

# Influenza - the need to stay ahead of the virus.

S van Der Werf, D Levy-Bruhl

#### ▶ To cite this version:

S van Der Werf, D Levy-Bruhl. Influenza - the need to stay ahead of the virus.. Eurosurveillance, 2014, Influenza – the need to stay ahead of the virus, 20 (5), pp.1-4. 10.2807/1560-7917.ES2015.20.5.21030 . pasteur-01126608

# HAL Id: pasteur-01126608 https://pasteur.hal.science/pasteur-01126608

Submitted on 6 Mar 2015

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



## Influenza - the need to stay ahead of the virus.

S Van Der Werf, D Levy-Bruhl

#### ▶ To cite this version:

### HAL Id: pasteur-01126608

https://hal-pasteur.archives-ouvertes.fr/pasteur-01126608

Submitted on 6 Mar 2015

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

#### **EDITORIALS**

# Influenza – the need to stay ahead of the virus

S van der Werf (sylvie.van-der-werf@pasteur.fr)1,2, D Lévy-Bruhl3

- 1. Unit of Molecular Genetics of RNA viruses, Institut Pasteur, Université Paris Diderot-Sorbonne Paris Cité, Paris, France
- Coordinating Center of the National Reference Center for influenza viruses, National Influenza Center (Northern-France), Institut Pasteur, Paris, France
- 3. Institut de Veille Sanitaire, Saint Maurice, France

Citation style for this article: van der Werf S, Lévy-Bruhl D. Influenza – the need to stay ahead of the virus. Euro Surveill. 2015;20(5):pii=21030. Available online: http://www.eurosurveillance. org/ViewArticle.aspx?ArticleId=21030

Article submitted on 04 February 2015 / published on 05 February 2015

As illustrated in a series of publications in the current and previous issue of Eurosurveillance [1-3], the ongoing influenza epidemics in Europe and North America are dominated by influenza A(H3N2) viruses. The majority of these appear to vary antigenically from the current northern hemisphere vaccine strain A/Texas/50/2012(H3N2) and more closely related to the vaccine strain A/Switzerland/9715293/2013(H3N2) recommended for the 2014/15 season of the southern hemisphere. In line with the observed antigenic mismatch between circulating and vaccine A(H3N2) viruses, preliminary estimates of influenza vaccine effectiveness (IVE) from Canada in the general population [3] and in hospitalised patients [4] and from the general population in the United Kingdom (UK) [5] complement previous data for the United States (US) [6]. All point to an overall substantially reduced vaccine effectiveness with point estimates of -8%, -16.8%, 3.4%, and 22%, respectively, as compared to seasons with a good match between circulating viruses and vaccine strains. This situation highlights the difficulties to accurately and timely anticipate antigenic changes of influenza viruses for inclusion of the proper antigenic (drift) variants in the vaccine.

Recommendations for the influenza vaccine composition are issued twice a year by the World Health Organization (WHO), in February and September, for the northern and southern hemisphere influenza seasons, respectively [7]. Recommendations are based on surveillance data and analysis of the virus characteristics provided by the National Influenza Centres from the WHO Global Influenza Surveillance and Response System (GISRS). For the four categories of seasonal influenza viruses, i.e. two influenza A virus subtypes A(H<sub>3</sub>N<sub>2</sub>) and A(H<sub>1</sub>N<sub>1</sub>)pdmo<sub>9</sub> and two influenza B lineage, B-Yamagata and B-Victoria viruses, data taken into account comprise epidemiological data as well as virological data in order to evaluate the genetic evolution of the viruses, their antigenic characteristics and susceptibility to antivirals, as well as their geographical distribution and impact. These are complemented by serological data aimed at evaluating the ability of post-vaccination sera from the previous season to neutralise the most recently circulating viruses with particular focus on potential drift variants [8]. The serological study in Finland in vaccinated healthcare workers by Haveri et al. in this issue points to a reduced cross-protection towards currently circulating drifted influenza A(H<sub>3</sub>N<sub>2</sub>) viruses [2].

Despite expansion of the GISRS network especially following the 2009 influenza A(H1N1) pandemic and continuous improved surveillance worldwide [9], predicting six months ahead of time which influenza variants will be predominating the next season remains a challenge. To achieve this, a better understanding of the link between genetic and antigenic evolution of the virus is required. Recent studies have provided information on key residues of the haemagglutinin that contribute to major antigenic changes for the influenza A(H3N2) and A(H1N1)pdmo9 viruses [10,11]. Substitutions for at least one of these key residues (aa 159) were observed for the drifted A(H3N2) viruses from the current influenza season. However, in order to stay ahead of the virus, new means to better predict which genetic group of viruses will most likely become predominant are needed. This might be achieved through analysis of the evolutionary trajectories of the virus sequences taking into account minority variants that can be detected through Next Generation Sequencing. The feasibility on a large scale and benefits for the definition of the vaccine composition of an approach combining improved prediction of genetic variants likely to emerge and their impact on virus antigenicity, will require more research.

