Nas transgenic mouse line allows visualization of Notch pathway activity in vivo.

Céline Souilhol, Sarah Cormier, Marie Monet, Sandrine Vandormael-Pournin, Anne Joutel, Charles Babinet, Michel Cohen-Tannoudji

The NAS transgenic mouse line allows visualization of Notch pathway activity *in vivo*

Céline Souilhol*, Sarah Cormier*, Marie Monet[#], Sandrine Vandormael-Pournin, Anne Joutel[#], Charles Babinet and Michel Cohen-Tannoudji[=].

Unité de Biologie du Développement, CNRS URA 2578, Institut Pasteur, 25 rue du Dr Roux, 75724 Paris Cedex 15, France.

[#]: INSERM U740, Faculté de Médecine Lariboisière, 10 av de Verdun, 75010 Paris, France.

* : these authors contributed equally to this work

[=]Corresponding author: E-mail: m-cohen@pasteur.fr; Phone: 33 1 45 68 84 86; Fax: 33 1 45 68 86 34

Running title: Visualizing Notch activity in the mouse

Abstract

The Notch signalling pathway plays multiple and important roles in mammals. However, several aspects of its action, in particular the precise mapping of its sites of activity, remain unclear. To address this issue, we have generated a transgenic line carrying a construct consisting of a *nls-lacZ* reporter gene under the control of a minimal promoter and multiple RBP-Ju binding sites. Here we show that this transgenic line, we named NAS for <u>N</u>otch <u>A</u>ctivity <u>S</u>ensor, displays an expression profile that is consistent with current knowledge on Notch activity sites in mice, even though it may not report on all these sites. Moreover, we observe that NAS transgene expression is abolished in a RBP-Jɔ deficient background indicating that it indeed requires Notch/RBP-JN signalling pathway activity. Thus, the NAS transgenic line constitutes a valuable and versatile tool to gain further insights into the complex and various functions of the Notch signalling pathway.

Introduction

The Notch signalling pathway plays a fundamental role in numerous developmental processes in metazoans (Artavanis-Tsakonas *et al.*, 1995; Artavanis-Tsakonas *et al.*, 1999; Lai, 2004). Depending on the context, signalling through the Notch pathway impinges on a wide variety of cellular responses such as binary cell fate decisions, stem cell maintenance, induction of differentiation, proliferation or apoptosis. Accordingly, genetic alterations of Notch pathway components have been implicated in various pathologies in humans, including cancer (Garg *et al.*, 2005; Gridley, 2003; Joutel and Tournier-Lasserve, 1998; Radtke and Raj, 2003).

Notch is a large single spanning transmembrane protein composed of an extracellular domain containing epithelial-growth-factor-like repeats and an intracellular domain (NICD) containing ankyrin motifs, a transactivation domain and nuclear localisation signals. Interaction of the extracellular domain of Notch receptors with membrane-bound ligands encoded by *Delta* and *Serrate/Jagged* family genes triggers the proteolytic cleavage of the Notch receptor and the release of NICD from the membrane. NICD then translocates into the nucleus where it interacts with the DNA-binding protein RBP-Jk/CBF1/Su(H). RBP-JnterICD and co-factors assemble into a complex that activates the expression of target genes, while

in the absence of activated Notch, RBP-Jæ recruits repressor complexes to the *cis*-regulatory region of the Notch target genes.

Numerous studies have been performed in order to decipher the *in vivo* functions of the Notch pathway in vertebrates. In particular, genetic studies in mice have pointed to many roles for the Notch pathway: in somite formation and patterning (reviewed in (Aulehla and Herrmann, 2004; Weinmaster and Kintner, 2003)), vasculature development and arterial identity acquisition (reviewed in (Shawber and Kitajewski, 2004)), neurogenesis (reviewed in (Yoon and Gaiano, 2005)) or lymphoid progenitors differentiation (reviewed in (Radtke *et al.*, 2004)). To dissect out the cellular responses directly controlled by the Notch pathway, it is important to identify cells in which the pathway is activated at a given time during development and in adult tissues. However, acquisition of such knowledge is hampered by several limitations. First, the Notch pathway involves a complex set of proteins. In rodents for example, there are four Notch receptors, five ligands of the *Delta/Serrate/Jagged* family and two recently identified new ligands, DNER and F3 contactin (Eiraku *et al.*, 2005; Hu *et al.*, 2003). The corresponding genes are expressed at many sites and exhibit complex and often overlapping expression patterns. Second, Notch signalling activity is modulated by numerous accessory proteins acting either at the extracellular

side (such as members of the Fringe family, (Johnston *et al.*, 1997)) or at the intracellular side (such as Mind Bomb or Neuralized, (Le Borgne *et al.*, 2005)) and is therefore highly context-dependent (Artavanis-Tsakonas *et al.*, 1995; Schweisguth, 2004). Third, the crosstalk between the Notch pathway and other signal-transduction pathways (see for example (Dahlqvist *et al.*, 2003; Gustafsson *et al.*, 2005)) make the outcome of Notch activation difficult to predict. As a consequence, Notch pathway activity cannot be simply deduced from the expression profiles of its components. Thus, activation of direct target genes could represent a more reliable readout of Notch activity. The best characterized Notch target genes are members of the Hairy/enhancer of split (Hes) family that encode basic helix-loop-helix transcription factors (Iso *et al.*, 2003b). However, several additional direct target genes have been recently characterised (see for example (Anthony *et al.*, 2005; Krebs *et al.*, 2003; Raya *et al.*, 2003; Reizis and Leder, 2002)), suggesting that visualization of Notch activity using this approach may necessitate the prior identification of the target genes activated in the system under study.

