

Detection and characterization of megasatellites in orthologous and nonorthologous genes of 21 fungal genomes.

Fredj Tekaiia, Bernard Dujon, Guy-Franck Richard

► **To cite this version:**

Fredj Tekaiia, Bernard Dujon, Guy-Franck Richard. Detection and characterization of megasatellites in orthologous and nonorthologous genes of 21 fungal genomes.. Eukaryotic Cell, American Society for Microbiology, 2013, 12 (6), pp.794-803. 10.1128/EC.00001-13 . pasteur-01370689

HAL Id: pasteur-01370689

<https://hal-pasteur.archives-ouvertes.fr/pasteur-01370689>

Submitted on 23 Sep 2016

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Detection and Characterization of Megasatellites in Orthologous and Non-orthologous Genes of 21 Fungal Genomes

Running Title: Megasatellite Evolution

Fredj Tekaiia^{1,2,3}, Bernard Dujon^{1,2,3} and Guy-Franck Richard^{1,2,3 (#)}

¹ Institut Pasteur, Unité de Génétique Moléculaire des Levures, Département Génomes & Génétique, F-75015 Paris, France

² CNRS, UMR3525, F-75015 Paris, France

³ Université Pierre et Marie Curie, UFR927, 4 Place Jussieu, F-75005 Paris, France

(#) Corresponding author: gfrichar@pasteur.fr

Abstract

Megasatellites are large DNA tandem repeats, originally described in *Candida glabrata*, in protein-coding genes. Most of the genes in which megasatellites are found are of unknown function. In this work, we extended the search for megasatellites to 20 additional completely sequenced fungal genomes, and extracted 216 megasatellites in 203 out of 142,121 genes, corresponding to the most exhaustive description of such genetic elements available today. We show that half of the megasatellites detected encode threonine-rich peptides, predicted to be intrinsically disordered, suggesting that they may interact with several partners or serve as flexible linkers. Megasatellite motifs were clustered into several families. Their distribution in fungal genes shows that different motifs are found in orthologous genes, and similar motifs are found in unrelated genes, suggesting that megasatellite formation or spreading does not necessarily track the evolution of their host genes. Altogether, these results suggest that megasatellites are created and lost during evolution of fungal genomes, probably sharing similar functions, although their primary sequences are not necessarily conserved.

Introduction

Tandem repeats are a common component of all eukaryotic genomes sequenced so far (1). Besides the ubiquitous presence of microsatellites and the frequent occurrence of minisatellites, megasatellites represent a new class of larger tandem repeats that were initially identified in yeast genomes (2). Megasatellites were defined as tandem repeats whose base motif is longer than 100 nucleotides (when minisatellite motifs seldom reach this size (3), tandemly repeated at least three times (to distinguish them from local duplications), and inserted within protein-coding genes. They are frequent in the pathogenic yeast *Candida glabrata*, in which two large families, respectively called "SHITT" and "SFFIT" (due to the conservation of these five amino acids within the motif) have been described in about 30 genes (2, 4). Another yeast genome, the well-studied baker's yeast *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*, contains eight tandem repeats that qualify as megasatellites, in the *FLO1* (*YAR050w*), *FLO5* (*YHR211w*), and *FLO9* (*YAL063c*) paralogous genes encoding cell-wall proteins involved in yeast cell flocculation, in *FIT1* (*YDR534c*) and *HPF1* (*YOL155c*), two other cell-wall genes, in *NUM1* (*YDR150w*), a cytoskeleton organization gene, and in *YIL169c*, a gene of unknown function sharing high similarity with *HPF1* (*YOL155c*). The *FLO1* megasatellite was experimentally shown to play a role in cell flocculation and adhesion, with longer repeats being associated to better adhesion and flocculation (5). *Kluyveromyces lactis* subtelomeric regions were shown to contain several genes encoding large tandem repeats (6), four of them qualifying as megasatellites (*KLLA0A11935g*, *KLLA0B14916g*, *KLLA0C19316g* and *KLLA0D00264g*, see Table 2). There is no experimental evidence of their putative function in this yeast, but based on sequence similarity, they might be good candidate to be cell-wall genes. The genome of *Candida albicans*, an opportunistic pathogenic yeast, contains eight ALS genes, each of them with 108-bp tandem motifs, corresponding to megasatellite definition (7-9). The ALS genes

are involved in adhesion of *C. albicans* to epithelial host cells, by a mechanism involving binding to a large variety of ligands, including carbohydrates and peptides (8-10). Twenty-one different allele sizes have been found for the tandem array of the *ALS7* gene (*CAL0005421*), among different *C. albicans* strains (11), but it is not known if some of them are associated to higher adhesion. However, tandem repeats of *ALS5* (*CAAL5736*) and *ALS3* (*CAAL1816*) were shown to be important for yeast cellular adhesion to epithelial cells or to fibronectin (12, 13). *Aspergillus fumigatus* was also shown to contain large tandem repeats, some of them included in genes proposed to encode cell-wall components (14), but none of these megasatellites was shown to be directly involved in cellular adhesion. Among other tandemly repeated motifs detectable by our analysis, WD repeats are a family of tandem arrays frequently encountered in eukaryotic genes, playing a structural function in proteins involved in functions as diverse as RNA processing, transcription, cytoskeleton assembly, vesicle trafficking, cell division or sulfur metabolism in fungi (reviewed in: (15)). WD motifs contain two highly variable regions, separating more conserved domains, therefore all the motifs of a given tandem repeat do not necessarily share the same size, although final structures are very similar.

Previous intra-specific comparisons between paralogous megasatellite-containing genes, showed that megasatellite motifs are under purifying selection, and that this selection is stronger in *C. glabrata* than in *S. cerevisiae* (16). It was proposed that megasatellites propagate by three different mechanisms: i) duplication of a megasatellite-containing gene; ii) gene conversion between homologous sequences; iii) "jumping" of one or several motifs from one megasatellite-containing gene to another gene (16). However, besides the intra-specific analyses carried out in *S. cerevisiae* and *C. glabrata*, very few studies in yeast or other fungal species were available to extensively characterize and compare megasatellite distribution.

In order to do so, we developed a methodology (see Materials & Methods), based on the Tandem Repeat Finder program (17, 18), to analyze 21 Dikarya genomes covering a large phylogenetic spectrum (mostly Ascomycota). Our analysis covered 15 ascomycetous yeasts, from *S. cerevisiae* to *Schizosaccharomyces pombe*, five filamentous ascomycetes, *Podospora anserina* and four *Aspergillus* species, and one basidiomycete, *Ustilago maydis* (Figure 1 and Table 1) (19) (20) (21) (22) (23) (24) (25) (26) (27) (28) (29) (30) (31) (32) (33). Megasatellites were classified according to their motif sequence similarity and characterized by their corresponding amino-acids composition. About half of them encoding peptides particularly enriched in threonine residues. *In silico* structure prediction of peptides encoded by these megasatellites suggests that most of them are not structured, suggesting that they do not form stable structures *in vivo*. Finally, we demonstrate that large tandem repeats are constantly created (and sometimes lost) during evolution, suggesting rather fast molecular mechanism(s) that create(s) new functions in each fungal lineage.