In spite of the challenges to define the vaccine composition, when excepting the 2009 pandemic, mismatches for viruses circulating in Europe occurred only once for A(H<sub>1</sub>N<sub>1</sub>) viruses and three times for A(H<sub>3</sub>N<sub>2</sub>) viruses in the past 12 years (Table).

In addition, for type B viruses, a mismatch occurred three times, in two instances related to the inclusion of the wrong influenza B lineage in the composition of the trivalent vaccine. Making global predictions for

www.eurosurveillance.org 1 Antigenic match with vaccine strains of influenza viruses circulating in Europe from 2003/04 to 2014/15

Season (northern hemisphere)	Vaccine composition (northern hemisphere)			Circulating viruses <sup>a</sup>		
	A(H1N1)	A(H3N2)	Type B (lineage)	A(H1N1)	A(H3N2)	Type B lineage
2003/04	A/New Caledonia/20/99	A/Moscow/10/995	B/Hong Kong/330/2001 (VIC)		H3N2	
2004/05	A/New Caledonia/20/99	A/Fujian/411/02	B/Shanghai/361/02 (VIC)		H3N2	
2005/06	A/New Caledonia/20/99	A/California/7/2004	B/Shanghai/361/02 (VIC)	H1N1		VIC
2006/07	A/New Caledonia/20/99	A/Wisconsin/67/2005	B/Malaysia/2506/2004 (VIC)		H3N2	
2007/08	A/Solomon Islands/3/2006	A/Wisconsin/67/2005	B/Malaysia/2506/2004 (VIC)	H1N1		YAM
2008/09	A/Brisbane/59/2007	A/Brisbane/10/2007	B/Florida/4/2006 (YAM)		H3N2	VIC
2009/10	A/Brisbane/59/2007	A/Brisbane/10/2007	B/Brisbane/60/2008 (VIC)	H1N1pdmo9		
2010/11	A/California/7/2009 (pdm)	A/Perth/16/2009	B/Brisbane/60/2008 (VIC)	H1N1pdmo9		VIC
2011/12	A/California/7/2009 (pdm)	A/Perth/16/2009	B/Brisbane/60/2008 (VIC)		H <sub>3</sub> N <sub>2</sub>	
2012/13	A/California/7/2009 (pdm)	A/Victoria/361/2011	B/Wisconsin/1/2010 (YAM)	H1N1pdmo9	H3N2 <sup>b</sup>	YAM
2013/14	A/California/7/2009 (pdm)	A/Texas/50/2012	B/Massachusets/2/2012 (YAM)	H1N1pdmo9	H <sub>3</sub> N <sub>2</sub>	
2014/15	A/California/7/2009 (pdm)	A/Texas/50/2012	B/Massachusets/2/2012 (YAM)		H <sub>3</sub> N <sub>2</sub>	

pdm: pandemic; VIC: Victoria; YAM: Yamagata.

- <sup>a</sup> Only viruses accounting for more than 10% of the circulating viruses are mentioned; mismatches are highlighted in grey.
- <sup>b</sup> Mismatch related to antigenic changes of the vaccine strain upon growth in eggs.

influenza B viruses has proven particularly challenging as different influenza B lineages may predominate or co-circulate in different regions. Availability of tetravalent vaccines containing influenza B strains from both the B-Yamagata and B-Victoria lineage in addition to the two A(H<sub>3</sub>N<sub>2</sub>) and A(H<sub>1</sub>N<sub>1</sub>)pdmo<sub>9</sub> strains provides a solution but will not prevent a mismatch due to the emergence of a drift variant. Mismatch may also be related to antigenic changes of the vaccine strain upon growth in eggs as seen for the A(H<sub>3</sub>N<sub>2</sub>) strain during the 2012/13 season [12].

Mismatches concerning the A(H<sub>3</sub>N<sub>2</sub>) component of the vaccine impacted most on public health as A(H<sub>3</sub>N<sub>2</sub>) viruses are known to confer more severe illness with potential for complications especially in the elderly, a population that is also one of the main targets for vaccination. The extent to which a mismatch results in reduced IVE, however, is variable [13]. Vaccine effectiveness depends on the immunogenicity of the vaccine itself. This may vary with the type of vaccine (e.g. inactivated, presence of adjuvant, live attenuated), and for each vaccine strain. It also depends on the quality of the elicited immune response that is known to vary between individuals especially with age.

