Real-time whole-body visualization of Chikungunya Virus infection and host interferon response in zebrafish.
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Abstract

Chikungunya Virus (CHIKV), a re-emerging arbovirus that may cause severe disease, constitutes an important public health problem. Herein we describe a novel CHIKV infection model in zebrafish, where viral spread was live-imaged in the whole body up to cellular resolution. Infected cells emerged in various organs in one principal wave with a median appearance time of ~14 hours post infection. Timing of infected cell death was organ dependent, leading to a shift of CHIKV localization towards the brain. As in mammals, CHIKV infection triggered a strong type-I interferon (IFN) response, critical for survival. IFN was mainly expressed by neutrophils and hepatocytes. Cell type specific ablation experiments further demonstrated that neutrophils play a crucial, unexpected role in CHIKV containment. Altogether, our results show that the zebrafish represents a novel valuable model to dynamically visualize replication, pathogenesis and host responses to a human virus.

Introduction

Chikungunya virus (CHKV) is a mosquito-transmitted virus that causes serious illness and has reemerged in Africa and Asia since 2000, causing outbreaks with millions of cases after decades of near-absence [1]. The epidemic spread to previously CHIKV-free areas, such as La Reunion Island in the Indian Ocean, probably as a consequence of the adaptive mutation of the virus to new vector species, Aedes albopictus, the tiger mosquito [2,3,4,5]. Unlike traditional CHIKV vectors such as A. aegypti, A. albopictus can produce cold-resistant eggs and is a major invasive species of temperate countries [6], and as it also seems to better transmit the virus [7], CHIKV is now threatening to invade many new territories including the Caribbean, southeast USA and southern Europe. There is currently no commercial vaccine or efficient treatment available for this disease [1].

CHIKV infection is often debilitating and may last from weeks to months; its symptoms in humans include acute fever, rash, joint and muscle pain, chronic arthralgia and, more rarely, severe complications with a fatality rate of about 1 in 1000 [1,8,9,10]. However, CHIKV infection in humans is generally self-limiting, with a short but intense viremia lasting about one week, controlled by type-I interferons (IFNs) [8]. Specific antibodies become detectable shortly after and contribute to virus clearance [11].

CHIKV tropism in vitro, and host innate immune responses are only starting to be characterized [8,9]. In humans, the virus displays a wide cellular tropism in vitro, infecting fibroblasts, endothelial, epithelial, muscle cells, and to a lower extent, myeloid cells like macrophages [12,13]. Severe encephalopathies have been reported in CHIKV-infected humans, mostly in infants - more than half infected newborns [14], compared with ~0.1% in adults [15] - yet CHIKV neurotropism remains controversial [16,17]. It is still debated whether CHIKV may persist in some cellular reservoirs after the early viremic phase and be responsible for painful relapses that may persist for months.

Murine and macaque models that recapitulate to some extent the human disease have been developed [18,19,20,21]. These models have greatly improved our understanding of the disease, but they do not allow the visualization of infection dynamics and host antiviral and inflammatory responses at the whole body level.
Recently, the zebrafish *Danio rerio* has emerged as a new model for host-pathogen interactions, largely because their small, transparent larvae are highly suited to *in vivo* imaging. Zebrafish possess an innate and adaptive immune system akin to that of mammals, but its free-swimming larva relies solely on innate immunity for the first month of its life, allowing the specific dissection of innate immune responses [22]. At the larval stage, cellular immunity consists of myeloid cells only, with neutrophils and macrophages being the main effector cells [23,24]. As in mammals, antiviral immunity is orchestrated by virus-induced IFNs, of which the zebrafish possess four (IFN-1-4) [25,26], structurally similar to mammalian type I IFNs [27]. Zebrafish type I IFNs have been divided into two groups: I (IFNφ1 and φ4) and II (IFNφ2 and φ3), that signal via two different heterodimeric receptors, CRFB1/CRFB5 and CRFB2/CRFB5, respectively. As IFNφ2 is expressed only in adults and IFNφ4 has little activity, the IFN response is mediated by IFNφ1 and IFNφ3 in zebrafish larvae [26,28].

Since CHIKV infects both mammals and insects, and since other members of the alphavirus genus naturally infect salmonids [29,30], we hypothesized that the zebrafish free-swimming larva might be sensitive to CHIKV, allowing live imaging of infected cells and dynamics of host-virus relationship in the entire animal. Here we describe a new CHIKV infection model in zebrafish larvae and analyze the dynamics of infection, cell death and host responses. Type I IFNs were critical for survival of CHIKV-infected zebrafish and we identified an unexpected role for neutrophils in both the production of type I IFNs and control of CHIKV infection.