Materials & Methods

Megasatellite detection

A database containing 142,121 annotated genes from 21 fungal genomes was built (Table 1), and the Tandem Repeat Finder program (TRF (17)) was used to extract all motifs from this set of genes, using the following parameters: match weight = 2, mismatch penalty = 7, insertion/deletion penalty = 7, match probability = 80, insertion/deletion probability = 10, minimum alignment score to report = 50, maximum period size to report = 2,000. For each genome, the following iterative approach was used to determine the minimal size of tandemly repeated motifs. Gene sequences were searched using TRF. Motif sizes equal to or greater than 90 base pairs were further analyzed for possible inclusion of repeated sub-motifs, until no repeated motif was found. Sub-motifs of less than 90 base pairs were discarded. The

presence of each megasatellite was confirmed by constructing self-dotplots of the corresponding protein (34), and by comparing each corresponding gene sequence versus itself using bl2seq and blastn (35). At this step, only motifs repeated at least three times were retained as megasatellites.

Extraction of peptides encoded by megasatellites

Using the starting position of the megasatellite and its motif size (in nt), each polypeptide was extracted from the translated gene sequence. Motif starting position and motif size were obtained by dividing by 3 megasatellite starting position and motif size, as determined by TRF on the DNA sequence. Repeated polypeptides were further validated using bl2seq and blastp to compare the translated megasatellite to its corresponding polypeptide sequence. Manual inspection was often needed to find the precise border of each motif (computer programs are inefficient at finding the precise border of a tandem repeat, since any amino acid within the motif may be chosen as the beginning of the motif). In most cases megasatellites correspond to tandemly directly repeated motifs, but some megasatellites are separated by amino-acid segments varying from one to a few amino-acids. Some megasatellites needed the insertion of one or two gaps to keep the periodicity of the tandem repeat, whereas in some cases, a few amino-acids needed to be removed to keep the periodicity of the megasatellite. Each megasatellite was given a unique identification number, defined by its gene name (Supplementary table). When a gene carries more than one megasatellite, each megasatellite within this gene bears an additional rank number (example: *CADU0C86150-1* and *CADU0C86150-2* define the two megasatellites found in *CADU0C86150*).

Amino-acid compositions and correspondence analysis

Amino-acid compositions were computed for translation products of each megasatellite, for the set of proteins from which megatellites were precisely removed, and for the 142,121 proteins of the 21 studied proteomes. Correspondence analysis is a multivariate exploration method of large numerical data tables. It allows the projection of high-dimensional information onto low-dimensional spaces. Visual inspection of such projections onto a plane, allows the detection of significant trends, which are often difficult to grasp in high-dimensional spaces. The method builds an orthogonal system called factorial axes (F_1 , F_2 , F_3, \dots), each axe representing a fraction (displayed in decreasing order) of the whole information contained in the analysed data table. The statistical significance of this fraction determines the relative confidence attached to the displayed axes (megasatellites or amino-acids). The orthogonality of the factorial axes allows the summation of their corresponding fractions. The first factorial plane corresponding to the first (F_1) and to the second (F_2) factorial axes includes the highest fraction of the total information, obtained by summing the fractions corresponding to the first (F_1) and to the second (F_2) factorial axes. Note that properties of megasatellites and amino acids are displayed simultaneously on each factorial plane, in such a way that neighbourhood between megasatellites and amino acids is indicative of significant relationships. Conversely, distant megasatellites and amino acids is indicative of weak relationships. Detailed description of the methodology and its applications in a similar case are extensively described in Tekaiia and Yeramian (47).

Comparison and clustering of all megasatellites against themselves

All peptidic motifs were compared to each other, using blastp (35). A blastp similarity score was considered significant when the corresponding e-value was equal or lower than 10^{-2} . Non unique peptides (i.e. having a significant similarity with at least one other peptide) were classified into clusters using mcl (36) with “ $-\log(\text{blastp}(\text{e-value}))$ ” and an inflation index

$I = 3.0$. Each non-unique peptide was assigned to a cluster denoted Mp.q (for motif clusters) with p the number of peptides contained and q an arbitrary index number (37). Peptides included in each of the determined clusters were aligned using the Clustalw program (38) and conserved blocks were determined using the Gblock program (39).

Comparison and clustering of all proteins against themselves

All proteins, from which the tandemly repeated peptides were removed, were compared to each other, using blastp (35). A blastp similarity score was considered significant when the corresponding e-value was equal or lower to 10^{-9} , as previously described (40). Non unique proteins were clustered using the mcl (36) programme with the same options as indicated above for the megasatellites and assigned to a cluster denoted Pp.q (p being the number of proteins contained and q an arbitrary index number).

Motif consensus and structure

When three or more megasatellites were found in a given family (ALS, FLO, SHITT, SFFIT, WD, etc.), motif consensus were determined by alignment of all the motifs using the Jalview program (41). All motifs sequences are given in the supplementary table. Subsequently, each of the motifs (or motif consensus) was analyzed using the metaserver MeDor (42), in order to determine whether any part of the motif was predicted to be disordered. The eight motifs that were predicted to be probably not disordered (see text) were compared to the Protein Data Bank (PDB) (43) in order to determine if their structure was already known. In addition, megasatellite-containing proteins and peptidic motifs were also compared to the Common Domain Database (CDD) (44) version 3.02 (December 2011) including 40,815 domain sequences. Motif families (ALS, FLO, SHITT, SFFIT, WD, etc.) were also compared to

several databases of known motifs (PROSITE, BLOCKS, ProDom, PRINTS, Pfam) using the motif analysis tool found at <http://www.genome.jp/tools/motif/>.

Results

Fungal genomes contain a large diversity of megasatellites

We have determined the complete set of tandem repeats detected in a total of 142,121 sequence-predicted protein-coding genes, belonging to 21 fungal genomes (Dikarya) (Table 1). Out of more than 13,000 tandem repeats, we extracted 216 megasatellites (Materials & Methods). The number of megasatellites detected ranges from 28 in *Candida glabrata* to none in *Eremothecium gossypii*, and is neither correlated to genome size nor to gene content (Table 1). Motif sizes range from 90 bp (9 megasatellites in 5 species) to 735 bp (one megasatellite in *Yarrowia lipolytica*, YALI0B09867g). As expected for tandem repeats located within protein-coding genes, all motif sizes found are multiple of three nucleotides.

The most common motif found is the FLO motif, encountered in 35 megasatellites, in 11 species, from *S. cerevisiae* to *K. pastoris*, making it the most widespread of all megasatellite motifs. This motif encodes a Thr/Ser-rich sequence, often containing the Trp-Thr-Gly tripeptide (Supplementary Table). FLO motif size is highly variable, ranging from 90 bp to 150 bp. By comparison, other frequent motifs like ALS, TPR or WD, all share the same size (108 bp for ALS, 126 bp for TPR and WD). ALS motifs are only found in *C. albicans* and *C. dubliniensis*, in eponymous genes and their homologues. TPR motifs (tetratricopeptide repeat), occur in tandem arrays in more than 800 genes, from bacteria to humans. The motif corresponds to two anti-parallel alpha helices, separated by a turn (45). Megasatellites containing TPR motifs are particularly frequent in *A. nidulans* and *P. anserina*. WD repeats are generally encountered in proteins belonging to the whole eukaryotic world (15), however

in the present study, they were detected only in filamentous fungi (*P. anserina*, *Aspergillus* species).

