant surface glycoprotein coat by immunofluorescence regardless of the type expressed. After a 30-second fixation in methanol, the anti-CRD polyclonal antibody was incubated with a mixture of cells containing 50% of cultured bloodstream and 50% of cultured procyclic trypanosomes. A strong fluorescent signal at the surface of bloodstream cells was observed, whereas procyclic parasites were all negative, proving the efficacy
of the assay (supplementary material Fig. S3A). The anti-CRD antibody was then used under the same conditions to label parasites issued from the salivary glands (Fig. 3F–K; supplementary material Fig. S3B). As expected, the epimastigote cells appeared negative (Fig. 3F,K; supplementary material Fig. S3B), whereas the metacyclic parasites were positive (Fig. 3J,K; supplementary material Fig. S3B) with a bright staining at their surface. However, neither the ‘epi-epi’ (Fig. 3G,K) nor the ‘epi-trypo’ dividing cells (Fig. 3H,K) were found to be positive. In total, the transition between the BARP antigen and the variant surface glycoprotein coats progressively occurs only in premetacyclic cells (Fig. 3J,K), in a comparable way to the bloodstream variant surface glycoprotein replacement by the procyclin coat during the early differentiation in the midgut of the tsetse fly (Roditi et al., 1989).

The age of the centrosome plays a key role in the fate of the progeny during asymmetric divisions in metazoans (Knoblich, 2010). In trypanosomes, there are no centrosomes as such, but a basal body that exhibits an equivalent structure and that is always associated with the flagellum. We reasoned that the two flagella of the ‘epi-trypo’ dividing cells might contain distinct components (Rotureau et al., 2009). To identify candidate markers, we browsed the lists of identified flagellum and basal body proteins (Buisson and Bastin, 2010; Ralston et al., 2009) to search for those likely to display a differential expression between insect and mammalian stages. One such candidate was the calflagin protein family. Calflagins are flagellar calcium-binding proteins known to be present in parasites at the procyclic stage but whose abundance is much higher in bloodstream trypanosomes (Emmer et al., 2010). We first showed that calflagins are also abundant in pre-metacyclic (Fig. 4C,E) and metacyclic (Fig. 4D,E) forms but not in epimastigote parasites (Fig. 4A,E). Strikingly, whereas calflagins are present in low and equivalent amounts in both flagella of the ‘epi-epi’ dividing cells (Fig. 4F–H), they are enriched in the new flagellum of the ‘epi-trypo’ dividing cells (Fig. 4G,H), demonstrating their commitment to differentiation. This also suggests that the flagellum is becoming pre-adapted for the infection in the mammalian host. We propose that this asymmetric ‘epi-trypo’ division represents the first step of differentiation of the epimastigote towards the metacyclic stage.

**Fig. 3. Surface coat replacement in pre-metacyclic parasites.** (A–J) Trypanosomes from salivary glands were fixed in methanol for 30 seconds and stained with 4',6-diamidino-2-phenylindole (DNA in red in A–J) and anti-BARP (BARP antigen coat in green in A–E) or anti-CRD (cross-reactive determinant of the variant surface glycoprotein glycosyl-phosphatidylinositol anchor in green in F–J). Epimastigotes (A,F), ‘epi-epi’ cells (B,G), ‘epi-trypo’ cells (C,H), pre-metacyclic cells (D,I) and metacyclic trypanostigmastigotes (E,J) were identified according to the number and relative positioning of their kinetoplasts and nuclei. The positions of the old (white arrow) and new (white arrowhead) kinetoplasts are indicated. Scale bars: 5 μm. (K) Mean normalized fluorescence intensity ± s.d. (in arbitrary units) obtained by staining the cross-reactive determinant (CRD) of the variant surface glycoprotein glycosyl-phosphatidylinositol anchor of the indicated trypanosome stages from salivary glands. n is given inside columns; *P<0.001, two-tailed unpaired Student’s t-test when compared with all other groups.

**Dynamics of trypanosome infection in the salivary glands**

The existence of two alternative cell cycles in parasites found in the salivary glands raises the question of their repartition in time and space during an infection. In the present study, salivary gland infections were observed as soon as 14 days after the infective meal. In accordance with recent observations (Oberle et al., 2010; Peacock et al., 2007), the invasion (14 to 21 days after the infective meal) was characterized by a small number of epimastigote parasites sparsely attached in one or both glands (supplementary material Movie 1, part 1; Fig. 5B). After 21 to 28 days, the density of parasites in an infected gland could be so high that the lumen appeared obstructed (supplementary material Movie 1, part 2; Fig. 5C). Both in vivo examination of live parasites in intact or opened salivary glands (supplementary material Movie 1, part 3) and confocal observations of parasites in fixed salivary glands stained with phalloidin (supplementary material Movie 2, Fig. S1) confirmed the presence of dividing cells with the ‘epi-epi’ and ‘epi-trypo’ profiles attached to the epithelium. The first freely swimming metacyclic cells were observed from day 18 post-ingestion until the end of the experiments (day 55). To quantify the proportions of each cell type, all the parasites obtained from the salivary glands of two groups of flies dissected at early (<21 days) or late (>28 days) stages of infection (Fig. 5A) were characterized by DAPI staining. The efficiency of the parasite extraction from the glands was first assessed with GFP-expressing trypanosomes. In total, 1K1N cells (epimastigotes and tryompastigotes) were found to account for 57% (early infection) and 73% (late infection) of the cells (Fig. 5A). In early infections, there was a predominance of parasites in the ‘epi-epi’ configuration (2K1N and 2K2N) that represented 75% of all the dividing cells. By sharp contrast, the balance was tipped over at later stages of infection with a predominance (62%) of the ‘epi-trypo’ profile.