**Author Summary**

Chikungunya, a re-emerging disease caused by a mosquito-transmitted virus, is an important public health problem. We developed a zebrafish model for chikungunya virus infection. For the first time, rise and death of virus-infected cells could be live imaged in the entire body of a vertebrate. We observed a widespread wave of apparition of newly infected cells during the first day after inoculation of the virus. We then found that infected cells died at a strongly organ-dependent rate, accounting for the progressive shift of virus localization. Notably, the virus persisted in the brain despite apparent recovery of infected zebrafish. We found this recovery to be critically dependent on the host type I interferon response. Surprisingly, we identified neutrophils as a major cell population expressing interferon and controlling chikungunya virus

**Results**

**CHIKV infection in zebrafish larvae**

We first asked whether zebrafish were sensitive to CHIKV infection. Larvae aged 3 days post-fertilization (dpf) were injected intravenously (Figure 1A) with ~10^8 TCID50 CHIKV, using a strain from the 2005–2006 Reunion Island outbreak (CHIKV-115) [13] or a closely related strain engineered to express GFP (CHIKV-GFP) [3]. Both CHIKV-115 and CHIKV-GFP established infection and replicated *in vivo*, with production of infectious virions peaking at 24–48 hours post-infection (hpi) (>10^5 TCID50/larva; i.e., >10^6 TCID50/gram of tissue) (Figure 1B). Using qRT-PCR with E1-specific primers, we found similar kinetics (Figure 1C). These primer amplify both the genomic and subgenomic transcripts, hence mainly reflect the level of the latter, which is more abundant in alphavirus-infected cells [31], although the ratio of genomic to subgenomic transcripts may vary widely among alphaviruses. Predictably, similar kinetics were obtained for virus-encoded, subgenomic promoter-driven GFP transcripts (Figure 1C). Symptoms, most obvious at 3 days post-infection (dpi), were mild compared to other zebrafish viral infection models [20,32,33,34], the most consistent one being opacification of the yolk (Figure S1A in Text S1). Other less frequent signs included delay in swim bladder inflation, slowing of blood flow, irregular heartbeat, edema, loss of equilibrium and sluggish response to touch (Table S1 in Text S1). These signs were generally transient and by 5 dpi, >90% of infected larvae had apparently recovered, surviving until at least 7 dpi (*not shown*).

**CHIKV infection is cleared in most tissues but infection persists in brain parenchyma**

We monitored organs and cells of live CHIKV-GFP infected zebrafish. GFP patterns varied through time (Figure 1D) and between individuals (Figure S1B in Text S1). GFP was detected in liver, jaw, gills, vascular endothelium, eyes, fins, blood cells, muscle fibers, brain, spinal cord, swim bladder and the yolk syncytilial layer. Similar patterns were observed in CHIKV-115 infected zebrafish after fixation and immunohistochemistry (IHC) with a capsid-specific antibody (*not shown*). We quantified the distribution of infected cells in the entire organism over time to establish the kinetics of viral dissemination (Figure 1E). The amount of infected cells peaked by 1–2 dpi in most organs (jaw, fins, liver, vessels, muscles). This peak was followed by a sharp decrease both in the frequency of larvae showing infection in a given organ, and the number of infected cells per organ. By 4 dpi, CHIKV was cleared from most organs. In contrast, infection in the brain parenchyma became visible at 2 dpi in most animals and persisted at least until 5 dpi (Figures 1D and 1E), suggesting that the brain may represent a viral reservoir in zebrafish. At 7 dpi, the latest time point we tested, infection in the brain was still strong (Figure S1C in Text S1); in addition, double staining of CHIKV-GFP infected larvae with anti-GFP and anti-capsid antibodies showed that almost all capsid-positive cells also expressed GFP, indicating that GFP expression was a reliable indicator of the infection, even into late stages.

Confocal imaging of IHC-labeled CHIKV-infected larvae showed infection in various cell types (Figure 2A), namely fibroblasts in fins (Figure 2B) and jaw (*not shown*), endothelial cells (Figure 2C), muscle fibers (Figure 2D) and hepatocytes (Figure 2E, and Figure S2 in Text S1). Infection also occurred occasionally in red blood cells (Figure S2 in Text S1) but not in macrophages or neutrophils (*not shown*). In zebrafish brain, CHIKV was detected in both neurons and glial cells (Figure 2F, and Figure S2 in Text S1).

**Differential infected cell survival accounts for viral persistence in brain**

To assess the dynamics of CHIKV infection and its cytopathic effects, we performed time-lapse imaging of CHIKV-GFP infected larvae (Figures 3A and 3B) and compiled the appearance and death of GFP+ cells (Figures 3C–E). 88% of newly infected cells appeared before 24 hpi in one major wave (Figure 3C). The median time of appearance of new GFP+ cells was 14±2 hpi with similar kinetics in all cell types (Figure 3D). Death of GFP+ infected cells presented apoptosis features such as membrane blebbing and cellular fragmentation (Figure 3B and Movies S1 and S2). It was frequent from 24 hpi onwards (Figure 3C), with an overall median death time of 67±4 hpi, but dependent on cell type (Figure 3E). For instance, liver cells were highly susceptible
Figure 1. CHIKV replicates in zebrafish and disseminates to various organs. (A) Scheme of a 72 hours post-fertilization (hpf) larva, showing the site of injection in the dorsal aorta (DA) or caudal vein (CV), the caudal hematopoietic tissues (CHT) and the yolk syncytial cell (y). (B) Virus titers in zebrafish larvae infected with wild-type CHIKV-115 or with CHIKV-GFP. Data represent mean ± s.e.m of 2–5 pools of 4 larvae from 5 independent experiments. (C) qRT-PCR of viral E1 and GFP transcripts after CHIKV-GFP infection. Mean ± s.e.m of 3 pools of 10 larvae from 3 independent experiments.
to CHIKV cytopathic effects, with a median occurrence of death at 41 ± 5 hpi, implying that hepatocytes survive for ~27 h following GFP detection, compared to a ~53 h survival period for the general cell population. In contrast, almost all infected brain parenchyma cells survived at least until 72 hpi. These results demonstrate that the apparent shifting tropism of infection towards brain (Figure 1E) is largely due to differential cell survival.