As an alternative approach, we generated a RBP-Je/Notch transgenic reporter mouse that we named NAS for Notch pathway Activity Sensor transgenic mouse. In this mouse line, identification of *lacZ* expressing cells or tissue is expected

to reflect the distribution of transcriptionally active RBP-Jo/NICD nuclear complexes and therefore potentially those cells or tissues where Notch signalling is at work. Here, we describe the *lacZ* expression pattern in NAS mice and show that it is consistent with various previously identified sites of Notch pathway activity.

Results and discussion

Generation of a RBP-Jκ/Notch reporter mouse

To assess the activity of the RBP-J*κ*/Notch signalling pathway in the whole organism, we generated an RBP-Jκ-dependent transgenic reporter mouse. The *Escherichia coli lacZ* reporter gene was chosen because it is sensitive and allows detection at the single cell level. A modified version of the *lacZ* gene, coding for a nuclear ß-gal, was used in order to discriminate transgene activity from the cytoplasmic non-specific activity observed in certain cells. To drive the expression of the *"nlacZ"* gene, we used the well-characterized TP1 promoter, which consists of 12 multimerized RBP-Jκ binding motifs upstream from a minimal promoter (Kato *et al*., 1997; Minoguchi *et al*., 1997) (fig. 1). The TP1 promoter is transactivated in a RBP-J dependent manner by the activated forms of the four mammalian Notch receptors (Kato *et al*., 1996; Shimizu *et al*., 2002) and thus, has been often used to read out Notch pathway activity in various *ex vivo* studies (see for example (Gupta-Rossi *et al*., 2001; Joutel *et al*., 2004)) as well as in a recent *in vivo* study (Kohyama *et al*., 2005). The resulting construct, *TP1-nlacZ*, was microinjected in mouse zygotes and two transgenic lines were established. Transgene expression was monitored by X-gal staining of E9.5 to E17.5 embryos and 1 to 4 weeks old mice. No transgene expression was observed in

one of the two lines, therefore it was discarded. In the other line, restricted and dynamic expression of *nlacZ* gene was observed (fig. 2a-d). At a given stage, transgenic embryos exhibited identical profiles of expression. However, some variation in the intensity of X-gal staining was observed between embryos of the same litter (fig. 2c), which is likely to reflect genetic background heterogeneity amongst littermates. This is further substantiated by our preliminary observation that backcrossing twice this transgenic line onto C57Bl/6 background reduces X-gal staining variability between littermates (data not shown). In the rest of the manuscript, we will describe the main features of the expression profile of this line. Because this profile is consistent with sites where activity of the Notch signalling pathway was predicted from previous studies (see below), we named this transgenic line NAS for Notch pathway Activity Sensor transgenic line.

TP1-nlacZ transgene expression is dependent on the presence of RBP-Jl

It was essential to demonstrate that *lacZ* expression in the NAS transgenic line indeed results from activity of the Notch/ RBP-Jr pathway. To that end, we transferred *TP1-nlacZ* transgene into a *RBP-Jg* null background. *RBP-J* $^\Delta$ deleted allele was produced by Cre mediated recombination of a conditional *RBP-Jr* ^{f} floxed allele (Han *et al.*, 2002). Mice carrying the

TP1-nlacZ transgene in a *RBP-Js$^{\Delta/+}$* background were intercrossed and embryos were recovered at 10 days post-coitum, shortly before lethality due to the absence of *RBP-Je* function (Oka *et al.*, 1995). As previously described for *RBP-J5* knock-out embryos, *RBP-Jo$^{\Delta/\Delta}$* deficient embryos exhibited severe growth retardation and many abnormalities including defective somitogenesis, incomplete turning of the body axis, microcephaly and pericardiac oedema (fig. 2f-h). Importantly, *TP1-nlacZ* transgene expression was completely abolished in *RBP-Js$^{\Delta/\Delta}$* deficient embryos (fig. 2f-h, n=4). In *RBP-Jc$^{\Delta/+}$* and *RBP-Jc$^{+/+}$* embryos of the same litter, *TP1-nlacZ* transgene expression was observed in the heart and tail regions (fig. 2b and see below), while no *lacZ* expressing cells were detected in the corresponding regions of *RBP-Je$^{\Delta/\Delta}$* embryos (fig. 2g-h). This shows that *in vivo TP1-nlacZ* transgene activation is mediated by RBP-Jt, at least at this stage. Moreover, RBP-J is expected to function as a transcriptional repressor of *TP1-nlacZ* transgene in absence of Notch activity (fig. 1b). We find that depletion of RBP-Jc does not lead to transgene derepression that would have resulted in widespread NAS activation.

lacZ expression during somitogenesis

Notch signalling plays important roles in the process of somitogenesis. Hence, deficiency in core components (Notch1,

Delta-like (Dll) 1, Dll3, Presenilin-1, Kuzbanian and RBP-J)
as well as in targets and modulators (Hes7, Mesp2 and Lunatic
Fringe) of the Notch pathway all results in perturbations of
somite fomation and patterning (for review see (Aulehla and
Herrmann, 2004; Weinmaster and Kintner, 2003)). Interestingly,
the NAS reporter transgene is expressed during somitogenesis.
At E9.5, a stripe of X-gal positive cells was detected in the
posteriormost region of newly formed somites (fig. 2a, i). In
the presomitic mesoderm (PSM), a band of *lacZ* expressing cells
was also observed at the boundary-forming region of the
prospective somite (fig. 2i arrow). A similar expression
profile was observed during somitogenesis of older embryos
(fig. 2c, d, j). On E11.5 tail transverse sections, ß-gal
positive cells were mainly found at the posterior border of
the new somites (fig. 2k). In the PSM, *TP1-nlacZ* transgene
expression was detected before a cleft was formed (arrowheads
on fig. 2k), consistent with the reported critical role of
Notch signalling pathway in inter-somites boundary formation
(Barrantes *et al.*, 1999; Conlon *et al.*, 1995; Hrabe de Angelis
et al., 1997; Huppert *et al.*, 2005; Kusumi *et al.*, 1998; Oka
et al., 1995; Swiatek *et al.*, 1994). X-gal staining was
observed in several somites (usually 8 to 12). Owing to the
dynamic nature of somitogenesis (a new somite is formed every
two hours in the mouse) and to perdurance of ß-gal protein,
such X-gal staining might overlook the dynamic nature of NAS