Megasatellites found in *C. glabrata*, and containing SHITT and SFFIT motifs were previously described, and are widely spread in this genome (2, 4, 16). SHITT and SFFIT are sometimes encountered as motifs of slightly different sizes, suggesting, like FLO motifs, that their containing-proteins may accommodate some tandem repeat flexibility. Note that, despite similar motif sizes, there is no detectable homology between SHITT and FLO motifs, suggesting that either SHITT were *de novo* created in *C. glabrata*, or that they rapidly evolved from an ancestral sequence.

Smaller families were also detected, such as the ANK family (ankyrin), found within five megasatellites in *Aspergillus oryzae*, *A. fumigatus* and *P. anserina*, or the TTITL family found in two megasatellites in *C. glabrata*. Ankyrin repeats consist of two alpha helices separated by loops, and are involved in protein-protein interactions (46). Nothing is known about the structure or function of TTITL motifs. In addition to these, 21 other motifs were found belonging to small families (2-3 members) and 79 other motifs did not share any detectable homology (Figure 2).

Amino-acid composition of megasatellites

Amino-acid composition of all translated peptidic motifs was computed. Compared to the average amino-acid composition of more than 60 millions amino acids making altogether the 21 proteomes, Thr and Ser are often over-represented, whereas Leu, Arg, Lys, Met and Gln, are often under-represented. Correspondence analysis was used to determine possible amino-acid composition biases of megasatellites (47). Megasatellites are displayed mostly in two groups along the first factorial axis (Figure 3, horizontal axis F1, covering 27% of total information in the analyzed data), one group (left) is characterized by high composition biases

in Thr, and by under-representation of Arg, Leu, Lys, and Gln. The second group (right) is characterized by high composition biases in Leu, Lys, Arg and Gln and under-representation of Thr. Therefore, megasatellite composition according to the first axis is directly correlated to threonine content. It is interesting to note that few megasatellites are characterized by average compositions (few are plotted close to the axes origin), meaning that most of them exhibit biased amino-acid composition. Note that FLO, ALS, SHITT, SFFIT and TTITL are Thr-rich, whereas ANK, WD and TPR repeats are Thr-poor.

Structure prediction of megasatellite peptidic motifs

Primary sequences of peptidic motifs encoded by megasatellites are generally not conserved, despite the existence of families hereabove described. This, however, does not exclude the possibility that common secondary structures exist. To address this question, several secondary-structure and disorder predictors have been used on each motif (Materials & Methods). Out of 97 different peptidic motifs analyzed, 88 show an extensive level of disorder (50-100% of the motif) and no obvious secondary structure (data not shown). The eight remaining motifs, showing lower levels of disorder, were compared to the Protein Data Bank (PDB), and seven of them (all threonine-poor motifs) were found to correspond to known secondary structures. Motifs M8 (in PODANSg6698 and AN8019), M10 (in AN3543, AN8085 and AO090166000058), M21 (in PODANSg8665) and M56 (in AO090102000421) all correspond to ankyrin motifs, a common repeat in eukaryotic proteins, but also found in bacteria and archaea (PDB ID: 2L6B. Aksel T., Majumdar A., Barrick D. NRC consensus ankyrin repeat protein solution structure). It is interesting to note that primary sequence similarity with the ANK motif hereabove described in other megasatellites (Figure 2) was not detected, but only 3D structure predicted that these four motifs should share the tertiary structure of ankyrin repeats. M69 (in ZYRO0G06028g) has a match in PDB with the structure

of a carbohydrate epimerase from *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* (PDB ID: 2IXI. Dong C., Naismith J. H. RMLC *P. aeruginosa* with DTDP-xylose), hence linking this motif to carbohydrate metabolism (48). Finally, M49 (in AO090009000369) corresponds to a putative L-allo-threonine aldolase from *Listeria monocytogenes* (PDB ID: 3PJ0).

In addition, each megasatellite peptidic motif was compared to the CDD database (44). Only eight significant hits were detected, corresponding to ankyrin repeats, WD repeats, TPR repeats, cohesin-HEAT domain (associated to chromosome cohesion and condensation), DUF3659 (a 70 amino-acid domain of unknown function found in bacteria and eukaryotes), a putative 6-phosphofructo-2-kinase/fructose-2,6-biphosphatase domain and a deoxyhypusine synthase domain essential for translation initiation in eukaryotes. The overall conclusion is, therefore, that most peptidic motifs (88/97, *ca.* 91%) encoded by megasatellites are disordered and unstructured.

Formation and propagation of megasatellites during evolution

One of the main questions of the present work was to determine whether megasatellite motifs were species-specific or lineage-specific, or were distributed randomly suggesting a possible propagation among fungi. Our results very clearly show that both happened during fungal evolution. SFFIT, SHITT and TTITL motifs are restricted to *C. glabrata* (Figure 1). The FLO motif is widespread in all hemiascomycetous yeasts, from *S. cerevisiae* to *Y. lipolytica*, although it is found in seven different clusters (supplementary table). Some FLO motifs are shorter, on the average, than others (Figure 2), but despite these size discrepancies, there is little doubt that both "short" and "long" FLO motifs, recognizable by their Trp-Thr-Gly tripeptide, come from a common ancestor. The ALS motif seems to be restricted to *C. albicans* and *C. dubliniensis*, and the WD, TPR and ANK motifs are themselves restricted to the branch leading to Pezizomycotina (*P. anserina* to *Aspergillus* species). Thr-rich and -

poor motifs are widespread among all fungi. No evidence for a case of horizontal gene transfer between two distant fungal species could be detected (49).

Subsequently, megasatellites were extracted from their containing genes, translated, and peptidic motif families were compared to protein families after megasatellite extraction (see Materials & Methods). Some large protein families were found, like P18.1, found exclusively in *P. anserina* and *Aspergillus* species. Most of its members contain a TPR motif, but three of them contain a unique motif (M21, M22 and M23, supplementary table). P6.1 and P4.1 contain genes that carry only the FLO motif, whereas P5.1 contains orthologous genes carrying only the WD motif (Figure 1). All the other clusters contain at least two different kinds of motifs. Hence, similar megasatellites may be found in non-homologous genes (figure 4), whereas orthologous genes often carry different megasatellites (figure 5).

Discussion

In the present work, we present the first exhaustive comparative genomic analysis of megasatellite distribution in the genomes of 21 fungi. Peptidic motifs encoded by megasatellites were extracted from their containing proteins and compared to each other. Using the present approach, only megasatellites whose motifs share similar lengths can be detected. Therefore, none of the 55 WD repeats encoded by the *S. cerevisiae* genome was detected, since motif lengths are very different from each other.