A protective type I IFN response is induced upon CHIKV infection

Type I IFN signaling is critical for control of CHIKV in mammals [18, 20]. In zebrafish larvae, CHIKV triggered high mRNA levels of ifnγ1 (NM_207640, secreted isoform transcript) and ifnγ3 (NM_001111083), and of various IFN-induced genes including viperin/vig-1/rsad2 (NM_001025556) (Figures 4A–C and not shown). Ifnγ1 and viperin levels, peaking at 17–24 hpi, remained high for at least 4 days, correlating with viral burden. These levels were higher than previously observed with fish viruses in zebrafish [28, 32, 34]. Ifnγ3 induction was less prominent in breadth and duration. To assess the role of the IFN response, we knocked down receptors for all IFNγs with antisense morpholino oligonucleotides (MO) directed to the CRFB1 [NM_001079681] and CRFB2 [NM_001077626] subunits [26]. When IFN receptor expression was impaired (CRFB1+2 MO), the disease was particularly severe (Table S1 in Text S1), as measured by a disease score (defined in Table S2 in Text S1) (Figure 4D). Among CRFB1+2 morphant fish, >90% died from infection (Figure 4E), while virus burden was increased up to 100-fold when compared to infected control morphants (Figure 4F). Upstream of IFN signaling, sensing of CHIKV through the cytosolic pathway was important as knockdown of MAVS (IPS-1/CARDIF/VISA) (NM_001080584) (Figure S3 in Text S1) also led to an increase in disease severity and mortality, as well as in virus burden (Figures 4D–F), consistent with results obtained in mice [35, 36]. As expected, knockdown of CRFB1 and CRFB2 did not affect ifnγ1 production but blocked viperin expression, whereas in MAVS morphants, both ifnγ1 and viperin levels were significantly reduced (Figure S3 in Text S1). Altogether, these results show that the type I IFN pathway controls CHIKV replication and pathogenesis in zebrafish.
Zebrafish ifn\textsubscript{w}1:mCherry transgene labels IFN-producing cells

To identify the source of IFN, we first performed whole-mount in situ hybridization (WISH) using an antisense probe for ifn\textsubscript{w}1 at the peak of the response. In CHIKV-infected larvae, ifn\textsubscript{w}1 expression was detected in the liver and in scattered cells with a morphology and distribution evoking leukocytes (Figure 4G). To better visualize the spatiotemporal dynamics of IFN production, we designed a transgenic IFN\textsubscript{w}1 reporter zebrafish, in which the ifn\textsubscript{w}1 promoter drives expression of the mCherry red fluorescent protein. In uninfected 3–6 dpf transgenic larvae, mCherry was detected in very few (10–30) cells, all with leukocyte morphology and mostly residing in the caudal hematopoietic tissue (CHT), but upon CHIKV infection, the number of mCherry\textsuperscript{+} cells dramatically increased (Figure 5A). Starting from 2 dpi, two main populations of mCherry\textsuperscript{+} cells were detected: hepatocytes and motile leukocytes (Figure 5A and Movie S3). The mCherry\textsuperscript{+} leukocytes were dispersed throughout the body.

Figure 3. Kinetics of appearance and death of infected cells, from in vivo time-lapse imaging of CHIKV-GFP infection. (A, B) Movie frames showing emergence of infected cells (GFP\textsuperscript{+}) in the liver and death of one cell during the first day of infection. Time post-infection (in hours and minutes) overlaid on images. (A) Entire field, overlay of transmission and GFP fluorescence (green), scale bar 100 μm. Liver delineated in yellow; arrows point to an hepatocyte becoming infected and dying. (B) Detail from the same movie, GFP fluorescence only, scale bar 20 μm, showing the rise and death of this infected hepatocyte. (C) Timings of appearance (green bars) and death (red bars) of immobile CHIKV-GFP infected cells, all organs pooled; (D, E) sub-analysis of the same dataset, showing kinetics of appearance (D) and death (E) of GFP\textsuperscript{+} cells per organ, displayed as Kaplan-Meier plots. N = Number of cells followed in each organ. In (C–E) data pooled from five independent experiments, with a total 24 fish imaged for 6–24 hours each, 4–8 animals per time-point.

doi:10.1371/journal.ppat.1003619.g003
except the CNS, mostly in the anterior region and the CHT, and persisted until at least 4 dpi. This pattern of expression of the reporter transgene was similar to that of the endogenous ifn\(w_1\) gene (Figure 4G), but appearing later, a delay apparently due to the time required for protein expression and maturation, since at 24 hpi mCherry fluorescence was still low despite mCherry mRNA expression (Figure 5B). Thus, the reporter transgene is faithful but somewhat delayed compared to endogenous ifn\(w_1\). Notably though, viral GFP and mCherry were not detected in the same cells, suggesting that IFN release occurs mostly in uninfected or non-productively infected cells.

