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Leukocyte analysis from WHIM syndrome patients reveals a pivotal role for GRK3 in CXCR4 signaling
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Leukocytes from individuals with warts, hypogammaglobulinemia, infections, and myelokathexis (WHIM) syndrome, a rare immunodeficiency, and bearing a wild-type CXCR4 ORF (WHIM\textsuperscript{WT}) display impaired CXCR4 internalization and desensitization upon exposure to CXCL12. The resulting enhanced CXCR4-dependent responses, including chemotaxis, probably impair leukocyte trafficking and account for the immunohematologic clinical manifestations of WHIM syndrome. We provided here evidence that GPCR kinase-3 (GRK3) specifically regulates CXCL12-promoted internalization and desensitization of CXCR4. GRK3-silenced control cells displayed altered CXCR4 attenuation and enhanced chemotaxis, as did WHIM\textsuperscript{WT} cells. These findings identified GRK3 as a negative regulator of CXCL12-induced chemotaxis and as a candidate responsible for CXCR4 dysfunction in WHIM\textsuperscript{WT} leukocytes. Consistent with this, we showed that GRK3 overexpression in both leukocytes and skin fibroblasts from 2 unrelated WHIM\textsuperscript{WT} patients restored CXCL12-induced internalization and desensitization of CXCR4 and normalized chemotaxis. Moreover, we found in cells derived from one patient a profound and selective decrease in GRK3 products that probably resulted from defective mRNA synthesis. Taken together, these results have revealed a pivotal role for GRK3 in regulating CXCR4 attenuation and have provided a mechanistic link between the GRK3 pathway and the CXCR4-related WHIM\textsuperscript{WT} disorder.

Introduction

WHIM syndrome is a rare combined immunodeficiency disorder characterized by disseminated human papillomavirus–induced warts, hypogammaglobulinemia, recurrent bacterial infections, and myelokathexis, a form of neutropenia associated with abnormal retention of mature neutrophils in the BM (1, 2). Many cases of WHIM syndrome have been linked to inherited heterozygous autosomal dominant mutations in the gene encoding CXCR4, a GPCR with a unique natural ligand, the chemokine stromal cell–derived factor 1/CXCL12 (SDF-1/CXCL12) (3). Binding of agonist to GPCR allows this family of receptors to associate with and signal through heterotrimeric G proteins by way of the exchange of GDP with GTP on the G protein α subunit, followed by the dissociation of the Gα from the Gβγ subunits, both of which exert regulation over various effectors (4).

WHIM-associated mutations of CXCR4 lead to the expression of a receptor that displays an enhanced and prolonged activation of G proteins (reviewed in ref. 5). We previously reported that, in leukocytes from WHIM patients carrying a mutated CXCR4 receptor (CXCR4\textsuperscript{WHIM}), the increased G protein–dependent signaling is associated with the inability of CXCR4 to be uncoupled from G proteins (i.e., desensitized) and internalized in response to CXCL12 (6). In this genetic form of WHIM syndrome, impaired CXCR4 desensitization and internalization result from distal truncations of the receptor’s carboxyterminal tail (C-tail) that remove potential phosphorylation sites involved in this attenuation process. A similar pattern of CXCR4 dysfunctions was observed in leukocytes from 2 unrelated subjects with full clinical form of WHIM syndrome and carrying a WT (WHIM\textsuperscript{WT}) CXCR4 ORF (6). This indicates that the altered CXCR4-mediated signaling constitutes a common biologic trait of WHIM syndromes with different genetic causes. We thus hypothesized that WHIM\textsuperscript{WT} patients display anomalies affecting a gene product involved in the regulation of the agonist-dependent phosphorylation and/or the coupling of CXCR4 to the endocytic pathway. Ultimately, the resulting enhanced CXCR4-dependent signaling likely impairs leukocyte trafficking and accounts for the peculiar association of lymphopenia and myelokathexis with WHIM syndrome.

Members of the GPCR kinase (GRK) and arrestin families are pivotal participants in the canonical pathways leading to agonist-induced GPCR desensitization, a physiological feedback mechanism that rapidly uncouples the receptor from G proteins (7). GRKs phosphorylate Ser/Thr residues of intracellular loops and/or the C-tail of the agonist-activated receptor, a process that enhances the affinity of the receptor for the arrestins. The nonvillus β-arrestin1 and -2 are reported to interact with intracellular domains of CXCR4 and to regulate receptor desensitization and internalization (8–11). Seven GRKs are known, and among them, the 4 widely expressed members (GRK2, -3, -5, and -6) are supposed to regulate most GPCRs with overlapping receptor specificities (12, 13). Study of human diseases and genetically modified animals has recently revealed the physiological role of GRKs and pointed to some specificity of these kinases in regulating GPCR signaling (reviewed in ref. 14). However, to date, the GRKs responsible for agonist-promoted phosphorylation, desensitization, and/
or endocytosis of CXCR4 remain to be characterized, although some alterations of CXCR4 functions have been revealed in mice lacking Grk6 (10, 15). In this work, we identify GRK3 as a key regulator of CXCR4 attenuation, the impaired activity of which in WHIM WT cells accounts for the enhancement of CXCR4-mediated G protein-dependent responses.