The role of pre-existing immunity that results from previous infection or vaccination also needs to be considered. In this respect, more serological data to inform, before the beginning of the season, about the antibody levels in the population against the various influenza viruses, including potential drift variants would be desirable. Finally, IVE depends on the extent of the mismatch between the vaccine strain and

the circulating virus and the predominance of the drift variants among circulating viruses needs to be taken into account. This highlights the importance of quality surveillance that integrates virological and epidemiological data. Predicting the actual impact of a given mismatch on IVE is thus very challenging. It requires integration of virological, serological and epidemiological data that are not always available and knowledge for the establishment of correlations is lacking. For instance, the impact of repeat vaccination that has sometimes shown to have a negative effect on IVE as reported from Canada by Skowronski et al. [3] remains a complex and unresolved issue that requires further investigation [14].

In the absence of methodologies to predict the impact of a mismatch on IVE, real time epidemiological evaluation of IVE is the preferred option in order to guide appropriate responses to suboptimal vaccine effectiveness. Recent years have seen marked improvements in the capacity of generating early in-season epidemiological measures of IVE, despite the many pitfalls attached to such studies [15,16]. The first issue relates to the case definition. Indeed, a clinical outcome such as influenza-like illness (ILI) lacks specificity and may lead to underestimation of IVE. Therefore, laboratory confirmation of ILI, as done in the Canadian and the UK studies published last week and in the current Eurosurveillance issue, is increasingly considered as a standard. The second issue is bias. As all observational studies, IVE studies are prone to bias. Both negative and positive confounding can alter the quality of IVE, requiring the documentation of a minimum set of variables to be included as covariates in models.

The increasing use of the test-negative case-control design, whereby controls are individuals consulting for ILI and testing negative for influenza, allows reducing the potential bias linked to differential healthcare seeking behaviours according to vaccination status. The third issue relates to the power of the studies. Even in countries with a well-established General Practice (GP)-based sentinel surveillance system, it is difficult to conduct large scale studies allowing precise early estimates, especially for subgroup analysis. This is especially true for measurement of IVE in elderly patients as such patients, although the main target of seasonal influenza vaccination, are difficult to recruit in sufficient numbers at GP offices.

The European Centre for Disease Prevention and Control (ECDC)-funded Influenza Monitoring Vaccine Effectiveness (I-MOVE) network set up in 2007, including 22 partners from 17 European Union/European Economic Area (EU/EEA) countries with Epiconcept as the coordinating hub, has proven its ability to generate early reliable IVE estimates, taking into account the issues above [17]. To do so, I-Move partners have agreed on high quality standardised protocols allowing the pooling of the data at European level. Such an initiative, together with similar ones from other parts of the world e.g. in North America, South America, Australia [15] paves the way for providing IVE data to complement virological data, as basis for the decisionmaking process for the next season vaccine composition, at the WHO annual February meeting [18].

The new requirement from the European Medicines Agency (EMA) asking influenza vaccines market authorisation holders to provide annual brand-specific effectiveness data should bring more resources into the IVE studies [19]. This should result in more powered studies but requires, as a prerequisite, the set up of new mechanisms for public-private partnership in the sensitive area of monitoring and evaluation of immunisation programmes and related vaccines, that are acceptable to both sides. Several initiatives, including the Innovative Medicines Initiative (IMI) Advance project, are currently working on this issue [20]. More powered IVE studies conducted specifically in elderly should also be undertaken in the near future through the I-MOVE+project currently under preparation.

However, despite those recent or soon to be expected improvements, unsolved challenges persist, in case of a mismatch. IVE estimates cannot always be obtained before the start of the epidemic in countries hit first and breakdown by virus (sub-)type or lineage is not always possible in case of mixed circulation of influenza viruses. Furthermore, it should be emphasised that extrapolation of IVE determined in a given context to other regions or settings is not always possible. Indeed, as mentioned above, differences in type of vaccine use, target populations for vaccination, preexisting immunity resulting from previous circulation of influenza viruses, as well as the level of predominance

of the drifted variants among circulating viruses will have an impact on IVE. However, the availability of interim assessments of IVE from other parts of the world and also from a European country, as presented in this issue for the UK, at a time where the influenza epidemic is still rising in most European countries, has proven useful in allowing national authorities, in line with the ECDC risk assessment, to issue recommendations for both health professionals and the lay public [5,21]. These mainly concern the strengthening of infection control measures and the early use of influenza antiviral medication for persons at higher risk for serious complications, either as post-exposure prophylaxis or treatment.