Figure 4. A protective interferon response is induced upon CHIKV infection. (A–C) Expression of zebrafish type I IFNs ifn\(w_1\) (A) and ifn\(w_3\) (B) and the IFN-stimulated gene viperin (C), upon CHIKV-GFP infection. qRT-PCR, mean ± s.e.m of 3 pools of 10 larvae from 3 independent experiments. (D–F) Effect of morpholino-mediated knockdown of IFN receptor subunits (CRFB1+2 MO) and of MAVS (MAVS MO) on CHIKV-GFP infection. No MO, not injected with a morpholino; No V, uninfected controls; Control MO, injected with a unspecific morpholino oligonucleotide. (D) Disease score at 3 days post-infection; (E) survival of infected zebrafish; (F) quantification of viral E1 transcripts over time. qRT-PCR, mean ± s.e.m of 3 pools of 3–5 larvae, except for the CRFB morphants at 72 hpi (one single pool of 5 larvae). Hatched bars represent groups where a fraction of the fish had already died, implying selection of survivors for the analysis. ND, not determined. (G) Pattern of ifn\(w_1\) expression, whole-mount in situ hybridization in uninfected larva (top) or CHIKV-GFP infected larva (bottom) at 24 hpi, representative out of 7 fish. Arrows indicate some ifn\(w_1\)+ leukocytes, arrowhead point to an ifn\(w_1\)+ hepatocyte; L = liver. (**P < 0.01; *P < 0.05; ns - not significant). doi:10.1371/journal.ppat.1003619.g004
**Figure 5.** *Ifn*γ1*-expressing cells are leukocytes and hepatocytes.* (A) Distribution of *ifn*γ1*-expressing cells revealed by the *ifn*γ1:*mCherry* reporter transgene. IHC, mCherry stained in red, GFP in green, nuclei in blue. Confocal imaging, reconstructed composite images of maximal projections to cover the whole body. Representative examples of CHIKV-GFP-infected fish at different time points after infection. Below is an uninfected control at the equivalent of 72 hpi. Arrows indicate some mCherry+ leukocytes, L = liver. Scale bar, 100 μm. (B) qRT-PCR of mCherry (normalized to *ef1α*) upon CHIKV-GFP infection in *ifn*γ1:*mCherry* fish. Fold induction to uninfected fish at 12 hpi; data for one pool of 10 larvae per time point. (C) Expression profile of FACS-sorted cells from CHIKV-infected *ifn*γ1:*mCherry* fish (3 dpi). qRT-PCR, fold induction compared to entire uninfected fish (No Virus). Data representative of 2 independent experiments.

doi:10.1371/journal.ppat.1003619.g005
Neutrophils are the main IFN-producing leukocyte population upon CHIKV infection.

We further characterized IFN-producing cells. We FACS-sorted mCherry$^+$ cells from infected ifn$^w_1$mCherry zebrafish at 3 dpi and analyzed their mRNA expression profile (Figure 5C). As expected, expression of ifn$^w_1$ was highest in sorted mCherry$^+$ cells. These cells did not notably co-express ifn$^w_3$. Among leukocyte genes, the macrophage marker c-fms/csf1r (NM_131672) was increased in mCherry$^+$ cells, but the strongest enrichment was for myeloperoxidase (mpx, NM_212779), a specific neutrophil marker in zebrafish [23,24]. The hepatocyte marker fabp1a (NM_001044712) was also expressed, consistent with some hepatocytes producing IFN. Sorted mCherry$^-$ cells expressed lower but significant ifn$^f_1$ levels – especially if compared to naïve larvae, which express it to an extremely low level, likely due to the aforementioned delay. Both mCherry$^+$ and mCherry$^-$ expressed the IFN-inducing transcription factors ifi3 (NM_001111083) and ifi7 (NM_200677), with the latter being enriched among mCherry$^+$ cells.

To confirm the involvement of neutrophils, we crossed neutrophil reporter mpx:GFP with ifn$^w_1$mCherry zebrafish. In double transgenic CHIKV-infected zebrafish, either uninfected or CHIKV-infected larvae were stained with Sudan Black B to reveal myeloperoxidase granules. Total neutrophil numbers per individual zebrafish were quantified using a stereomicroscope.

Figure 6. Ifn$^w_1$-expressing leukocytes are mostly neutrophils, which increase in an IFN-dependent manner. (A–E) IHC of anterior region of mpx:GFP/ifi12mCherry double transgenic fish. (A–B) Confocal imaging of an uninfected (A) and CHIKV-115-infected (B) larva at 48 hpi, maximal projection, scale bar 50 μm, mCherry staining in red, GFP staining in green, nuclei in blue (e: eye; L: liver; h: heart); on the right, single color and merged images of the detailed square. (C) Percentage of neutrophils (GFP$^+$) among mCherry$^+$ leukocytes, per field. (D) Number of mCherry$^+$ neutrophils (GFP$^+$) per field. For (C–E), N = 3 (No Virus) or N = 5–7 (CHIKV). (F, G) Uninfected or CHIKV-GFP-infected larvae were stained with Sudan Black B to reveal myeloperoxidase granules. Total neutrophil numbers per individual zebrafish were quantified using a stereomicroscope. (F) Neutrophil numbers over time in standard (no morpholino treatment) animals; (G) Neutrophil numbers in interferon receptor knockdown fish (CRFB1+2 MO) compared to control morphants. N=5 fish per group (**P < 0.001; *P < 0.01; *P < 0.05; ns - not significant). doi:10.1371/journal.ppat.1003619.g006

Neutrophils are the main IFN-producing leukocyte population upon CHIKV infection.