Megasatellite-containing genes show hallmarks of plasma membrane or cell-wall genes

We have identified 216 megasatellites spread in 18 different families and 79 unique megasatellites, half of those including motifs enriched in threonine residues. In *S. cerevisiae* cell-wall proteins, such residues are sites of O-mannosylations, occurring in the endoplasmic reticulum and essential for localization of such proteins at the cell surface (50, 51). *FLO1*

(*YAR050w*), *FLO5* (*YHR211w*) and *FLO9* (*YAL063c*) are flocculins involved in cell to cell adhesion and yeast flocculation (52), *HPF1* (*YOL155c*) is a surface mannoprotein involved in protein aggregates in white wine fermentation, whereas *NUM1* (*YDR150w*) and *FIT1* (*YDR534c*) are respectively a cytoskeleton organization protein and a glycosylphosphatidylinositol (GPI) anchor-containing cell-wall protein. Megasatellites are also found in adhesins in *C. albicans* (*ALS1* to *ALS7* and *ALS9* genes (9), and in *C. glabrata* (*EPA1*, *EPA2* and *EPA13* genes (53, 54). In the well-studied model organism *Schizosaccharomyces pombe*, megasatellites are detected in the *MAP2* P-factor pheromone gene (*SPCC1795.06*), the *MAP4* gene (*SPBC21D10.06c*), an adhesin required for mating, and the *MAM3* gene (*SPAP11E10.02c*), involved in cell-to-cell adhesion. Comparison of peptide motifs to databases of known motifs shows the presence of possible phosphorylation and glycosylation sites found in flocculins, as well as putative sites of myristoylation. Addition of myristate (a 14-carbon fatty acid) to proteins is a common post-translational modification of proteins generally associated to the plasma membrane and/or involved in signalisation cascades. The myristoyl part of the protein is directly involved in the interaction with membrane lipids, in a reversible manner, helping to localise the protein at the plasma membrane (55). It is therefore tempting to propose that megasatellites encoding such Thr-rich motifs belong to genes encoding proteins localized at the plasma membrane and/or cell wall, and involved, directly or indirectly, in cell adhesion. However, there is no information about the function of megasatellite-containing genes, except for the handful hereabove described.

Possible function(s) of megasatellites in fungal genes

The function of the megasatellite itself is puzzling. In *S. cerevisiae*, cell flocculation and adhesion to plasticware were correlated to the size of the *FLO1* megasatellite (5), but there is no experimental evidence that this is also the case for its two paralogues, *FLO5* and *FLO9*. In

C. albicans, adhesion assays show reduced adhesiveness for strains with an *ALS3* allele containing only nine motifs, as compared to twelve (12), suggesting a role in adhesion for the megasatellite. Given that the number of allelic lengths of a megasatellite may be quite large (for example, 21 different lengths of the *ALS7* megasatellite were found in patients infected with *C. albicans*, (11)), megasatellite polymorphism offers the opportunity to modulate adhesion of such yeasts to their substrate. Finally, when *EPA1* is expressed in *C. glabrata* or *S. cerevisiae*, adhesion to epithelial cells is partly dependent on the presence of its megasatellite (56). However, it is not known if the same holds true for other megasatellite-containing *EPA* genes.

The molecular mechanism by which peptidic motifs encoded by megasatellites modulate adhesion is unclear, but it was suggested that they may serve as variable spacers between the N-terminal part (bearing the binding domain) and the C-terminal part (anchored to the cell wall) of the protein. This spacer needs to reach a given length in order to properly expose the N-terminal ligand-binding domain to the cell surface (9, 10, 56). It was also proposed (10, 14) that the high variability of megasatellites would help pathogens to escape the host immune system, by modifying their surface antigens. A similar strategy, based on the activation/inactivation of cell-wall genes by small tandem repeat size changes, and called "phase variation", is extensively used by some human bacterial pathogens such as *Haemophilus influenzae* (57, 58) and *Neisseria meningitidis* (59-62). Similarly, *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* genome contains two large families of proteins of unknown function, called PE and PPE proteins, both having a disordered C-terminal domain made of tandemly repeated Pro-Glu or Pro-Pro-Glu motifs, suggested to be a source of antigenic variation (63, 64).

It is commonly admitted now, that about 40% of human proteins contain long intrinsically disordered regions, and that some 25% are probably disordered from beginning to end (65,

66). Prediction, based on amino-acid composition (42), suggest that 88 out of our 97 different megasatellite motifs (91%) are partially or fully disordered, a higher proportion than is commonly admitted for all eukaryotic proteins, suggesting that intrinsically disordered domains are a hallmark of megasatellites.

Formation and loss of megasatellites during evolution

The relative distribution of megasatellites in fungi varies among species. For example, TPR repeats were only found in the branch leading to filamentous fungi (Pezizomycotina), whereas the FLO motif was only detected in Saccharomycotina (Figure 1). These motifs show very different amino-acid compositions (FLO motifs contains 35.5% Thr residues, while TPR motifs contains 7.1% Thr residues), and no sequence homology could be detected between them. Therefore, the most parsimonious hypothesis is that FLO and TPR repeats do not share a common ancestor. The same holds true for other motif families, suggesting that megasatellites belonging to different families are created and lost during evolution of fungal genomes.

In a comparative analysis of intragenic tandem repeats among ten *Aspergillus* genomes, it was concluded that such repeat sequences were highly variable (only 21% of intragenic tandem repeats found in a given species were also detected in another one), and that repeat-containing proteins were less conserved than other proteins (67). In another study, comparisons of SHITT and SFFIT motifs in *C. glabrata*, led the authors to the conclusion that a new mechanism tentatively called "motif jump" was proposed to explain the presence of motifs belonging to a given family within a megasatellite-containing gene belonging to another family (16). Here, we can detect similar events between non-orthologous gene families. For instance, FLO or WD repeats are found encoded by genes sharing no detectable homology, grouped in eight different protein clusters and two single proteins for FLO motifs, and in three

different protein clusters and four single proteins for WD motifs (supplementary table). To account for this observation, it may be proposed that the same megasatellite is recreated in different genes, or that a megasatellite (or a discrete number of motifs) may "jump" from its original gene to another one, as proposed for SHITT and SFFIT motifs in *C. glabrata*. Alternatively, one may also propose that purifying selection operates more efficiently on megasatellites than on their containing genes, hence maintaining the same tandem repeat within genes that will eventually diverge to the point that any similarity between them will be erased. In support of this last hypothesis, comes the fact that megasatellite motifs in *C. glabrata* were found to be under a stronger purifying selection than their containing genes (16). Experiments aimed at determining how megasatellites appear and propagate within fungal genes are now needed to properly address this question.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by ANR blanc 2011 (DYGEVO) and by Institut Pasteur (PTR370 to F.T.).

We are grateful to M. Delepierre for advices on structure prediction of megasatellite motifs, and to L. Chatre for pointing to us the importance of myristoylation in membrane protein metabolism.

References

1. **Richard GF, Kerrest A, Dujon B.** 2008. Comparative genomics and molecular dynamics of DNA repeats in eukaryotes. *Microbiol. Mol. Biol. Rev.* **72**:686-727.
2. **Thierry A, Bouchier C, Dujon B, Richard G-F.** 2008. Megasatellites: a peculiar class of giant minisatellites in genes involved in cell adhesion and pathogenicity in *Candida glabrata*. *Nucl. Acids. Res.* **36**:5970-5982.
3. **Vergnaud G, Denocud F.** 2008. Minisatellites: mutability and genome architecture. *Genome Res.* **10**:899-907.
4. **Thierry A, Dujon B, Richard GF.** 2009. Megasatellites: a new class of large tandem repeats discovered in the pathogenic yeast *Candida glabrata*. *Cell. Mol. Life Sci.* **67**:671-676.
5. **Verstrepen KJ, Jansen A, Lewitter F, Fink GR.** 2005. Intragenic tandem repeats generate functional variability. *Nat. Genet.* **37**:986-990.