transactivation (as previously described for *Lfng^lacZ* allele, (Zhang and Gridley, 1998)). To address this possibility, we looked by *in situ* hybridization at the distribution of *lacZ* mRNA in the tail of E10.5-11.5 embryos. A single band of *lacZ* transcripts was detected in PSM in the cleft-forming area (arrowheads fig. 2l) indicating that it is the main site of *TP1-nlacZ* transactivation and that ß-gal stability is most likely responsible for the staining observed in the more anterior somites. Such activation is likely to ensue from signalling through Notch1 receptor since accumulation of activated Notch1 at the cleft forming region between somites S-1 and S0 has been recently visualized using an antibody raised against a novel NICD epitope generated by a-secretase cleavage of Notch1 (Huppert *et al.*, 2005; Morimoto *et al.*, 2005). It should be however noted that cyclical production of activated Notch1 detected in these studies in a more posterior region of PSM using the same antibody is not reflected by a detectable NAS reporter transgene transactivation.

lacZ expression in the heart and the arterial vascular system
The Notch pathway is involved in multiple aspects of cardio-vascular development including vascular remodelling, arterial/ venous specification, maturation of vascular smooth muscle cells and epithelial-mesenchymal transition during heart development (for review see (Shawber and Kitajewski, 2004)).

Human Notch genes are linked to Alagille's Syndrome, a developmental disorder with vascular defects, to CADASIL, a cerebral arteriopathy as well as to congenital aortic valve disease (Garg *et al.*, 2005; Joutel and Tournier-Lasserve, 1998). ß-gal expression was observed in the embryonic and postnatal vasculature. Interestingly, *TP1-nlacZ* transgene showed arterial specific expression, as do many components of the Notch pathway (reviewed in (Iso *et al.*, 2003a; Shawber and Kitajewski, 2004)). In the case of placental and yolk-sac arteries however, we noted that, contrary to components of the Notch pathway, no *lacZ* expression was observed, and this at all embryonic stages analysed. In the developing vasculature, transgene expression was first detected in the caudal region of the dorsal aorta and in vitelline and umbilical arteries at E9.5-E10 (fig. 2b, m). Transverse sections in the trunk region showed a patchy X-gal staining distributed around the aorta close to the lumen (fig. 2m). Expression was also observed in the pericardiac region. At E9.5-E10, scattered staining was found in the third branchial arch (arrows in fig. 2a, b). Then at E10.5, ß-gal positive cells were detected in the region lining the lumen of the upper part of the cardiac outflow tract and of the branchial arch arteries directly branching from it (fig. 2n). Sections of E10.5 showed that transgene expression in the heart was restricted to the endocardium of the outflow tract (data not shown). In the following days,

lacZ expression progressed to iliac arteries and major vessels of the head (fig. 2o). At E12.5, X-gal staining was observed throughout dorsal aorta, being more intense in the caudal region (fig. 2e, p). At E17.5, transgene expression was almost absent from the abdominal aorta but was detected in intercostal arteries branching directly from it (fig. 2q) as well as in many other small arteries of the embryo. At this stage, as well as in postnatal mice, the distribution and ovoid shape of X-gal positive nuclei associated with vessels was evocative of smooth muscle cells (SMCs). Spirales of positive nuclei encircling various vessels, such as tail or pial arteries, were detected (fig. 2r,s). Many cerebral microvessels were similarly decorated with X-gal positives nuclei, which likely correspond to pericytes (fig. 2t).

lacZ expression in other sites

In addition to the two mains sites described above, restricted and dynamic expression of *TP1-nlacZ* transgene was observed in several other tissues. For example, during limb development, scattered ß-gal expressing cells were first detected at E10, in the surface ectoderm of the ventral side of forelimb buds (fig. 3a). ß-gal expressing cells then accumulated at the tip of the limb bud and transgene expression became progressively restricted to the apical ectodermal ridge (AER) (fig. 3b-c; see also fig. 2j). This expression pattern is in agreement

with previous evidence that *Jagged2* is initially expressed throughout the limb ectoderm and then restricted to the AER soon after its formation and that *Notch1* is weakly expressed in the AER at E10.5 (Jiang *et al.*, 1998; Sidow *et al.*, 1997; Valsecchi *et al.*, 1997). In addition, both spontaneous and targeted *Jagged2* mutants display abnormal thickening of the AER (Jiang *et al.*, 1998; Sidow *et al.*, 1997) suggesting that Notch signalling in the limb ectoderm might be regulating the number of AER progenitor cells. Demonstration that Notch signalling acts in the ectoderm at an early stage of limb development has been recently provided through conditional mutagenesis (Pan *et al.*, 2005).

Restricted transgene expression was also observed in the developing central nervous system (CNS). In the forebrain, expression was detected in the caudal third of the medial wall of E11.5 and E12.5 cortical hemispheres (fig. 3d and 2o). This region includes the cortical hem, which is important for telencephalon patterning. In the hindbrain, X-gal labelled cells accumulated on both sides of ventral midline structures of E10.5 embryos (fig. 3e). At E11.5, ß-gal positive cells were organized into well defined stripes (fig. 3f, i). A first bilateral stripe close to the floor plate was observed in the hindbrain (black arrows in fig. 3f and fig. 3i). A second stripe was observed in the hindbrain in a more lateral position (fig. 3f, empty arrow indicates its anterior limit).