Although in the case of a mismatch reduced vaccine effectiveness can be anticipated towards the drifted variant, vaccination should still be recommended also for the ongoing season. Indeed, it will still provide protection towards the other viruses that match the vaccine strain. Despite the fact that in the older and more vulnerable population, IVE was very low as reported from Canada by McNeil et al. [4] in hospitalised adults presenting with acute respiratory illness, overall some cross-protection towards the drifted variant can be anticipated, in the sense that even if it does not prevent infection per se it could contribute to reduce disease severity leading to complications or even death [21,22].

Evidently, instead of a better measurement of low effectiveness a better vaccine is needed. This would mean, a more effective vaccine for all age groups, affording broad cross-protection within each sub-type or lineage of seasonal influenza viruses, thus allowing to avoid the need for annual vaccination and update of the vaccine composition. Of course, a universal vaccine covering all influenza A virus subtypes and protecting from potential pandemic strains would be ideal [23].

#### Conflicts of interest

Sylvie van der Werf: received financial support for scientific research (GSK and Roche), speaker's fees and attendance at international meeting (GSK).
Daniel Levy-Bruhl: none.

#### Authors' contributions

SW and DLB jointly drafted the manuscript and both approved the final version.

#### References

- Broberg E, Snacken R, Adlhoch C, Beauté J, Galinska M, Pereyaslov D, et al., on behalf of the WHO European Region and the European Influenza Surveillance Network. Start of the 2014/15 influenza season in Europe: drifted influenza A(H3N2) viruses circulate as dominant subtype. Euro Surveill. 2015;20(4):21023.
- Haveri A, Ikonen N, Julkunen I, Kantele A, Anttila VJ, Ruotsalainen E, et al. Reduced cross-protection against influenza A(H3N2) subgroup 3C.2a and 3C.3a viruses among