6. **Fairhead C, Dujon B.** 2006. Structure of *Kluyveromyces lactis* subtelomeres: duplications and gene content. *FEMS Yeast Research* **6**:428-441.
7. **Nather K, Munro CA.** 2008. Generating cell surface diversity in *Candida albicans* and other fungal pathogens. *FEMS Microbiol. Lett.* **285**:137-145.
8. **Hoyer LL.** 2001. The *ALS* gene family of *Candida albicans*. *Trends Microbiol.* **9**:176-180.
9. **Lipke PN, Garcia MC, Alsteens D, Ramsook CB, Klotz SA, Dufrene YF.** 2011. Strengthening relationships: amyloids create adhesion nanodomains in yeasts. *Trends Microbiol.*
10. **Levdansky E, Sharon H, Osherov N.** 2008. Coding fungal tandem repeats as generators of fungal diversity. *Fungal Biology Reviews*:doi:10.106/j.fbr.2008.2008.2001.
11. **Zhang N, Harrex AL, Holland BR, Fenton LE, Cannon RD, Schmid J.** 2012. Sixty alleles of the *ALS7* open reading frame in *Candida albicans*: *ALS7* is a hypermutable contingency locus. *Genome Res.* **13**:2005-2017.
12. **Oh SH, Cheng G, Nuessen JA, Jajko R, Yeater KM, Zhao X, Pujol C, Soll DR, Hoyer LL.** 2005. Functional specificity of *Candida albicans* Als3p proteins and clade specificity of ALS3 alleles discriminated by the number of copies of the tandem repeat sequence in the central domain. *Microbiology* **151**:673-681.
13. **Rauceo JM, De Armond R, Otoo H, Kahn PC, Klotz SA, Gaur NK, Lipke PN.** 2006. Threonine-rich repeats increase fibronectin binding in the *Candida albicans* adhesin Als5p. *Eukaryot Cell* **5**:1664-1673.
14. **Levdansky E, Romano J, Shadkchan Y, Sharon H, Verstrepen KJ, Fink GR, Osherov N.** 2007. Coding tandem repeats generate diversity in *Aspergillus fumigatus* genes. *Eukaryotic Cell* **6**:1380-1391.
15. **Smith TF, Gaitatzes C, Saxena K, Neer EJ.** 1999. The WD repeat: a common architecture for diverse functions. *Trends Biochem. Sci.* **24**:181-185.
16. **Rolland T, Dujon B, Richard GF.** 2010. Dynamic evolution of megasatellites in yeasts. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **38**:4731-4739.
17. **Benson G.** 1999. Tandem repeats finder: a program to analyze DNA sequences. *Nucleic Acids Res* **27**:573-580.
18. **Benson G, Waterman MS.** 1994. A method for fast database search for all k-nucleotide repeats. *Nuc. Acids Res.* **22**:4828-4836.
19. **Goffeau A, Barrell BG, Bussey H, Davis RW, Dujon B, Feldmann H, Galibert F, Hoheisel JD, Jacq C, Johnston M, Louis EJ, Mewes HW, Murakami Y, Philippsen P, Tettelin H, Oliver SG.** 1996. Life with 6000 genes. *Science* **274**:546-567.
20. **Dujon B, Sherman D, Fischer G, Durrens P, Casaregola S, Lafontaine I, De Montigny J, Marck C, Neuveglise C, Talla E, Goffard N, Frangeul L, Aigle M, Anthouard V, Babour A, Barbe V, Barnay S, Blanchin S, Beckerich JM, Beyne E, Bleykasten C, Boisrame A, Boyer J, Cattolico L, Confanioleri F, De Daruvar A, Despons L, Fabre E, Fairhead C, Ferry-Dumazet H, Groppi A, Hantraye F, Hennequin C, Jauniaux N, Joyet P, Kachouri R, Kerrest A, Koszul R, Lemaire M, Lesur I, Ma L, Muller H, Nicaud JM, Nikolski M, Oztas S, Ozier-Kalogeropoulos O, Pellenz S, Potier S, Richard GF, Straub ML, Suleau A, Swennen D, Tekaiia F, Wesolowski-Louvel M, Westhof E, Wirth B, Zeniou-Meyer M, Zivanovic I, Bolotin-Fukuhara M, Thierry A, Bouchier C, Caudron B, Scarpelli C, Gaillardin C, Weissenbach J, Wincker P, Souciet JL.** 2004. Genome evolution in yeasts. *Nature* **430**:35-44.
21. **Souciet JL, Dujon B, Gaillardin C, Johnston M, Baret PV, Cliften P, Sherman DJ, Weissenbach J, Westhof E, Wincker P, Jubin C, Poulain J, Barbe V, Segurens B, Artiguenave F, Anthouard V, Vacherie B, Val ME, Fulton RS, Minx P, Wilson R, Durrens P, Jean G, Marck C, Martin T, Nikolski M, Rolland T, Seret ML, Casaregola S, Despons L, Fairhead C, Fischer G, Lafontaine I, Leh V, Lemaire M, de Montigny J, Neuveglise C, Thierry A, Blanc-Lenfle I, Bleykasten C, Diffels J, Fritsch E, Frangeul L, Goeffon A, Jauniaux N, Kachouri-Lafond R, Payen C, Potier S, Pribylova L, Ozanne C, Richard GF, Sacerdot C, Straub ML, Talla E.** 2009. Comparative genomics of protoploid Saccharomycetaceae. *Genome Res.* **19**:1696-1709.
22. **Dietrich FS, Voegeli S, Brachat S, Lerch A, Gates K, Steiner S, Mohr C, Pohlmann R, Luedi P, Choi S, Wing RA, Flavier A, Gaffney TD, Philippsen P.** 2004. The *Ashbya gossypii* genome as a tool for mapping the ancient *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* genome. *Science* **304**:304-307.
23. **Leh Louis V, Despons L, Friedrich A, Martin T, Durrens P, Casarégola S, Neuvéglise C, Fairhead C.** 2012. *Pichia sorbitophila*, an interspecies yeast hybrid, reveals early steps of genome resolution after polyploidization. *G3: Genes, Genomes, Genetics* **2**:299-311.
24. **Jeffries TW, Grigoriev IV, Grimwood J, Laplaza JM, Aerts A, Salamov A, Schmutz J, Lindquist E, Dehal P, Shapiro H, Jin YS, Passoth V, Richardson PM.** 2007. Genome sequence of the lignocellulose-bioconverting and xylose-fermenting yeast *Pichia stipitis*. *Nat. Biotechnol.* **25**:319-326.