Results

Selective CXCR4 dysfunctions in WHIM WT cells. We derived forearm skin fibroblasts from WHIM WT patient 3 (P3) and P4 and produced EBV-transformed B cell line from P4 (6), in order to set up cellular models to search for anomalies responsible for CXCR4 dysfunctions. Membrane expression levels of endogenous CXCR4 were barely detectable in all cell types (Figure 1A), as were other chemokine receptors, including CCR5, CCR7, CXCR2, CXCR5, and CXCR7, a newly identified receptor for CXCL12 (16) (data not shown). Following transduction, we analyzed the expression levels reached by CXCR4 at the membrane of either WHIM WT and control fibroblasts and B cells or the CXCR4-negative CHO cell and A0.01 T cell lines. Flow cytometry analysis indicated that expression levels of CXCR4 were comparable at the surface of all transduced cell types and in the same range as the endogenous ones detected in primary CD4+ T cells or Jurkat T cells (Figure 1B).

In line with this, immunodetection of precipitated receptors was achieved only in transduced control and WHIM WT EBV-B cells and increased in transduced PBL from a healthy subject. Flow cytometry analysis indicated that expression levels of CXCR4 were comparable at the surface of all transduced cell types and in the same range as the endogenous ones detected in primary CD4+ T cells or Jurkat T cells (Figure 1C).
Various chemokine receptors were ectopically expressed in control and WHIM<sup>WT</sup> fibroblasts and B cells to compare their functionality in response to their cognate ligand. Only WHIM<sup>WT</sup> B cells expressing CXCR4 displayed stronger migratory responses, and at all of the ligand concentrations, thus indicating a higher efficiency of CXCL12 toward these cells (Figure 2A). Using CXCL12-triggered actin polymerization as an indicator of CXCR4-dependent G protein activation, we found that the enhanced chemotaxis of WHIM<sup>WT</sup> cells was associated with a refractoriness of CXCR4 to be desensitized in response to CXCL12 (Figure 2B). In WHIM<sup>WT</sup> cells, CXCR4 was also resistant to CXCL12-induced internalization but remained sensitive to treatment with the PKC inducer phorbol ester PMA (Figure 2C). These findings show that WHIM<sup>WT</sup> fibroblasts and EBV-B cells display the CXCR4 dysfunctions reported in the related patient primary leukocytes (6) and that other chemokine receptors, including CXCR7, are fully sensitive to their cognate ligand. Genetic analyses indicate the absence of mutation in the ORFs of CXCR4, CXCR7, and CXCL12 in lymphoid (leukocytes and EBV-B cells) and/or nonlymphoid (skin fibroblasts) cells derived from P3 and P4, thus ruling out the possibility that abnormal CXCL12-dependent responses result from anomalies targetting one of these genes. We thus hypothesized that impaired CXCR4 desensitization and internalization in WHIM<sup>WT</sup> cells rely on an abnormal gene product that directly or indirectly affects the agonist-dependent phosphorylation and/or the coupling of CXCR4 to the endocytic pathway.

β-arrestin–mediated CXCR4 internalization is preserved in WHIM<sup>WT</sup> cells. The cellular levels of β-arrestin2 determine the extent of CXCR4 desensitization and internalization (8–10). Accordingly, we found that β-arrestin2 expression in control fibroblasts significantly enhanced CXCL12-promoted internalization of CXCR4 (Figure 3A). Exogenous β-arrestin2 also preserved the ability to modulate CXCR4 endocytosis in fibroblasts and EBV-B cells (Figure 3A and data not shown, respectively) from WHIM<sup>WT</sup> patients, in contrast to overexpressed β-arrestin1 (Figure 3B). Thus, these data support a modest role for β-arrestin1 in the regulation of CXCR4 internalization in WHIM<sup>WT</sup> cells (8, 9, 17). The question of whether impaired CXCR4 internalization in WHIM<sup>WT</sup> cells relies upon a change in β-arrestin levels was addressed by IB analysis using Abs recognizing β-arrestin2 and/or β-arrestin1 (Supplemental Figure 1, A and B; supplemental material available online with this article; doi:10.1172/JCI33187DS1). We showed that steady-state levels of β-arrestin1 and β-arrestin2 proteins in WHIM<sup>WT</sup> fibroblasts were similar to those detected in control cells (Figure 3, A and B). These findings were confirmed in EBV-B cells and primary leukocytes from both patients (Supplemental Figure 1C) and extended to the mRNA level (data not shown). As the ORFs of β-arrestin1 and β-arrestin2 were found to be wild type in all
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**Figure 2**