**DISCUSSION**

Taken together, these results demonstrate the existence of two alternative proliferation patterns occurring simultaneously in the salivary glands of the tsetse fly (Fig. 6; supplementary material Table S1). At the early stage of infection, the ‘epi-epi’ cell division is predominant, presumably to allow rapid amplification and establishment of the infection by colonization of the salivary glands.
This is taking place in a ‘mosaic’ pattern as reported previously (Peacock et al., 2007), with small colonies of parasites spreading at different locations in the epithelium that would ultimately grow to join each other (supplementary material Movie 1, Fig. S1A; Fig. 5B,C). Some ‘epi-trypo’ asymmetric divisions are also detected, leading to early production of metacyclic parasites. The induction of epi-trypo differentiation could be triggered by physical contact between attached epimastigotes. Alternatively, the emergence of ‘epi-trypo’ cells could be associated with the locally high density of attached parasites. Indeed, the high concentration of cells on a restricted surface could lead to the local production of trypanosome molecules that, in turn, would result in a local quorum-sensing-like phenomenon similar to what has been proposed for the production of stumpy cells during bloodstream infection (Vassella et al., 1997).

In contrast to epimastigotes that are attached to the epithelium, metacyclic parasites are released in the saliva. During each bloodmeal, most of them are ejected with the saliva. This drastic change in the environment could also activate the entry of some attached epimastigotes in the ‘epi-trypo’ cycle to ensure the rapid production of a new pool of infective cells.

In both types of cell division, the tip of the new flagellum beats freely when cells are close to cytokinesis. This movement could be necessary to allow cell separation, as observed during division of procyclic trypanosomes, where inhibition of motility prevents the last step of cytokinesis in vitro. Interestingly, this phenomenon can be relieved upon mechanical shaking of the culture (Branche et al., 2006; Ralston et al., 2006). As we did not observe any living dividing cells attached with their two flagella, the future daughter...
achieving the first meiotic division (<20% with a...reproduction. Thus, the monitoring of the kinetoplast movements in a single cell attached to the salivary gland epithelium of a living tsetse fly or to an artificial substratum appears difficult to obtain. However, it is noteworthy that no argument for a direct differentiation has been found in the present study.

Metacyclic parasites are pre-adapted to life in the mammalian host and possess the variant surface glycoprotein coat that is not yet detected during the ‘epi-trypo’ division, at least by using the anti-CRD indirect probe. The BARP antigen coat is replaced by the variant surface glycoprotein coat after cell division, exactly as observed for the loss of the variant surface glycoproteins and the emergence of procyclin when bloodstream parasites differentiate into procyclic cells (Roditi et al., 1989). By contrast, the flagellum is assembled only once during the cell cycle, a process that takes place before cytokinesis. The higher amounts of calflagins in the new flagellum compared with the old flagellum of the ‘epi-trypo’ cell could constitute the first step of a pre-adaptation to life in the mammalian host, where calflagins are highly abundant and contribute to infectivity (Emmer et al., 2010). This reveals preferential targeting of membrane proteins to this flagellum versus the old one.

The progression of *T. brucei* infection in a tsetse fly is a slow and complex process. Even in countries where the disease is endemic, fewer than 0.1% of flies carry metacyclic parasites (Aksoy et al., 2003; Brun et al., 2009). Our results reveal how the parasite has evolved to compensate these low infection rates, by developing cellular processes remarkably adapted to the high life span of tsetse flies (1 to 9 months) (Aksoy et al., 2003). The balance between the two patterns of cell cycle in parasites attached to tsetse salivary glands (Fig. 6) would ensure continuous production of numerous infective cells for long periods, allowing the efficient diffusion of African trypanosomes over time and space. This strategy greatly contrasts with those evolved by *Plasmodium* in *Anopheles* mosquitoes or by *Leishmania* in sandflies, which are transmitted by more abundant vectors with a much shorter life span (Aly et al., 2009; Bates, 2007; Cohuet et al., 2010). Overall, the new mode of asymmetric division discovered in the salivary glands explains the continuous production of infective metacyclic parasites, turning the tsetse flies into efficient and long-lasting vectors for African trypanosomes.
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