25. Jones T, Federspiel NA, Chibana H, Dungan J, Kalman S, Magee BB, Newport G, Thorstenson YR, Agabian N, Magee PT, Davis RW, Scherer S. 2004. The diploid genome sequence of *Candida albicans*. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* **101**:7329-7334.
26. Jackson AP, Gamble JA, Yeomans T, Moran GP, Saunders D, Harris D, Aslett M, Barrell JF, Butler G, Citiulo F, Coleman DC, de Groot PW, Goodwin TJ, Quail MA, McQuillan J, Munro CA, Pain A, Poulter RT, Rajandream MA, Renault H, Spiering MJ, Tivey A, Gow NA, Barrell B, Sullivan DJ, Berriman M. 2009. Comparative genomics of the fungal pathogens *Candida dubliniensis* and *Candida albicans*. *Genome Res.* **19**:2231-2244.
27. De Schutter K, Lin YC, Tiels P, Van Hecke A, Glinka S, Weber-Lehmann J, Rouze P, Van de Peer Y, Callewaert N. 2009. Genome sequence of the recombinant protein production host *Pichia pastoris*. *Nat. Biotechnol.* **27**:561-566.
28. Wood V, Gwilliam R, Rajandream MA, Lyne M, Lyne R, Stewart A, Sgouros J, Peat N, Hayles J, Baker S, Basham D, Bowman S, Brooks K, Brown D, Brown S, Chillingworth T, Churcher C, Collins M, Connor R, Cronin A, Davis P, Feltwell T, Fraser A, Gentles S, Goble A, Hamlin N, Harris D, Hidalgo J, Hodgson G, Holroyd S, Hornsby T, Howarth S, Huckle EJ, Hunt S, Jagels K, James K, Jones L, Jones M, Leather S, McDonald S, McLean J, Mooney P, Moule S, Mungall K, Murphy L, Niblett D, Odell C, Oliver K, O'Neil S, Pearson D, Quail MA, Rabinowitsch E, Rutherford K, Rutter S, Saunders D, Seeger K, Sharp S, Skelton J, Simmonds M, Squares R, Squares S, Stevens K, Taylor K, Taylor RG, Tivey A, Walsh S, Warren T, Whitehead S, Woodward J, Volckaert G, Aert R, Robben J, Grymonprez B, Weltjens I, Vanstreels E, Rieger M, Schafer M, Muller-Auer S, Gabel C, Fuchs M, Dusterhoft A, Fritze C, Holzer E, Moestl D, Hilbert H, Borzym K, Langer I, Beck A, Lehrach H, Reinhardt R, Pohl TM, Eger P, Zimmermann W, Wedler H, Wambutt R, Purnelle B, Goffeau A, Cadieu E, Dreano S, Gloux S, Lelaure V, Mottier S, Galibert F, Aves SJ, Xiang Z, Hunt C, Moore K, Hurst SM, Lucas M, Rochet M, Gaillardin C, Tallada VA, Garzon A, Thode G, Daga RR, Cruzado L, Jimenez J, Sanchez M, del Rey F, Benito J, Dominguez A, Revuelta JL, Moreno S, Armstrong J, Forsburg SL, Cerutti L, Lowe T, McCombie WR, Paulsen I, Potashkin J, Shpakovski GV, Ussery D, Barrell BG, Nurse P. 2002. The genome sequence of *Schizosaccharomyces pombe*. *Nature* **415**:871-880.
29. Espagne E, Lespinet O, Malagnac F, Da Silva C, Jaillon O, Porcel BM, Couloux A, Aury JM, Segurens B, Poulain J, Anthouard V, Grossetete S, Khalili H, Coppin E, Dequard-Chablat M, Picard M, Contamine V, Arnaise S, Bourdais A, Berteaux-Lecellier V, Gautheret D, de Vries RP, Battaglia E, Coutinho PM, Danchin EG, Henrissat B, Khoury RE, Sainsard-Chanet A, Boivin A, Pinan-Lucarre B, Sellem CH, Debuchy R, Wincker P, Weissenbach J, Silar P. 2008. The genome sequence of the model ascomycete fungus *Podospora anserina*. *Genome Biol* **9**:R77.
30. Galagan JE, Calvo SE, Cuomo C, Ma LJ, Wortman JR, Batzoglou S, Lee SI, Basturkmen M, Spevak CC, Clutterbuck J, Kapitonov V, Jurka J, Scaccocchio C, Farman M, Butler J, Purcell S, Harris S, Braus GH, Draht O, Busch S, D'Enfert C, Bouchier C, Goldman GH, Bell-Pedersen D, Griffiths-Jones S, Doonan JH, Yu J, Vienken K, Pain A, Freitag M, Selker EU, Archer DB, Penalva MA, Oakley BR, Momany M, Tanaka T, Kumagai T, Asai K, Machida M, Nierman WC, Denning DW, Caddick M, Hynes M, Paoletti M, Fischer R, Miller B, Dyer P, Sachs MS, Osmani SA, Birren BW. 2005. Sequencing of *Aspergillus nidulans* and comparative analysis with *A. fumigatus* and *A. oryzae*. *Nature* **438**:1105-1115.
31. Machida M, Asai K, Sano M, Tanaka T, Kumagai T, Terai G, Kusumoto K, Arima T, Akita O, Kashiwagi Y, Abe K, Gomi K, Horiuchi H, Kitamoto K, Kobayashi T, Takeuchi M, Denning DW, Galagan JE, Nierman WC, Yu J, Archer DB, Bennett JW, Bhatnagar D, Cleveland TE, Fedorova ND, Gotoh O, Horikawa H, Hosoyama A, Ichinomiya M, Igarashi R, Iwashita K, Juvvadi PR, Kato M, Kato Y, Kin T, Kokubun A, Maeda H, Maeyama N, Maruyama J, Nagasaki H, Nakajima T, Oda K, Okada K, Paulsen I, Sakamoto K, Sawano T, Takahashi M, Takase K, Terabayashi Y, Wortman JR, Yamada O, Yamagata Y, Anazawa H, Hata Y, Koide Y, Komori T, Koyama Y, Minetoki T, Suharnan S, Tanaka A, Isono K, Kuhara S, Ogasawara N, Kikuchi H. 2005. Genome sequencing and analysis of *Aspergillus oryzae*. *Nature* **438**:1157-1161.
32. Nierman WC, Pain A, Anderson MJ, Wortman JR, Kim HS, Arroyo J, Berriman M, Abe K, Archer DB, Bermejo C, Bennett J, Bowyer P, Chen D, Collins M, Coulsen R, Davies R, Dyer PS, Farman M, Fedorova N, Feldblyum TV, Fischer R, Fosker N, Fraser A, Garcia JL, Garcia MJ, Goble A, Goldman GH, Gomi K, Griffith-Jones S, Gwilliam R, Haas B, Haas H, Harris D, Horiuchi H, Huang J, Humphray S, Jimenez J, Keller N, Khouri H, Kitamoto K, Kobayashi T, Konzack S, Kulkarni R, Kumagai T, Lafon A, Latge JP, Li W, Lord A, Lu C, Majoros WH, May GS, Miller BL, Mohamoud Y, Molina M, Monod M, Mouyna I, Mulligan S, Murphy L, O'Neil S, Paulsen I, Penalva MA, Perteau M, Price C, Pritchard BL, Quail MA, Rabinowitsch E, Rawlins