This stripe extended posteriorly into most of the neural tube of E11.5 (fig. 3f,h) and E12.5 (fig. 3g, arrow) embryos. Sections indicated that *TP1-nlacZ* transgene is expressed in the ventricular zone (fig. 3h,i) as are Notch receptors and ligands in the midgestation embryonic mammalian CNS (Lindsell *et al.*, 1996; Myat *et al.*, 1996). Interestingly, bilateral stripes of expression in the ventricular zone of the hindbrain and spinal cord have been reported for chick Delta-1 and Jagged-1 (Myat *et al.*, 1996) and rodent jagged-1, delta-1, 3 and 4 (Benedito and Duarte, 2005; Dunwoodie *et al.*, 2002; Lindsell *et al.*, 1996) suggesting that signalling *via* different Notch receptors and ligands might be involved in the production of distinct neural phenotypes. A detailed analysis will be necessary to establish the precise relations between the *TP1-nlacZ* transgene and the expression profiles of components of the Notch pathway. In postnatal brains, weak transgene expression, besides the vessels associated cells, was observed in the Purkinje cell layer of cerebellum (fig. 3j, arrow). A strong layer-specific X-gal staining was also detected in a ventrolateral region of the cortex, which is likely to correspond to the entorhinal cortex (fig. 3k and arrow in fig. 2r). Sections indicated that transgene expression was restricted to an intermediate cortical layer (fig. 3l).

Another site of expression was the developing tooth. At E17.5,

a strong staining was observed on the labial surface of the incisor buds (fig. 3m). Sections indicated that transgene expression was restricted to stratum intermedium cells underlying tall columnar ameloblasts secreting the enamel matrix (fig. 3n). Similar observations were made on postnatal developing molar (fig. 3o). Hence, in developing teeth, the expression profile of the *TP1-nlacZ* transgene is again consistent with previously reported Notch components expression patterns (Harada *et al.*, 1999; Mitsiadis *et al.*, 1998). Signalling between stratum intermedium cells expressing Notch and ameloblasts expressing Jagged and Delta has been proposed to be essential for the maintenance of the differentiated state of ameloblasts (Harada *et al.*, 1999).

No obvious transgene expression was observed in some sites where RBP-Jκ/Notch signalling has been previously shown to be active. For example, lymphoid organs were not labelled by X-gal staining, while a role for Notch during lymphoid precursor differentiation has been clearly established (reviewed in (Radtke *et al.*, 2004). The reasons that may account for this discrepancy are yet unknown but a possibility would be that the *TP1-nlacZ* construct cannot be transactivated in certain tissues because of position effect silencing. The structure of the transgene itself may also confer some quantitative or qualitative restrictions to its activation by the RBP-Jκ/Notch pathway. In the promoter region of Notch target genes, spacing

and orientation of RBP-J– binding sites as well as their association with other DNA binding sites modulate the transcriptional response mediated by activated Notch receptors (Cave *et al.*, 2005; Ong *et al.*, 2005). Hence, mouse *Hes-1* promoter contains paired high affinity RBP-Je binding sites in a head-to-head orientation and changing their orientation abrogates its Notch mediated activation in cultured cells (Ong *et al.*, 2005). *TP1-nlacZ* transgene is composed of twelve head-to-tail high affinity RBP-Jd binding sites joined to a minimal promoter and, therefore, is very different from promoters of direct Notch target genes. It is thus possible that, in certain cellular contexts, low level of activated Notch receptor would not be sufficient to ensure efficient transgene activation, while in synergy with other transcription factors, it would activate endogenous Notch target genes.

Concluding remarks

In conclusion, the NAS mice permit the readout of the activity of RBP-Ju/Notch signalling pathway *in vivo* in various cell types. Having demonstrated that transgene expression is dependent on the presence of functional RBP-Jn iwe investigated *lacZ* expression and found a striking coincidence between NAS reporter transgene activation and a number of known sites of activity of components of the Notch pathway. Altogether, these data strongly suggest that the NAS

transgenic mouse line is a *bona fide* sensor of Notch activity in several tissues. This conclusion is further substantiated by the recent demonstration that *TP1-nlacZ* expression in arteries is abolished in *Notch3* deficient mice, while it is maintained in other sites (Monet *et al.*, manuscript in preparation). The observation that only part of the *TP1-nlacZ* expression profile is mediated by Notch3 receptor, highlights the ability of NAS reporter transgene to respond to signalling through the different Notch receptors. Moreover, the analysis of *TP1-nlacZ* expression in *Notch3*^{-/-} mice has permitted us to clearly establish that the function of Notch3 in arterial SMCs is mediated through a RBP-Jf-dependent pathway, although its action is independent of *Hes/Hrt* genes (Monet *et al.*, manuscript in preparation).

The use of reporter constructs comprising multiple transcription factor binding sites joined to a minimal promoter has proven to be very useful to study other pathways such as for example the Wnt/ß-catenin/LEF pathway (DasGupta and Fuchs, 1999; Maretto *et al.*, 2003) or signalling through NF-kB (Schmidt-Ullrich *et al.*, 1996). Due to the artificial structure of the *TP1-nlacZ* promoter region, it was anticipated that transgene expression profile might not report on all Notch activity. This is the case, however our first survey of the *lacZ* expression profile in NAS mice indicates that a number of known sites of Notch activity express the transgene.

It should be noted that a more extensive analysis of *TP1-nlacZ* expression might reveal other sites of Notch activity. Generation and characterization of other Notch reporter transgenic lines carrying various RBP-Jr binding sites and different reporter genes configuration shall also prove to be useful for extensive visualization of Notch activity *in vivo*. In this respect, it should be mentioned that sorting of Notch responsive haematopoietic stem cells was recently achieved thanks to the use of a transgenic line harbouring four copies of RBP-Jo binding sites linked to EGFP (Duncan *et al.*, 2005). However the expression profile of this line apart from the adult bone marrow has not been reported so far. Finally, comparison of *TP1-nlacZ* expression profiles with that of transgenic lines carrying a reporter gene under the control of regulatory regions of direct Notch target genes might also help to define the relative contribution of RBP-Ji/NICD complexes to the transcriptional regulation of individual target genes.