We further characterized IFN-producing cells. We FACS-sorted mCherry$^+$ cells from infected ifi12mCherry zebrafish at 3 dpi and analyzed their mRNA expression profile (Figure 5C). As expected, expression of ifi12 was highest in sorted mCherry$^+$ cells. These cells did not notably co-express ifi3. Among leukocyte genes, the macrophage marker c-fms/csf1r (NM_131672) was increased in mCherry$^+$ cells, but the strongest enrichment was for myeloperoxidase (mpx, NM_212779), a specific neutrophil marker in zebrafish [23,24]. The hepatocyte marker fabp1a (NM_001044712) was also expressed, consistent with some hepatocytes producing IFN. Sorted mCherry$^-$ cells expressed lower but significant ifi12 levels – especially if compared to naïve larvae, which express it to an extremely low level, likely due to the aforementioned delay. Both mCherry$^+$ and mCherry$^-$ expressed the IFN-inducing transcription factors ifi3 (NM_001111083) and ifi7 (NM_200677), with the latter being enriched among mCherry$^+$ cells.

To confirm the involvement of neutrophils, we crossed neutrophil reporter mpx:GFP with ifi12mCherry zebrafish. In double transgenic CHIKV-infected zebrafish, either uninfected or CHIKV-infected, more than 80% of mCherry$^+$ leukocytes expressed GFP (Figures 6A–C). Their morphology, distribution, speed, and presence of refractile moving granules, as assessed by live Nomarski microscopy, were also consistent with neutrophil identity [24] (not shown).
The number of mCherry+ neutrophils strongly increased by 48 hpi and remained high until at least 96 hpi (Figure 6D, and Figure S4A in Text S1). Other mCherry+ leukocytes (mostly mpox+ macrophages, not shown) were also increased at 48 hpi, but in lower numbers, and notably in the CHT where they transiently made up about half the mCherry+ population (Figure 6E, and Figures S4B and S4C in Text S1). Neutrophil numbers, quantified by Sudan Black staining, peaked at 72 hpi (2001 ± 312 cells/larva compared to 943 ± 234 cells/larva in uninfected controls) (Figure 6F); both mCherry+ and mCherry- neutrophils increased (Figure 6D and not shown). Nevertheless, neutrophil distribution was not obviously perturbed: they did not accumulate at infection foci and were absent from the CNS, like in uninfected fish [24]. Interestingly, knockdown of IFN receptors blocked neutrophil foci and were absent from the CNS, like in uninfected fish [24].

Altogether, these experiments provide independent and convergent evidence consistent with neutrophils being the major population controlling CHIKV, in agreement with their predominance among ifnγl-expressing leukocytes (Figure 6C).

Finally, transient hepatocyte depletion using a Tomm22 (NM_011001724) MO [41] (Figure S7 in Text S1) also led to higher disease severity and more virus production (Figures 7M and 7O) but no increased mortality (Figure 7N), indicating that hepatocytes do not play a role as important as leukocytes in controlling CHIKV.

Discussion

In this study, we establish zebrafish as a new model for the study of the pathogenesis of CHIKV. The overall course of viral spread in zebrafish larvae was close to that observed in mammals, with an early peak of viremia followed by a decline, similar targeted cell types, and a critical dependence on the host IFN response for the control of the virus. In addition, the powerful in vivo imaging techniques available in zebrafish revealed new features of the infection.

We could image the onset of infection in individual cells throughout the body. Almost all new infected cells appeared during one major wave during the first 24 hours following injection of the virus, with relatively little difference between the various targeted organs. Because we could not detect cells with strong GFP expression before the rise of this first wave of infected cells, we presume it reflects the initial set of cells infected by the inoculated virions. The significant inter-individual variation that we observe may be a consequence of a larger number of susceptible cells than of inoculated virions, resulting in a stochastic initial pattern of infection. The decline of appearance of newly infected cells shortly followed the onset of the host IFN response, suggesting that by the time the initial wave of infected cells produce new infectious virions, the host response has made most other cells refractory to the virus. We also observed and quantified infected cell death events, which typically presented apoptosis characteristics. The timing of death of CHIKV+ cells was strongly organ-dependent. The differential survival of infected cells accounted for the apparent shift of tropism towards the brain parenchyma, where infection persisted even after clearance from the rest of the body.

The longer persistence of CHIKV in brains of zebrafish suggests that neurons may constitute a previously overlooked reservoir for the virus. However, this is likely to be mostly the case in infant humans, since encephalitis is a feature of chikungunya disease in newborns rather than in adults. CHIKV potential reservoirs are a matter of conjecture because many patients display chronic arthralgia in the months following CHIKV infection despite resolution of viremia, and it is unclear whether this is due to long-lasting auto-inflammation triggered by the initial infection or to stimulation by persistent virus [8,9,10]. In adult macaques, CHIKV was suggested to persist in macrophages, not CNS [19]. In infected neonate mice, CHIKV was not found to persist in the brain [18,42]. Moreover, in this model, CHIKV was found to infect leptomeningeal and choroid plexus cells, but not brain parenchyma. Yet, mouse brain parenchymal cells may be infected by CHIKV, as shown after intranasal infection [43] or on primary cell cultures [17].