Selective alteration of CXCR4 functioning in WHIM<sup>WT</sup> cells. (A) EBV-B cells from CTRL and P4 individuals were nucleopropidated with 5 μg of plasmids encoding CCR5, CCR7, CXCR2, CXCR4, or CXCR5 cDNA and assayed 15 h after transfection for chemotaxis using a Transwell system in response to the cognate chemokine (left section). Concentration-dependent migration of B cells expressing CXCR4 is shown in response to CXCL12 (right section). Results are expressed as a percentage of input B cells that migrated to the lower chamber. (B) Fibroblasts expressing CXCR4 (left panel) and B cells expressing either CXCR4 (middle panel) or CCR7 (right panel) were transfected with 200 nM of CXCL12 or CXCL13-stimulated actin polymerization using FITC-phallolidin as a probe for intracellular F-actin. Arrows indicate chemokine stimulation. The baseline level of unstimulated cells was set as 100% (dotted line). (C) Cell surface expression of distinct chemokine receptors in control (CTRL#1) and WHIM<sup>WT</sup> fibroblasts treated with 200 nM of the cognate agonist for 45 min at 37°C. Results indicate the amount of receptors that remains at the cell surface after incubation with agonist. Receptor expression at the surface of cells incubated in medium alone was set as 100%. Following transfection, expression levels of the different chemokine receptors were comparable at the surface of all unstimulated cell types as determined by flow cytometry (data not shown). Results represent the means ± SD of 3–5 independent experiments (A and C) or are representative of 3 independent determinations (B).

*P < 0.05; **P < 0.005 compared with healthy subjects.
WHIM WT cell types, our results indicate a normal synthesis, stability, and translation of β-arrestin mRNAs in these cells. Altogether, these findings challenge the possibility that anomalies in β-arrestin account for the impaired receptor endocytosis.

Moreover, the normal functioning of other chemokine receptors also indicates that the endocytic pathway downstream of β-arrestin recruitment is preserved in WHIM WT cells. GRK-mediated phosphorylation of C-tail Ser/Thr residues of CXCR4 (18), and thus C-tail integrity, are required for β-arrestin binding and receptor internalization (8, 19–21). Therefore, the impaired internalization of WHIM-associated C-tail-truncated CXCR4 mutants (6, 22) is likely due to the removal of critical C-tail phospho-acceptor sites (5, 8, 23, 24). Supporting this assumption, β-arrestin2 overexpression, which largely exceeds the endogenous levels (Supplemental Figure 1B) and is known to overcome the need for GRK-dependent GPCR phosphorylation (25), did not promote the internalization of the WHIM-associated CXCR4 WT receptor (Figure 3C), although it partly restored that of wild-type CXCR4 in patient-derived cells (Figure 3A). Collectively, these results led us to postulate that in WHIM WT cells a change in a gene product, such as GRK, that controls the agonist-promoted recruitment of β-arrestin2 to CXCR4 might account for the impaired receptor endocytosis.

CXCR4 internalization and desensitization are selectively regulated by GRK3. Recent works have revealed some specialization of the different GRKs in the regulation of GPCR signaling (26, 27), opening the possibility that this might be the case regarding the attenuation of CXCR4. In WHIM WT fibroblasts, similarly to control cells, expression of GRK2, -5, or -6 had no or only a moderate effect on CXCL12-induced internalization of CXCR4 (Figure 4A). Interestingly, GRK3 expression was highly effective in restoring normal CXCR4 endocytosis in fibroblasts from both patients (Figure 4, A and B). In contrast, GRK3 expression did not normalize the internalization of the C-tail–truncated CXCR4 WT receptor ectopically expressed in control or P3 fibroblasts (Figure 4B). An explanation for this observation is the removal of putative target sites for GRK3-mediated phosphorylation (Ser338/Ser339 and Ser341/Thr342 couples and Ser344) in the CXCR4 WT receptor. These results strongly suggest that CXCR4 and GRK3 specifically interact so that receptor internalization can take place, thus opening the possibility that the capacity of exogenous GRK3 to restore internalization of CXCR4 in patient fibroblasts is related to interactions of the kinase with the receptor.