- N, Rajandream MA, Reichard U, Renauld H, Robson GD, Rodriguez de Cordoba S, Rodriguez-Pena JM, Ronning CM, Rutter S, Salzberg SL, Sanchez M, Sanchez-Ferrero JC, Saunders D, Seeger K, Squares R, Squares S, Takeuchi M, Tekaiia F, Turner G, Vazquez de Aldana CR, Weidman J, White O, Woodward J, Yu JH, Fraser C, Galagan JE, Asai K, Machida M, Hall N, Barrell B, Denning DW. 2005. Genomic sequence of the pathogenic and allergenic filamentous fungus *Aspergillus fumigatus*. *Nature* **438**:1151-1156.
33. Kamper J, Kahmann R, Bolker M, Ma LJ, Brefort T, Saville BJ, Banuett F, Kronstad JW, Gold SE, Muller O, Perlin MH, Wosten HA, de Vries R, Ruiz-Herrera J, Reynaga-Pena CG, Snetselaar K, McCann M, Perez-Martin J, Feldbrugge M, Basse CW, Steinberg G, Ibeas JI, Holloman W, Guzman P, Farman M, Stajich JE, Sentandreu R, Gonzalez-Prieto JM, Kennell JC, Molina L, Schirawski J, Mendoza-Mendoza A, Greilinger D, Munch K, Rossel N, Scherer M, Vranes M, Ladendorf O, Vincon V, Fuchs U, Sandrock B, Meng S, Ho EC, Cahill MJ, Boyce KJ, Klose J, Klosterman SJ, Deelstra HJ, Ortiz-Castellanos L, Li W, Sanchez-Alonso P, Schreier PH, Hauser-Hahn I, Vaupel M, Koopmann E, Friedrich G, Voss H, Schluter T, Margolis J, Platt D, Swimmer C, Gnirke A, Chen F, Vysotskaia V, Mannhaupt G, Guldener U, Munsterkötter M, Haase D, Oesterheld M, Mewes HW, Mauceli EW, DeCaprio D, Wade CM, Butler J, Young S, Jaffe DB, Calvo S, Nusbaum C, Galagan J, Birren BW. 2006. Insights from the genome of the biotrophic fungal plant pathogen *Ustilago maydis*. *Nature* **444**:97-101.
 34. Sonnhammer EL, Durbin R. 1995. A dot-matrix program with dynamic threshold control suited for genomic DNA and protein sequence analysis. *Gene* **167**:GC1-10.
 35. Altschul SF, Madden TL, Schaffer AA, Zhang J, Zhang Z, Miller W, Lipman DJ. 1997. Gapped BLAST and PSI-BLAST: a new generation of protein database search programs. *Nucleic Acids Res* **25**:3389-3402.
 36. Enright AJ, Van Dongen S, Ouzounis CA. 2002. An efficient algorithm for large-scale detection of protein families. *Nucleic Acids Res* **30**:1575-1584.
 37. Tekaiia F, Yeramian E. 2012. SuperPartitions: detection and classification of orthologs. *Gene* **492**:199-211.
 38. Thompson JD, Higgins DG, Gibson TJ. 1994. CLUSTAL W: improving the sensitivity of progressive multiple sequence alignment through sequence weighting, position-specific gap penalties and weight matrix choice. *Nucleic Acids Res* **22**:4673-4680.
 39. Castresana J. 2000. Selection of conserved blocks from multiple alignments for their use in phylogenetic analysis. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* **17**:540-552.
 40. Tekaiia F, Dujon B. 1999. Pervasiveness of gene conversion and persistence of duplicates in cellular genomes. *J. Mol. Evol.* **49**:591-600.
 41. Waterhouse AM, Procter JB, Martin DM, Clamp M, Barton GJ. 2009. Jalview Version 2--a multiple sequence alignment editor and analysis workbench. *Bioinformatics* **25**:1189-1191.
 42. Lieutaud P, Canard B, Longhi S. 2008. MeDor: a metaserver for predicting protein disorder. *BMC Genomics* **9 Suppl 2**:S25.
 43. Berman HM, Westbrook J, Feng Z, Gilliland G, Bhat TN, Weissig H, Shindyalov IN, Bourne PE. 2000. The Protein Data Bank. *Nucleic Acids Res* **28**:235-242.
 44. Marchler-Bauer A, Lu S, Anderson JB, Chitsaz F, Derbyshire MK, DeWeese-Scott C, Fong JH, Geer LY, Geer RC, Gonzales NR, Gwadz M, Hurwitz DI, Jackson JD, Ke Z, Lanczycki CJ, Lu F, Marchler GH, Mullokandov M, Omelchenko MV, Robertson CL, Song JS, Thanki N, Yamashita RA, Zhang D, Zhang N, Zheng C, Bryant SH. 2011. CDD: a Conserved Domain Database for the functional annotation of proteins. *Nucleic Acids Res* **39**:D225-229.
 45. Scheufler C, Brinker A, Bourenkov G, Pegoraro S, Moroder L, Bartunik H, Hartl FU, Moarefi I. 2000. Structure of TPR domain-peptide complexes: critical elements in the assembly of the Hsp70-Hsp90 multichaperone machine. *Cell* **101**:199-210.
 46. Mosavi LK, Minor DL, Jr., Peng ZY. 2002. Consensus-derived structural determinants of the ankyrin repeat motif. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* **99**:16029-16034.
 47. Tekaiia F, Yeramian E. 2006. Evolution of proteomes: fundamental signatures and global trends in amino acid compositions. *BMC Genomics* **7**:307.
 48. Dong C, Major LL, Srikanthasan V, Errey JC, Giraud MF, Lam JS, Graninger M, Messner P, McNeil MR, Field RA, Whitfield C, Naismith JH. 2007. RmlC, a C3' and C5' carbohydrate epimerase, appears to operate via an intermediate with an unusual twist boat conformation. *J. Mol. Biol.* **365**:146-159.
 49. Rolland T, Neuveglise C, Sacerdot C, Dujon B. 2009. Insertion of horizontally transferred genes within conserved syntenic regions of yeast genomes. *PLoS One* **4**:e6515.

