SAMHD1 restricts HIV-1 replication in quiescent CD4⁺ T-cells.

Benjamin Descours, Alexandra Cribier, Christine Chable-Bessia, Diana Ayinde, Gillian Rice, Yanick Crow, Ahmad Yatim, Olivier Schwartz, Nadine Laguette and Monsef Benkirane.

Supplementary figures and text.



Supplementary Figure 1. Loss of SAMHD1 expression in monocytes after Vpx treatment. Kinetic of SAMHD1 loss in CD14 monocytes after VLP-Vpx treatment was assessed by Facs using anti-SAMHD1 antibody (Representative experiment, n=2).



Supplementary Figure 2. Quiescent CD4⁺ T-cells and CD14⁺ monocytes display increased susceptibility to HIV-1 infection after Vpx treatment. **a.** Dot plots illustrating the susceptibility of quiescent CD4⁺ T-cells after VLP-Mock or VLP-Vpx treatment followed by HIV-CMV-EGFP infection as represented in Figure 2C, in healthy donors 1 to 4 and 6 (healthy donor #5 is shown in Figure 2a). **b.** Left panel: As in **a.**, dot plots illustrating the susceptibility of CD14⁺ monocytes VLP-Mock or VLP-Vpx treatment followed by HIV-CMV-

EGFP infection in healthy donors 1 to 6. Right panel: Results are expressed as percentage of GFP positive cells. Graphic shows mean and standard deviation for these 6 healthy donors. **c**. Proportions of resting (CD69- HLA-DR-) CD4 T cells were assessed in 6 donors in uninfected, VLP-Mock and VLP-Vpx treated cells. Resultes are expressed as percentage of total CD4 T cells. **d**. Impact of Vpx treatment on TCR stimulated CD4 T cell susceptibility to HIV-CMV-EGFP infection. Left panel: after staining with the eFluor670 proliferation dye, PBMCs were stimulated for 3 days with anti-CD3 and anti-CD28 antibodies and IL-2, treated with VLP-Mock or VLP-Vpx for 12 hours and infected by HIV-CMV-EGFP for 2 days before FACS analysis (Representative dot plots from one experiment out of three is shown). Right panel: Results are expressed as percentage of GFP positive cells. Graphic shows mean and standard deviation for 3 healthy donors.



Supplementary Figure 3. Effect of Vpx on the permissiveness of quiescent CD4⁺ Tcells to HIV-EGFP infection. **a.** Left panel: Dot plots illustrating the susceptibility of quiescent CD4⁺ T-cells and CD14⁺ monocytes after VLP-Mock or VLP-Vpx treatment followed by HIV-EGFP infection as represented in **Figure 2a**, in healthy donors #5 and #6 (healthy donor #7 is shown in Figure 2e). Right panel: results are expressed as percentage of GFP positive cells. Graphic shows mean and standard deviation for 3 healthy donors. **b.** Flow cytometry histograms showing HIV-EGFP infection susceptibility of non-stimulated CD4 T cells treated with VLP-Mock or VLP-Vpx and of CD4 T cells stimulated through the TCR as describe in supplementary **figure 2d**.



Supplementary Figure 4. Enhanced permissiveness of purified CD4+ T-cells by VLP-Vpx correlates with loss of SAMHD1 expression. **a.** Experimental outline. CD4+ T cells were purified from PBMCs and activated with phytohemagglutinin (PHA) and IL-2. Cells were maintained in IL-2 for 14 to 20 days, until disappearance of the activation markers CD69 and Ki67. The resulting "resting post-activated cells" were then treated for 3h with VLP-Mock or

VLP-Vpx and infected with HIV-CMV-EGFP lentiviral vector. Cells were then analyzed for GFP and SAMHD1 expression by flow cytometry at 96h post-infection. **b.** Left panel: Analysis of expression of CD69 and Ki67 activation markers by flow cytometry in resting post activated cells compared to activated cells (at day 3 post PHA stimulation, right panel). **c.** Vpx enhances lentiviral vector infection of post-activated resting CD4+ T cells. One representative donor out of seven is shown (right panel). The graph (right panel) represents mean ± SEM of the fold increase in GFP expression in SAMHD1 positive and negative populations following incubation with VLP-Vpx.