The zebrafish model also allowed us to dynamically image and FACS-sort the cells that are responding to the virus by expressing the ifnγl gene. Based on gene expression profile, morphology, and co-expression of the mpox:GFP transgene, two main populations were shown to express the ifnγl:mCherry transgene: neutrophils and...
hepatocytes. Interestingly, while both irf7 and irf3 are ISGs in fish [44], and therefore expected to be induced in all cells of infected fish, irf7 was expressed at a higher level in sorted mCherry+ than mCherry− cells. This would be consistent with constitutively higher expression of irf7 by cells specialized in ifnQ expression in zebrafish – mirroring key properties of plasmacytoid dendritic cells.

Figure 7. Neutrophils are key ifnQ1-producing cells. (A–C) Effect of myeloid cell depletion (PU.1 MO, 3 independent experiments), (D–F) of macrophage depletion (metronidazole treatment of mpeg1:Gal4/UAS:NfsB-mCherry fish, 2 independent experiments), (G–I) of neutrophil-biased depletion (csf3r MO, 2 independent experiments), (J–L) of impairment of emergency granulopoiesis (nos2a MO, 2 independent experiments) and (M–O) of hepatocyte depletion (Tomm22 MO, 2 independent experiments) on CHIKV infection. (A, D, G, J and M) Disease score at 3 dpi. (B, E, H, K and N) Survival of infected zebrafish. Data pooled from the independent experiments. (C, F, I, L and O) qRT-PCR of viral E1 transcripts at 24 hpi. Mean ± s.e.m of 6 pools of 5 larvae from 2 (C, F, L) or 1 (I, O) independent experiments. (***P < 0.001; **P < 0.01; *P < 0.05; ns - not significant). doi:10.1371/journal.ppat.1003619.g007
of mammals [45]. The cell types, however, were different. Although not viewed as a specialized source of IFN, hepatocytes have been found to be prominent producers in some cases, for example during Thogoto virus infection of a mouse IFN

Although not viewed as a specialized source of IFN, hepatocytes of mammals [45]. The cell types, however, were different. Macrophage depletion having little consequences, no synergy of macrophages and neutrophils seems required to control CHIKV. However, until a truly neutrophil-specific depletion method becomes available in zebrafish, we cannot rule out the possibility of a significant additive contribution of a minor cell type to that of neutrophils; compensation mechanisms following depletion are also an important caveat to consider.

Besides IFN production, other mechanisms may be responsible for the observed protective role of myeloid cells, especially neutrophils, against CHIKV pathogenesis. The role of neutrophils in protecting against viral infections is not fully deciphered [49]. Neutrophil extracellular traps (NETs) were recently shown to protect host cells from myxoma virus infection in mice [50] and to capture HIV-1 and promote its elimination through the action of myeloperoxidase and α-defensin in humans [51]. Zebrafish neutrophils, which share many functional characteristics with their human counterparts, including the production of NETs [52], avidly engulf bacteria on surfaces [53] and seaveage dying infected cells in mycobacterial disease [54], but their function during viral infection was so far unknown. It will be worth further studying the role of zebrafish (and human) neutrophils in sensing of CHIKV-infected cells and the mechanisms mediating viral clearance.

Neutrophil numbers were increased with CHIKV infection, a response we found to be dependent on the IFN response. This was contrary to our expectations, as acute IFN induction by viral infection is known to cause granulocytopenia [55], and even in fish, granulocyte numbers were found to be reduced during a viral infection [56]. Interestingly, neutrophilia has been reported in CHIKV-infected humans with a high viral load [57], suggesting that CHIKV may stimulate neutrophils in an unusual manner. Remarkably, this increase was found to depend on nos2a (zebrafish iNOS), as had been observed in a Salmonella infection model in zebrafish [40]. Depending on the experiment settings, iNOS has been found to favor [58,59] or counteract [60] neutrophil infiltration in inflamed organs in mice. It would be worth investigating the contribution of iNOS to the inflammatory response induced by CHIKV in mammals.

Comparing patterns of infection and of IFN response, it may be significant that virus persistence - dictated by survival of infected cells - was inversely correlated with local production of IFN. The organ where infected cells died fastest was the liver, which was also a major local source of IFN. Conversely, infected cells persisted much longer in the brain, an organ from which neutrophils are excluded, whereas they patrol other tissues in zebrafish [24]. Assessing the relative contribution of the cell autonomous – such as autophagy [61] – and non-cell autonomous (mostly, IFN-driven) events underlying sensitivity of the cells to the cytopathic effect of CHIKV in vivo will be one of our future goals. IFNs have been shown to induce apoptosis of virus-infected cells [62]. It is possible that infected brain neurons and glial cells persist due to the blood brain barrier (BBB) blocking IFN access to this organ. Zebrafish brain endothelial cells express BBB markers Claudin 5 and ZO-1 as early as 3 dpf and brain parenchymal vessels are impermeable to horseradish peroxidase (44 kDa) and rhodamine-dextran (10 kDa) at this stage [63]. It is therefore likely that zebrafish IFNs (~20 kDa) cannot reach the brain parenchyma, which would prevent brain-infected cells from undergoing apoptosis. It has also been suggested that less “renewable” tissues and cells, such as post-mitotic neurons, respond to type I IFNs differently from other cell types [62].