50. **Ecker M, Mrsa V, Hagen I, Deutzmann R, Strahl S, Tanner W.** 2003. O-mannosylation precedes and potentially controls the N-glycosylation of a yeast cell wall glycoprotein. *EMBO Reports* **4**:628-632.
51. **Latgé J-P, Calderone R.** 2005. The fungal cell wall. In Esser K, Fischer R (ed.), *The Mycota XIII*. Springer, Berlin.
52. **Klis FM, Mol P, Hellingwerf K, Brul S.** 2002. Dynamics of cell wall structure in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. *FEMS Microbiol. Rev.* **26**:239-256.
53. **Cormack BP, Ghori N, Falkow S.** 1999. An adhesin of the yeast pathogen *Candida glabrata* mediating adherence to human epithelial cells. *Science* **285**:578-582.
54. **De Las Penas A, Pan SJ, Castano I, Alder J, Cregg R, Cormack BP.** 2003. Virulence-related surface glycoproteins in the yeast pathogen *Candida glabrata* are encoded in subtelomeric clusters and subject to RAP1- and SIR-dependent transcriptional silencing. *Genes Dev.* **17**:2245-2258.
55. **Wright MH, Heal WP, Mann DJ, Tate EW.** 2010. Protein myristoylation in health and disease. *Journal of Chemical Biology* **3**:19-35.
56. **Frieman MB, McCaffery JM, Cormack BP.** 2002. Modular domain structure in the *Candida glabrata* adhesin Epa1p, a β 1,6 glucan-cross-linked cell wall protein. *Mol. Microbiol.* **46**:479-492.
57. **Bayliss CD, Field D, Moxon ER.** 2001. The simple sequence contingency loci of *Haemophilus influenzae* and *Neisseria meningitidis*. *J. Clin. Invest.* **107**:657-662.
58. **Bayliss CD, van de Ven T, Moxon ER.** 2002. Mutations in *polI* but not *mutSLH* destabilize *Haemophilus influenzae* tetranucleotide repeats. *EMBO J.* **21**:1465-1476.
59. **Martin P, van de Ven T, Mouchel N, Jeffries AC, Hood DW, Moxon ER.** 2003. Experimentally revised repertoire of putative contingency loci in *Neisseria meningitidis* strain MC58: evidence for a novel mechanism of phase variation. *Mol. Microbiol.* **50**:245-257.
60. **Saunders NJ, Jeffries AC, Peden JF, Hood DW, Tettelin H, Rappuoli R, Moxon ER.** 2000. Repeat-associated phase variable genes in the complete genome sequence of *Neisseria meningitidis* strain MC58. *Mol. Microbiol.* **37**:207-215.
61. **Snyder LA, Butcher SA, Saunders NJ.** 2001. Comparative whole-genome analyses reveal over 100 putative phase-variable genes in the pathogenic *Neisseria* spp. *Microbiology* **147**:2321-2332.
62. **Tettelin H, Saunders NJ, Heidelberg J, Jeffries AC, Nelson KE, Eisen JA, Ketchum KA, Hood DW, Peden JF, Dodson RJ, Nelson WC, Gwinn ML, DeBoy R, Peterson JD, Hickey EK, Haft DH, Salzberg SL, White O, Fleischmann RD, Dougherty BA, Mason T, Ciecko A, Parksey DS, Blair E, Cittone H, Clark EB, Cotton MD, Utterback TR, Khouri H, Qin H, Vamathevan J, Gill J, Scarlato V, Masignani V, Pizza M, Grandi G, Sun L, Smith HO, Fraser CM, Moxon ER, Rappuoli R, Venter JC.** 2000. Complete genome sequence of *Neisseria meningitidis* serogroup B strain MC58. *Science* **287**:1809-1815.
63. **Cole ST, Brosch R, Parkhill J, Garnier T, Churcher C, Harris D, Gordon SV, Eiglmeier K, Gas S, Barry CE, 3rd, Tekaia F, Badcock K, Basham D, Brown D, Chillingworth T, Connor R, Davies R, Devlin K, Feltwell T, Gentles S, Hamlin N, Holroyd S, Hornsby T, Jagels K, Krogh A, McLean J, Moule S, Murphy L, Oliver K, Osborne J, Quail MA, Rajandream MA, Rogers J, Rutter S, Seeger K, Skelton J, Squares R, Squares S, Sulston JE, Taylor K, Whitehead S, Barrell BG.** 1998. Deciphering the biology of *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* from the complete genome sequence. *Nature* **393**:537-544.
64. **Tekaia F, Gordon SV, Garnier T, Brosch R, Barrell BG, Cole ST.** 1999. Analysis of the proteome of *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* in silico. *Tuber. Lung Dis.* **79**:329-342.
65. **Uversky VN, Dunker AK.** 2010. Understanding protein non-folding. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta* **1804**:1231-1264.
66. **Uversky VN.** 2011. Intrinsically disordered proteins from A to Z. *Int. J. Biochem. Cell Biol.* **43**:1090-1103.
67. **Gibbons JG, Rokas A.** 2009. Comparative and functional characterization of intragenic tandem repeats in 10 *Aspergillus* genomes. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* **26**:591-602.
68. **Dujon B.** 2010. Yeast evolutionary genomics. *Nat Rev Genet* **11**:512-524.
69. **Wang H, Xu Z, Gao L, Hao B.** 2009. A fungal phylogeny based on 82 complete genomes using the composition vector method. *BMC Evol Biol* **9**:195.

Figure legends

Figure 1: Distribution of megasatellites in the 21 genomes studied. Left: Tree topology is adapted from (68, 69). Branch lengths are arbitrary. Motif families are represented by a colour code. Motifs drawn on the tree indicate their proposed time of appearance during evolution, under a parsimony hypothesis. Right: Protein clusters containing two or more proteins are represented by vertical columns. Non-unique motifs are indicated by their number in a black box (supplementary table). Unique motifs are shown in grey. P2.n: all clusters containing only two proteins are represented in this column.

Figure 2: Distribution of megasatellites according to motif size. Upper part: total number of megasatellites, for each motif size. Lower part: total number of megasatellites in each species, classified by families. Number colour code is the same as in the upper part.

Figure 3: Correspondence analysis showing the distribution of megasatellites (blue dots) according to the 20 amino-acids, on the first factorial plane. F1 and F2 are the first and second factorial axes and represent respectively 27% and 11% of the total information included in the analyzed data table: observed megasatellites versus their amino-acid composition.

Figure 4: Example of similar megasatellites in two non-homologous genes. Non alignment of *KLTH0C00440g* and *KLLA0A11935g* translation products, two proteins belonging to two different clusters (P6.3 and P8.1, respectively), containing the same peptidic motif (FLO, motif cluster M17.1, Table 2). The peptidic motif is shown in red, along with the number of repeats in each protein. The N-terminal and C-terminal parts of both proteins exhibit little identity (12.9% and 14.2%, respectively), most of the identical amino-acids being serine and

threonine residues, due to the compositional bias of both proteins. In comparison, both FLO motifs are very similar, despite a comparable compositional bias.

Figure 5: Example of different megasatellites in two homologous genes Alignment of *PODANSg8665* and *ANI071* translation products, two homologous proteins (P18.1), containing different megasatellite motifs. Both proteins show very similar N-terminal parts (42.6% identity), followed by less conserved regions (12.3% identity), containing the repeated peptides. There is no homology between both peptidic motifs.

Supplementary table

All data used in the analysis are summarized here. Megasatellite_ID: corresponds to the gene identification. When more than one megasatellite is found in the same gene, it is followed by an index number, 1, 2, etc. Gene Name: name of the gene, when it has a known function. Start, End: refer to motif borders on the corresponding protein. Size: motif size (in amino acids). Clusters: cluster of the motif, or of the protein in which the tandemly repeated peptide was deleted. single: motif or protein without any detectable homologue. Family: Megasatellite family, based on clustering (see text). Motif_ID: each motif in a given megasatellite was numbered, so that each motif bears a unique identifier. Amino-acid %: Amino-acid composition of megasatellites. Amino acids in proportions statistically different from the average composition of the studied proteome are indicated (see Figure 3 and text). The composition is indicated next to the first motif of the tandem repeat. Motif sequence: individual sequence of each motif.