In addition to its use in the description of Notch pathway activity during normal development and in adult tissues, NAS transgenic mice may be useful in addressing other important biological questions. For example, it should help for genetic or drug screens for Notch signalling modulators in mice. It should also help to evaluate *in vivo* the crosstalks between Notch and other signalling pathways or to fine tune the

involvement of the former in various pathogenic processes (tumorigenesis, developmental anomalies, etc…). Future work using the NAS transgenic line will certainly help to gain new insights into the complex functions of the Notch signalling pathway in the mouse.

Methods

Construction of the RBP-nlsLacZ transgene and production of transgenic mice.

TP1-nlacZ construct was derived from plasmid pGa981-6 (Minoguchi *et al.*, 1997) and plasmid pSKTNLSLACZ (a gift from S. Tajbakhsh). The hexamerized 50 bp EBNA2 response element of the TP-1 promoter in front of the minimal ß-globin promoter was recovered from pGa981-6 plasmid and put in front of the *nlsLacZ* gene from pSKTNLSLACZ coding for a nuclear ß-galactosidase (ßgal) (fig. 1a). StuI-NotI linearized construct was microinjected into (C57BL/6xSJL/J)[2] fertilized eggs, which were then transferred to pseudo-pregnant females. Transgenic embryos and animals were identified by PCR and Southern blot analysis of tail or placental DNA using *lacZ* oligonucleotides (lacZ1 : 5'-GTC GTT TTA CAA CGT CGT GAC T-3' ; lacZ2 : 5'-GAT GGG CGC ATC GTA ACC GTG C-3') and probe as described in (Cohen-Tannoudji *et al.*, 1992). Stable lines, harbouring single integration of the transgene, were established by

crossing transgenic founders with (C57BL/6xSJL/J)F1 mice. Embryos were recovered from mating between N1 or N2 transgenic males with wild-type females. The age of the embryos was determined according to the appearance of the vaginal plug (day 0.5) and confirmed by morphological criteria.

Whole mount ß-galactosidase staining.

Embryos as well as organs dissected from postnatal mice were fixed for 30-60 minutes at room temperature in either 4% paraformaldehyde or 2% paraformaldehyde and 0.2% glutaraldehyde in PBS. From E12.5 onwards, embryos and organs were cut in halves after 30 min in the fixative solution in order to improve the penetration of fixative and staining solutions and then returned to the fixative solution for another 30 min. After fixation, samples were rinsed several times with PBS and incubated overnight at 32°C in X-Gal staining solution (PBS containing 0.01% Tween20, 2mM $MgCl_2$, 4mM $K_4Fe(CN)_6$, 4mM $K_3Fe(CN)_6$, and 4mg/ml of X-gal (Invitrogen)). Then, samples were rinsed several times with PBS, postfixed in 4% paraformaldehyde and stored at 4°C in a 1/1 mixture of 4% paraformaldehyde and glycerol. Pictures of embryos and organs were taken using a SMZ1500 stereomicroscope (Nikon) equipped with an AxioCam color (Zeiss).

Production of *RBP-J*c null embryos.

RBP-J ^{f/f} males, carrying an exons 6 and 7-floxed RBP-J allele (Han *et al.*, 2002), were crossed to *ZP3-Cre* females (de Vries *et al.*, 2000) in order to produce mice carrying a deleted *RBP-JB*^Δ allele, which were then crossed with *TP1-nlacZ* transgenic mice. Embryos were collected from intercrosses between *RBP-J* ^{R/} ⁺, *TP1-nlacZ* transgenic mice and monitored individually for ß-galactosidase activity. Genotype of each embryo was determined by PCR analysis of placental DNA using *lacZ* and *RBP-J*R primers (WT1: 5'-GTT CTT AAC CTG TTG GTC GGA ACC-3' ; WT2: 5'-GTC TGA GGC TTG ATG TTC TGT ATT GC-3'; G1: 5'-GTG GCA AAG CCC TTA AAA AT-3' ; -2: 5'-GAG ATA GAC CTT GGT TTG TT-3').

Embryo *in situ* hybridization and histology.

Whole mount RNA *in situ* hybridization was carried out according to (Wilkinson and Nieto, 1993). Embryos were fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde in PBS overnight at 4°C, dehydrated in methanol and stored at —20°C. RNA probes were labeled with digoxigenin and visualized with BM Purple according to the manufacturer's instruction (Roche Biosciences). The *lacZ* hybridization probe used included the full ORF. For histology, X-gal reacted samples were either embedded in 4% agarose and cut at 50 tm thickness using a Leica VT1000 S Vibratome or embedded in paraffin and cut at 12 am thickness using a Leica RM 2155 microtome. Some sections were counterstained with eosin.

REFERENCES

Anthony TE, Mason HA, Gridley T, Fishell G, Heintz N. 2005. Brain lipid-binding protein is a direct target of Notch signaling in radial glial cells. Genes Dev 19:1028-1033.

Artavanis-Tsakonas S, Matsuno K, Fortini ME. 1995. Notch signaling. Science 268:225-232.

Artavanis-Tsakonas S, Rand MD, Lake RJ. 1999. Notch signaling: cell fate control and signal integration in development. Science 284:770-776.

Aulehla A, Herrmann BG. 2004. Segmentation in vertebrates: clock and gradient finally joined. Genes Dev 18:2060-2067.

Barrantes IB, Elia AJ, Wunsch K, Hrabe de Angelis MH, Mak TW, Rossant J, Conlon RA, Gossler A, de la Pompa JL. 1999. Interaction between Notch signalling and Lunatic fringe during somite boundary formation in the mouse. Curr Biol 9:470-480.

Benedito R, Duarte A. 2005. Expression of Dll4 during mouse embryogenesis suggests multiple developmental roles. Gene Expr Patterns 5:750-755.