Imaging studies detailing the dynamics of single virus-infected cells in vivo are very recent and remain scarce [64,65,66]. The zebrafish model offers the unique opportunity to visualize and characterize in real time the rise and death of infected cells, throughout the body. To our knowledge, this study represents the first analysis of the fate of single virus-infected cells in a whole organism. Combined with the ability to image IFN-producing cells and to perform host gene silencing, mutagenesis or drug screening, our work establishes the zebrafish as a new valuable host for the study of human pathogenic viruses.

**Materials and Methods**

**Ethics statement**

All animal experiments described in the present study were conducted at the Institut Pasteur according to European Union guidelines for handling of laboratory animals (http://ec.europa.eu/environment/chemicals/lab_animals/home_en.htm) and were approved by the Direction Sante et Vétérinaire de Paris under permit #B-75-1061.

**Fish lines and husbandry**

Zebrafish embryos were raised as previously described [67,68]. Wild-type AB fish were initially obtained from ZIRC (Eugene, OR, USA). The following transgenic lines were used: Tg(gata1a:DsRed)raj [69], Tg(eua3.5:EGFP)yosm [70] referred to as HuCGFP in the text, Tg(gfp:EGFP)yosm [71], Tg(ghlp10:αRed)raj [72], Tg(mpox:EGFP)yosm [73], Tg(mpeg1:mCherry)yosm and Tg(mpox:Galah:FF)yosm [74], and Tg(UAS:E1b:Ec04:mp-B-mCherry)25 [38] referred to as UAS:NfB-mCherry in the text. For imaging purposes, embryos were generally raised in 0.003% 1-phenyl-2-thiourea (PTU) from 24 hpf onwards to prevent melanin pigment formation.

**Virus**

CHIKV was produced on BHK cells. CHIKV-115 is a clinical strain isolated in 2003 from a young adult in La Réunion [2] and its entire genome sequence is available (#AM258990). This virus has been passaged three times since cloning. CHIKV-GFP corresponds to the CHIKV-LR 5’GFP virus generated by insertion of a GFP-encoding sequence controlled by the CHIKV subgenomic promoter between the two main genes of the CHIKV genome, using the LR backbone (#EU224268) derived from the OPY1 strain, a 2006 clinical isolate from La Réunion; GFP expression has been found to be retained in >80% infected cells for up to 8 serial passages in mammalian or mosquito cells [3]. The CHIKV-GFP virus we used previously went through two to three passages.

**Generation of Ifnφ1 reporter transgenics**

We generated two independent lines of ifnφ1 reporter transgenics, Tg(ifnφ1:mCherry)yosm and Tg(ifnφ1:mCherry)yosm with indistinguishable transgene expression (not shown), and both are referred
here as ifn1:mCherry fish. The 6.5 kb SpeI-PstI fragment from PAC clone BUSMFP0640151Q01 (IMAGEGENE) covering the ifn1 promoter was cloned ahead of the ORF for a farnesylated version of mCherry in a Tol2 derivative vector to yield vector pTol2-pFnL1mC-F. The fragment includes exon 1 including the first codons of the zebrafish ifn1 ORF. This construct was co-injected with told2 mRNA into 1-cell stage eggs of AB origin [75].

**CHIKV infection and disease score**

Injections and handling of larvae were performed as described [68]. Briefly, zebrafish larvae aged 70–72 hpf were inoculated by micro-injection of ~10^7 TCID50 CHIKV (~1 nl of supernatant from infected BHK cells, diluted to 10^8 TCID50/ml) into the caudal vein or aorta. Larvae were then distributed in individual wells of 24-well culture plates, kept at 28°C and regularly inspected with a stereomicroscope. Clinical signs of infection were assessed first on aware animals, which were then anesthetized for better observation. Quantitative assessment of the clinical status was based on a precise list of criteria (see Table S2 in Text S1) assessed blindly, yielding a disease score ranging from 0 (no disease sign) to 15 (dead or terminally ill).

**CHIKV titration**

Infected larvae were snap-frozen and kept at −80°C before homogenization in ~100 μl of medium; samples were then titrated as TCID50/larva on Vero cells [13].

**qRT-PCR**

RNA extraction, cDNA synthesis and quantitative PCR were performed as previously described [34]; externally quantified standards were included to provide absolute transcript amounts. The following pairs of primers (sense and antisense) were used: 

- **GFP**: CCATCTTCTTCAAGGACGAC and CGTTGTGGGCT GTTGATGTTG; 
- **i2a**: GCTGATCTTGGAGTCAACA and AGACAGTGCCTAACCTGGTT; 
- **ifn1**: (secreted isoform): TGAGAAGCTTAAATGAGCC and CTGTTTGTGGTGTGATCCTCGCTCGT; 
- **ifn2**: GAGCTCTGAGTTTGGTGTGATCGTACTTGTCGTCA; 
- **i2b**: AGTGGGATCTGGGATGAGG and AAACATCTTTCTTTATCTTTCAAC; 
- **ifn3**: AARTGYGCNGTNCAVTCNATG and CCNCCNGTDATYT GT and TGGTCCACTGTAGTGTGTGA; 
- **irf3**: GAGGATCAGGTTACTGGTGT and GTTC ATGCAGAAGCTAAAACTGTGGT and AG

**Imaging of fixed embryos**

Fixed embryos were progressively transferred into 80% glycerol before imaging. Confocal images of IHC-processed fish were taken with a Leica SPE inverted confocal microscope equipped with a 16× (NA 0.5), 63× (NA 1.30) oil immersion objectives and a 10× (NA 0.30) dry objective. Images of larvae stained by WISH or Sudan Black B were taken with a Leica MZ16 stereomicroscope using illumination from above. Whole-body images of IHC-treated larvae were taken with a Leica Z16 APO microscope. Images were processed with the LAS-AF (Leica), ImageJ and Adobe Photoshop softwares. Cells with amoeboid morphology were scored as "leukocytes".