www.eurosurveillance.org

- Finnish healthcare workers vaccinated with 2013/14 seasonal influenza vaccine. Euro Surveill. 2015;20(5):pii=21028.
- 3. Skowronski DM, Chambers C, Sabaiduc S, De Serres G, Dickinson JA, Winter AL, et al. Interim estimates of 2014/15 vaccine effectiveness against influenza A(H3N2) from Canada's Sentinel Physician Surveillance Network, January 2015. Euro Surveill. 2015;20(4):21022.
- 4. McNeil SA, Andrew MK, Ye L, Haguinet F, Hatchette TF, ElSherif M, et al. Interim estimates of 2014/15 influenza vaccine effectiveness in preventing laboratory-confirmed influenza-related hospitalisation from the Serious Outcomes Surveillance Network of the Canadian Immunization Research Network, January 2015. Euro Surveill. 2015;20(5):pii=21024
- Pebody RG, Warburton F, Ellis J, Andrews N, Thompson C, von Wissmann B, et al. Low effectiveness of seasonal influenza vaccine in preventing laboratory-confirmed influenza in primary care in the United Kingdom: 2014/15 mid-season results. Euro Surveill. 2015;20(5):pii=21025
- Flannery B, Clippard J, Zimmerman RK, Nowalk MP, Jackson ML, Jackson LA, et al.; Centers for Disease Prevention and Control. Early estimates of seasonal influenza vaccine effectiveness
  - United States, January 2015. MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep. 2015;64(1):10-5. PMID:25590680
- World Health Organization (WHO). WHO recommendations on the composition of influenza virus vaccines. Geneva: WHO. [Accessed 5 Feb 2015]. Available from: http://www.who.int/ influenza/vaccines/virus/recommendations/en/
- 8. Barr IG, Russell C, Besselaar TG, Cox NJ, Daniels RS, Donis R, et al.; Writing Committee of the World Health Organization Consultation on Northern Hemisphere Influenza Vaccine Composition for 2013–2014. WHO recommendations for the viruses used in the 2013-2014 Northern Hemisphere influenza vaccine: Epidemiology, antigenic and genetic characteristics of influenza A(H1N1)pdm09, A(H3N2) and B influenza viruses collected from October 2012 to January 2013. Vaccine. 2014;32(37):4713-25. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j. vaccine.2014.02.014 PMID:24582632
- Ampofo WK, Al Busaidy S, Cox NJ, Giovanni M, Hay A, Huang S, et al. Strengthening the influenza vaccine virus selection and development process: outcome of the 2nd WHO Informal Consultation for Improving Influenza Vaccine Virus Selection held at the Centre International de Conférences (CICG) Geneva, Switzerland, 7 to 9 December 2011. Vaccine. 2013;31(32):3209-21. doi: 10.1016/j.vaccine.2013.05.049
- 10. Koel BF, Burke DF, Bestebroer TM, van der Vliet S, Zondag GC, Vervaet G, et al. Substitutions near the receptor binding site determine major antigenic change during influenza virus evolution. Science. 2013;342(6161):976-9. http://dx.doi.org/10.1126/science.1244730 PMID:24264991
- 11. Koel BF, Mögling R, Chutinimitkul S, Fraaij PL, Burke DF, van der Vliet S, et al. Identification of amino acid substitutions supporting antigenic change of A(H1N1)pdmo9 viruses. J Virol. 2015 Jan 21. pii: JVI.02962-14. [Epub ahead of print]
- 12. Skowronski DM, Janjua NZ, De Serres G, Sabaiduc S, Eshaghi A, Dickinson JA, et al. Low 2012-13 influenza vaccine effectiveness associated with mutation in the egg-adapted H3N2 vaccine strain not antigenic drift in circulating viruses. PLoS One. 2014 Mar 25;9(3):e92153. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0092153.
- Tricco AC, Chit A, Soobiah C, Hallett D, Meier G, Chen MH, Tashkandi M, Bauch CT, Loeb M. Comparing influenza vaccine efficacy against mismatched and matched strains: a systematic review and meta-analysis. BMC Med. 2013 Jun 25;11:153. doi: 10.1186/1741-7015-11-153. Review.PMID: 23800265.
- 14. Neuzil KM. How Can We Solve the Enigma of Influenza Vaccine-Induced Protection? J Infect Dis. 2014 Nov 21. pii: jiu651. [Epub ahead of print]. PMID: 2541681.
- Lafond KE, Tam JS, Bresee JS, Widdowson MA. International meeting on influenza vaccine effectiveness, 3-4 December 2012, Geneva, Switzerland. Vaccine. 2014;32(49):6591-5. PMID:25446822
- 16. Valenciano M, Kissling E, Ciancio BC, Moren A. Study designs for timely estimation of influenza vaccine effectiveness using European sentinel practitioner networks. Vaccine. 2010;28(46):7381-8. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j. vaccine.2010.09.010 PMID:20851086
- Valenciano M, Ciancio B; I-MOVE study team. I-MOVE: a European network to measure the effectiveness of influenza vaccines. Euro Surveill. 2012;17(39):20281. PMID:23041022
- 18. World Health Organization (WHO). Questions and Answers. Recommended composition of influenza virus vaccines for use in the northern hemisphere 2014-15 influenza seasonal and development of candidate vaccine viruses for pandemic preparedness. Geneva: WHO. [Accessed 4 Feb 2015]. Available

- from: http://www.who.int/influenza/vaccines/virus/recommendations/201402\_qanda\_recommendation.pdf?ua=1
- 19. European Medicines Agency (EMA). Explanatory note on the withdrawal of the Note for guidance on harmonisation of requirements for influenza Vaccines and of the core SmPC/PL for inactivated seasonal influenza vaccines. 23 Jan 2014. Available from: http://www.ema.europa.eu/docs/ en\_GB/document\_library/Scientific\_guideline/2014/02/ WC500161022.pdf)
- 20. Innovative Medicines Initiative (IMI). Key organisations unite to improve benefits and risk assessments of vaccines. Brussels: IMI. 26 Nov 2013. Available from: http://www.imi.europa.eu/ content/press-release-advance
- 21. European Centre for Disease Prevention and Control (ECDC). Risk assessment. Seasonal influenza in the EU/EEA countries, 2014-2015. Stockholm: ECDC; Jan 2015. Available from: http://www.ecdc.europa.eu/en/publications/Publications/seasonal-influenza-risk-assessment.pdf
- 22. Beyer WE, McElhaney J, Smith DJ, Monto AS, Nguyen-Van-Tam JS, Osterhaus AD. Cochrane re-arranged: support for policies to vaccinate elderly people against influenza. Vaccine. 2013 Dec 5;31(50):6030-3. doi: 10.1016/j.vaccine.2013.09.063
- 23. Pica N, Palese P. Toward a universal influenza virus vaccine: prospects and challenges. Annu Rev Med. 2013;64:189-202. doi: 10.1146/annurev-med-120611-145115. Review. PMID:23327522.

www.eurosurveillance.org