Cave JW, Loh F, Surpris JW, Xia L, Caudy MA. 2005. A DNA transcription code for cell-specific gene activation by notch signaling. Curr Biol 15:94-104.

Cohen-Tannoudji M, Morello D, Babinet C. 1992. Unexpected position-dependent expression of H-2 and beta 2-microglobulin/lacZ transgenes. Mol Reprod Dev 33:149-159.

Conlon RA, Reaume AG, Rossant J. 1995. Notch1 is required for the coordinate segmentation of somites. Development 121:1533-1545.

Dahlqvist C, Blokzijl A, Chapman G, Falk A, Dannaeus K, Ibanez CF, Lendahl U. 2003. Functional Notch signaling is required for BMP4-induced inhibition of myogenic differentiation. Development 130:6089-6099.

DasGupta R, Fuchs E. 1999. Multiple roles for activated LEF/TCF transcription complexes during hair follicle development and differentiation. Development 126:4557-4568.

de Vries WN, Binns LT, Fancher KS, Dean J, Moore R, Kemler R, Knowles BB. 2000. Expression of Cre recombinase in mouse oocytes: a means to study maternal effect genes. Genesis 26:110-112.

Duncan AW, Rattis FM, DiMascio LN, Congdon KL, Pazianos G, Zhao C, Yoon K, Cook JM, Willert K, Gaiano N, Reya T. 2005. Integration of Notch and Wnt signaling in hematopoietic stem cell maintenance. Nat Immunol 6:314-322.

Dunwoodie SL, Clements M, Sparrow DB, Sa X, Conlon RA, Beddington RS. 2002. Axial skeletal defects caused by mutation in the spondylocostal dysplasia/pudgy gene Dll3 are associated with disruption of the segmentation clock within the presomitic mesoderm. Development 129:1795-1806.

Eiraku M, Tohgo A, Ono K, Kaneko M, Fujishima K, Hirano T, Kengaku M. 2005. DNER acts as a neuron-specific Notch ligand during Bergmann glial development. Nat Neurosci 8:873-880.

Garg V, Muth AN, Ransom JF, Schluterman MK, Barnes R, King IN, Grossfeld PD, Srivastava D. 2005. Mutations in NOTCH1 cause aortic valve disease. Nature 437:270-274.

Gridley T. 2003. Notch signaling and inherited disease

syndromes. Hum Mol Genet 12 Spec No 1:R9-13.

Gupta-Rossi N, Le Bail O, Gonen H, Brou C, Logeat F, Six E, Ciechanover A, Israel A. 2001. Functional interaction between SEL-10, an F-box protein, and the nuclear form of activated Notch1 receptor. J Biol Chem 276:34371-34378.

Gustafsson MV, Zheng X, Pereira T, Gradin K, Jin S, Lundkvist J, Ruas JL, Poellinger L, Lendahl U, Bondesson M. 2005. Hypoxia requires notch signaling to maintain the undifferentiated cell state. Dev Cell 9:617-628.

Han H, Tanigaki K, Yamamoto N, Kuroda K, Yoshimoto M, Nakahata T, Ikuta K, Honjo T. 2002. Inducible gene knockout of transcription factor recombination signal binding protein-J reveals its essential role in T versus B lineage decision. Int Immunol 14:637-645.

Harada H, Kettunen P, Jung HS, Mustonen T, Wang YA, Thesleff I. 1999. Localization of putative stem cells in dental epithelium and their association with Notch and FGF signaling. J Cell Biol 147:105-120.

Hrabe de Angelis M, McIntyre J, 2nd, Gossler A. 1997. Maintenance of somite borders in mice requires the Delta homologue Dll1. Nature 386:717-721.

Hu QD, Ang BT, Karsak M, Hu WP, Cui XY, Duka T, Takeda Y, Chia W, Sankar N, Ng YK, Ling EA, Maciag T, Small D, Trifonova R, Kopan R, Okano H, Nakafuku M, Chiba S, Hirai H, Aster JC, Schachner M, Pallen CJ, Watanabe K, Xiao ZC. 2003. F3/contactin acts as a functional ligand for Notch during oligodendrocyte maturation. Cell 115:163-175.

Huppert SS, Ilagan MX, De Strooper B, Kopan R. 2005. Analysis of notch function in presomitic mesoderm suggests a gamma-secretase-independent role for presenilins in somite differentiation. Dev Cell 8:677-688.

Iso T, Hamamori Y, Kedes L. 2003a. Notch signaling in vascular development. Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol 23:543-553.

Iso T, Kedes L, Hamamori Y. 2003b. HES and HERP families: multiple effectors of the Notch signaling pathway. J Cell Physiol 194:237-255.

Jiang R, Lan Y, Chapman HD, Shawber C, Norton CR, Serreze DV, Weinmaster G, Gridley T. 1998. Defects in limb, craniofacial, and thymic development in Jagged2 mutant mice. Genes Dev 12:1046-1057.

Johnston SH, Rauskolb C, Wilson R, Prabhakaran B, Irvine KD, Vogt TF. 1997. A family of mammalian Fringe genes implicated in boundary determination and the Notch pathway. Development 124:2245-2254.

Joutel A, Monet M, Domenga V, Riant F, Tournier-Lasserve E. 2004. Pathogenic mutations associated with cerebral autosomal dominant arteriopathy with subcortical infarcts and leukoencephalopathy differently affect Jagged1 binding and Notch3 activity via the RBP/JK signaling Pathway. Am J Hum Genet 74:338-347.

Joutel A, Tournier-Lasserve E. 1998. Notch signalling pathway and human diseases. Semin Cell Dev Biol 9:619-625.

Kato H, Sakai T, Tamura K, Minoguchi S, Shirayoshi Y, Hamada Y, Tsujimoto Y, Honjo T. 1996. Functional conservation of mouse Notch receptor family members. FEBS Lett 395:221-224.