**Morpholino injections**

Morpholino antisense oligonucleotides (Gene Tools) were injected into 1–4-cell stage embryos as previously described [68].

- **cyb1** splice morpholino (CGCCCAAGATCATACTGGTAAAGTACCTTAGCCGCT) (2 ng). 
- **ifn1** splice morpholino (ATTGGAATCTCCACCTAGGTTAAAC) (2 ng). 
- **ifn2** splice morpholino (GAGGATCAGGTTACTGGTGT and GTTC ATGCAGAAGCTAAAACTGTGGT and AG

**Embryo dissociation and FACS sorting**

Embryo dissociation was performed as described elsewhere [79]. Sorted cells were collected in lysis buffer and RNA was extracted using a RNAqueous Micro kit (Ambion). Cell preparations were performed in a BL3 facility; the cell sorter, located...
under a plastic tent within a BL2 facility, was flushed for several hours with diluted bleach following the sorting.

**Whole-mount in situ hybridization**

WISH was performed as described before [80], with a hybridization temperature of 55°C. To generate the ifnb1 antisense probe, we RT-PCR amplified a 503 bp fragment of zebranfish ifnb1 cDNA from CHIKV-infected larvae using a T3-modified antisense primer (GAATTCATACCCCTACCTAAAGGGAGATTGACCCTTGCTGCC) and a normal sense (TCTGCTAGAGTCAAGAAGTCTTG) and a normal sense (TCTGCTAGAGTCAAGAAGTCTTG). PCR products were purified with QIAquick PCR purification kit (Qiagen) and the probe was transcribed in vitro with T3 polymerase (Promega). Unincorporated nucleotides were removed by purification on NucAway spin columns (Ambion).

Sudan Black B staining

Neutrophil granules were stained as in [24], allowing neutrophils to be counted easily with a dissecting scope.

**Macrophage depletion**

Metronidazole-mediated depletion was performed as described in [30]. Briefly Tg(mpeg1:Gal4FF)227/274 were crossed to Tg(UAS-Elk/MybCherry)2641/264 (3) to generate double-positive transgenics and single-positive sibling controls. Embryos were placed, from 48 hpf to 70 hpf, in a 10 mM Metronidazole, 0.1% DMSO solution to induce specific depletion of NfsB-mCherry-expressing macrophages. Embryos were then rinsed 3× with embryo water.

**Statistical analysis**

To evaluate difference between means, a two-tailed unpaired t-test or an analysis of variance (ANOVA) followed by Bonferroni’s multiple comparison test was used, when appropriate. Normal distributions were analyzed with the Kolmogorov-Smirnov test. Non-Gaussian data were analyzed with a Kruskal-Wallis test followed by Dunn’s multiple comparison test. *P < 0.05 was considered statistically significant (symbols: ***P < 0.001; **P < 0.01; *P < 0.05). Survival data were plotted using the Kaplan-Meier estimator and log-rank tests were performed to assess differences between groups. Statistical analyses were performed using Prism software.

**Supporting Information**

**Movie S1** Emergence of new CHIKV-GFP infected cells.

Time-lapse imaging of a CHIKV-GFP-infected larva with time post-infection (pi) shown on top left corner. Overlay of transmitted light and wide-field GFP fluorescence; 10× objective anterior to left, dorsal to top. The emergence of new infected cells, detected by the onset of GFP fluorescence, is indicated by arrows; only cells in the focal plane are shown. Green arrows point to liver cells, yellow arrows to head mesenchyme or gill cells, and magenta arrows for other cells. The death of a liver cell is shown with a red arrow.

(MOV)

**Movie S2** Death of CHIKV-GFP infected cells.

Time-lapse imaging of CHIKV-GFP infected larva: time post-infection (pi) shown on top left corner. Overlay of transmitted light and wide-field GFP fluorescence; 10× objective anterior to left, dorsal to top. Death of GFP+ cells shown with red arrows. First sequence (seconds 1–4): head view, death of epidermal cells over the eye and head mesenchymal cells; second sequence (seconds 4–8): liver region, death of hepatocytes; third sequence (seconds 8–3): tail tip region, death of fin fibroblasts; in this sequence a black arrowhead follows a leukocyte that likely engulfs a dying cell.

(MOV)

**Movie S3** Increase of ifnb1-expressing leukocytes during the first days of infection.

Time-lapse imaging of a CHIKV-GFP-infected ifnb1-mCherry+ larva; time post-infection (pi) shown on top left corner. Overlay of GFP (in green) and mCherry (in red) spinning-disk confocal fluorescence images; 10× objective anterior to left, dorsal to top. This region has been chosen for imaging because it is rich in leukocytes, since the main hematopoietic region at this stage lays immediately caudal to the urogenital opening. Note that the growth of the larva causes some movement of the imaged region towards the right and bottom of the field.

(MOV)

**Text S1** File containing Figures S1–S7 and Tables S1–S2, with legends.

(PDF)
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