Kato H, Taniguchi Y, Kurooka H, Minoguchi S, Sakai T, Nomura-Okazaki S, Tamura K, Honjo T. 1997. Involvement of RBP-J in biological functions of mouse Notch1 and its derivatives. Development 124:4133-4141.

Kohyama J, Tokunaga A, Fujita Y, Miyoshi H, Nagai T, Miyawaki A, Nakao K, Matsuzaki Y, Okano H. 2005. Visualization of

spatiotemporal activation of Notch signaling: live monitoring and significance in neural development. Dev Biol 286:311-325.

Krebs LT, Iwai N, Nonaka S, Welsh IC, Lan Y, Jiang R, Saijoh Y, O'Brien TP, Hamada H, Gridley T. 2003. Notch signaling regulates left-right asymmetry determination by inducing Nodal expression. Genes Dev 17:1207-1212.

Kusumi K, Sun ES, Kerrebrock AW, Bronson RT, Chi DC, Bulotsky MS, Spencer JB, Birren BW, Frankel WN, Lander ES. 1998. The mouse pudgy mutation disrupts Delta homologue Dll3 and initiation of early somite boundaries. Nat Genet 19:274-278.

Lai EC. 2004. Notch signaling: control of cell communication and cell fate. Development 131:965-973.

Le Borgne R, Bardin A, Schweisguth F. 2005. The roles of receptor and ligand endocytosis in regulating Notch signaling. Development 132:1751-1762.

Lindsell CE, Boulter J, diSibio G, Gossler A, Weinmaster G. 1996. Expression patterns of Jagged, Delta1, Notch1, Notch2, and Notch3 genes identify ligand-receptor pairs that may function in neural development. Mol Cell Neurosci 8:14-27.

Maretto S, Cordenonsi M, Dupont S, Braghetta P, Broccoli V, Hassan AB, Volpin D, Bressan GM, Piccolo S. 2003. Mapping Wnt/beta-catenin signaling during mouse development and in colorectal tumors. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 100:3299-3304.

Minoguchi S, Taniguchi Y, Kato H, Okazaki T, Strobl LJ, Zimber-Strobl U, Bornkamm GW, Honjo T. 1997. RBP-L, a transcription factor related to RBP-Jkappa. Mol Cell Biol 17:2679-2687.

Mitsiadis TA, Hirsinger E, Lendahl U, Goridis C. 1998. Delta-notch signaling in odontogenesis: correlation with cytodifferentiation and evidence for feedback regulation. Dev Biol 204:420-431.

Morimoto M, Takahashi Y, Endo M, Saga Y. 2005. The Mesp2 transcription factor establishes segmental borders by suppressing Notch activity. Nature 435:354-359.

Myat A, Henrique D, Ish-Horowicz D, Lewis J. 1996. A chick homologue of Serrate and its relationship with Notch and Delta homologues during central neurogenesis. Dev Biol 174:233-247.

Oka C, Nakano T, Wakeham A, de la Pompa JL, Mori C, Sakai T, Okazaki S, Kawaichi M, Shiota K, Mak TW, Honjo T. 1995. Disruption of the mouse RBP-J kappa gene results in early embryonic death. Development 121:3291-3301.

Ong CT, Cheng HT, Chang LW, Ohtsuka T, Kageyama R, Stormo GD, Kopan R. 2005. Collaboration between discrete protein domains and binding site architecture on the promoter determine target selectivity of vertebrate notch proteins. J Biol Chem.

Pan Y, Liu Z, Shen J, Kopan R. 2005. Notch1 and 2 cooperate in limb ectoderm to receive an early Jagged2 signal regulating interdigital apoptosis. Dev Biol 286:472-482.

Radtke F, Raj K. 2003. The role of Notch in tumorigenesis: oncogene or tumour suppressor? Nat Rev Cancer 3:756-767.

Radtke F, Wilson A, Mancini SJ, MacDonald HR. 2004. Notch regulation of lymphocyte development and function. Nat Immunol 5:247-253.

Raya A, Kawakami Y, Rodriguez-Esteban C, Buscher D, Koth CM, Itoh T, Morita M, Raya RM, Dubova I, Bessa JG, de la Pompa JL, Belmonte JC. 2003. Notch activity induces Nodal

expression and mediates the establishment of left-right asymmetry in vertebrate embryos. Genes Dev 17:1213-1218.

Reizis B, Leder P. 2002. Direct induction of T lymphocyte-specific gene expression by the mammalian Notch signaling pathway. Genes Dev 16:295-300.

Schmidt-Ullrich R, Memet S, Lilienbaum A, Feuillard J, Raphael M, Israel A. 1996. NF-kappaB activity in transgenic mice: developmental regulation and tissue specificity. Development 122:2117-2128.

Schweisguth F. 2004. Notch signaling activity. Curr Biol 14:R129-138.

Shawber CJ, Kitajewski J. 2004. Notch function in the vasculature: insights from zebrafish, mouse and man. Bioessays 26:225-234.

Shimizu K, Chiba S, Saito T, Kumano K, Hamada Y, Hirai H. 2002. Functional diversity among Notch1, Notch2, and Notch3 receptors. Biochem Biophys Res Commun 291:775-779.

Sidow A, Bulotsky MS, Kerrebrock AW, Bronson RT, Daly MJ, Reeve MP, Hawkins TL, Birren BW, Jaenisch R, Lander ES. 1997. Serrate2 is disrupted in the mouse limb-development mutant syndactylism. Nature 389:722-725.

Swiatek PJ, Lindsell CE, del Amo FF, Weinmaster G, Gridley T. 1994. Notch1 is essential for postimplantation development in mice. Genes Dev 8:707-719.

Valsecchi C, Ghezzi C, Ballabio A, Rugarli EI. 1997. JAGGED2: a putative Notch ligand expressed in the apical ectodermal ridge and in sites of epithelial-mesenchymal interactions. Mech Dev 69:203-207.

Weinmaster G, Kintner C. 2003. Modulation of notch signaling during somitogenesis. Annu Rev Cell Dev Biol 19:367-395.

Wilkinson DG, Nieto MA. 1993. Detection of messenger RNA by in

situ hybridization to tissue sections and whole mounts. Methods Enzymol 225:361-373.

Yoon K, Gaiano N. 2005. Notch signaling in the mammalian central nervous system: insights from mouse mutants. Nat Neurosci 8:709-715.

Zhang N, Gridley T. 1998. Defects in somite formation in lunatic fringe-deficient mice. Nature 394:374-377.

Acknowledgments

Figures Legends

Figure 1: Rationale of a Notch pathway activity sensor transgene.

(a) Schematic representation of the *TP1-nlacZ* transgene. The *nlacZ* gene is under the control of the ß-globin minimal promoter (black rectangle) preceded by six copies of a 50 bp long fragment (grey circle) from the promoter region of the Epstein-Barr virus *TP1* gene. This fragment contains two RBP-Jr binding sites (indicated in bold) and thus the *TP1-nlacZ* construct harbours 12 binding sites. (b, c) In absence of activated Notch (b), RBP-Jinmight recruit co-repressor complexes (co-R) to the *TP1-nlacZ* transgene which therefore should not be transcribed. When a cell receives signals through Notch receptor, RBP-Je/NICD, and co-factors (co-A) assemble into an activation complex leading to the transcription of the *nlacZ* gene.

Figure 2: *TP1-nlacZ* expression pattern during somitogenesis and in heart and arteries.

Whole mount X-gal staining of embryos of the following ages: E9.5 (a), E10 (b), E10.5 (c), and E11.5 (d). Arrows in (a,b) point to *lacZ* expressing cells in the cardiac region. (e) Internal view of a E12.5 NAS embryo cut in half. (f) RBP-Jn$^{\Delta/\Delta}$ deficient embryos recovered 10 days after mating do not

express the *TP1-nlacZ* transgene. Magnified views of regions indicated by boxes in (f) show the absence of *lacZ* expressing cells in cardiac (g) and tail (h) regions. Detail of the staining of the tail of E9.5 (i) and E11.5 (j, boxed region in (d)) NAS embryos. Arrows point to the band of ß-gal positive cells in the PSM. (k) Vibratome thick section of X-gal stained E11.5 tail showing a row of NAS expressing cells in the PSM (arrows) at the time when cleft starts to form (arrowhead). (l) Whole mount *in situ* hybridization of E10.5 tail showing that *lacZ* mRNA is detected essentially in the boundary forming region of the PSM (arrowhead). (m) Section in the trunk region (arrow in (b)) of E10 embryo showing *TP1-nlacZ* expression in the walls of dorsal aorta (da) and vitelline artery (va). ur: urogenital ridge. (n) Upper view of the heart region of E10.5 NAS embryo from which the head has been cut off. *TP1-nlacZ* expression is found in the distal portion of the outflow tract (oft) and in the branchial arch arteries (ba). nt: neural tube. (o-p) Enlarged views corresponding to boxed regions in (e) showing *TP1-nlacZ* expression in dorsal aorta (da) and caudal artery (ca) (p) and in head arteries (o) of E12.5 embryo. Arrow in (o) points to X-gal staining in the region of cortical hem. (q) Detail of the X-gal staining of E17.5 NAS embryo. *TP1-nlacZ* expression is barely detectable in the dorsal aorta (ao) while it is clearly visible in the intercostal arteries (ica) branching directly from it. (r)

Ventral view of dissected brain from a 4 weeks old NAS mouse showing the staining in cerebral arteries and in entorhinal cortex (arrow). Inset shows a magnified view of the branching of the right sylvian artery to the Willis polygon. (s-t) Details of pial arteries (s) and cerebral cortex microvessel (t) X-gal staining from 4 weeks old NAS mouse.

Figure 3: *TP1-nlacZ* expression pattern in developing limbs, central nervous system and teeth.

(a-c) Detail of the staining of the forelimb bud of E10 (a), E11.5 (b) and E12.5 (c) NAS embryos. (d) Frontal view of E11.5 NAS embryo showing labelling of the medio-caudal portion of cortical hemispheres. (e-f) Dorsal views showing X-gal staining in the hindbrain of E10.5 (e) and E11.5 (f) NAS embryos. Black arrows in (f) indicate the anterior and posterior limits of the medial bilateral stripe and the open arrow indicates the anterior limit of the lateral stripe. (g) Internal view of the head of E12.5 NAS embryo cut in half. Arrow points to a stripe of *lacZ* expressing cells in the neural tube. (h-i) Transverse sections of E11.5 NAS embryo in the trunk (h) and hindbrain (i) regions. Positions of sections along the antero-posterior embryonic axis are indicated by dotted lines in (f). (j) Mid-sagittal view of cerebellum from 4 weeks old mouse showing *TP1-nlacZ* expression in Purkinje cell layer (arrow). Stripes of X-Gal labeled cells in the bulb

(arrowheads) correspond to cerebral microvessels. (k) Whole mount X-gal staining of dissected brain from 4 weeks old NAS mouse. (l) Coronal section at the level indicated by a dotted line in (k) showing layer restricted expression of *TP1-nlacZ* in entorhinal cortex. Inset shows a magnified view of the *TP1-nlacZ* expressing region. (m) Internal view of the head of E17.5 embryo cut in half showing *TP1-nlacZ* expression in the labial surface of developing incisors. (n) Vibratome thick frontal section of X-gal stained E17.5 incisor bub showing NAS expression in stratum intermedium (si) layer. ame: ameloblast layer, odo: odontoblast layer, dp: dental papilla. (o) Microtome section of P6 developing molar. d: dentine.