By knocking down GRK3 mRNAs in control fibroblasts, we further investigated the apparent dependency of CXCR4 internalization upon GRK3 activity. In parallel, we also silenced GRK2 mRNAs, as this kinase is closely related to GRK3 (28) and is found here to regulate significantly, albeit modestly, agonist-induced CXCR4 internalization in control cells (Figure 4A). Quantitative PCR and IB analyses revealed that siRNA duplexes efficiently and specifically depleted expression of each targeted GRK by more than 75% as compared with the scrambled control (SCR) siRNA (Figure 4C). As shown in Figure 4D, silencing of GRK3 mRNAs led to a marked inhibition of CXCR4 internalization upon CXCL12 exposure, whereas suppression of GRK2 expression did not affect this process. Taken together, our results highlight a previously unappreciated specialization of GRK3 in the regulation of CXCR4 internalization. We next determined whether, by regulating CXCR4 internalization, GRK3 also contributes to receptor desensitization. In WHIM WT fibroblasts, only GRK3 expression was able to restore a normal CXCR4-dependent G protein activation following CXCL12 stimulation (Figure 4E). Collectively, these
results reveal a pivotal role for GRK3 in the regulation of CXCR4 desensitization and internalization and further point to GRK3 as a candidate responsible for the impaired CXCR4 attenuation in WHIM WT cells. Supporting this assumption, GRK3-silenced control cells displayed an impaired CXCR4 endocytosis upon CXCL12 exposure, as do WHIM WT cells. Conversely, GRK3 expression in WHIM WT cells normalized CXCR4 desensitization and endocytosis. These observations argue in favor of a quantitative or qualitative change in GRK3 in WHIM WT cells.

Differential steady-state levels of GRK3 products in WHIM WT leukocytes. To investigate whether WHIM WT cells display a quantitative change in GRK3 proteins, we assessed by IB the levels of
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**Figure 4**

GRK3-mediated internalization and desensitization of CXCR4. (A) Aforementioned fibroblasts from CTRL#1, P3, and P4 subjects expressing CXCR4 were nucleoporated with 5 μg of either pcDNA1 (vector) or GRK2, -3, -5, or -6 construct and treated 15 h after transfection with 200 nM CXCL12. We always controlled the efficiency of GRK overexpression by IB (Supplemental Figure 2C). (B) CXCL12-promoted internalization of CXCR4 WT or CXCR4(1013) in fibroblasts from CTRL#1 and P3 nucleoporated with 5 μg of either pcDNA1 (vector) or plasmid encoding GRK3 cDNA. (C) Expression of GRK products in fibroblasts from healthy subjects nucleoporated with 5 μg of either pcDNA1 (vector) or plasmid encoding GRK3 cDNA. Three days after transfection, GRK mRNA levels were assessed by quantitative PCR (left and middle panels) and normalized to those of IDUA. IB of proteins from whole-cell lysates either with an anti-GRK2/3 mAb (data not shown) or an anti-GRK2 or anti-GRK3 Ab (right panel) revealed proteins of expected molecular mass (~80 kDa). GRK2 or -3 siRNAs had no effect on expression levels of endogenous GRK6 or β-arrestin2 (molecular mass ~65 and ~46 kDa, respectively), as detected using selective Abs (Supplemental Figures 1 and 2). (D) Cell surface expression levels of CXCR4 in GRK2 or -3 siRNA-transfected fibroblasts treated with CXCL12. GRK2 or -3 siRNAs had no effect on expression levels of CXCR4 at the membrane of unstimulated cells (data not shown). (E) CXCR4-transduced fibroblasts from CTRL#1, P3, and P4 individuals were nucleoporated with 5 μg of pcDNA1 (vector) or GRK2 or -3 construct and tested for CXCL12-triggered actin polymerization. Results are means ± SD of 3–6 independent experiments (A and B; C, left and middle panels; and D and E) or are representative of >5 independent determinations (C, right panel). *P < 0.05; **P < 0.005 compared with fibroblasts transfected with vector (A and B) or SCR siRNAs (C and D).
GRK2, -3, -5, and -6 in whole-cell lysates from primary leukocytes isolated from P3, P4, and healthy subjects including members of P4’s family (Figure 5A). No change in GRK3 levels was detected in leukocytes from P4 (Figure 5A). In contrast, the levels of GRK3 in leukocytes from P3 were profoundly reduced compared with controls, whereas the steady-state levels of GRK2, -5, and -6 were normal as detected with Abs that react specifically with GRK2 or -6 or the GRK5/6 pair (Figure 5B and Supplemental Figure 2, A and C). The decrease in GRK3 protein levels, also confirmed in P3 fibroblasts (Figure 6A), was accessed using a mAb that reacts with GRK2 and -3 proteins (>60% reduction as compared with control cells) (Supplemental Figure 2, C and D), both readily distinguished as a doublet (Supplemental Figure 2B), or an Ab that selectively recognizes GRK3 proteins (~90% reduction as compared with controls) (Supplemental Figure 2, A and D).

To determine whether the decrease in GRK3 proteins identified in P3 leukocytes was mirrored at the mRNA level, total RNA was isolated from P3 and control leukocytes, and the steady-state levels of GRK3 transcripts were assessed by PCR analysis. Using semiquantitative PCR, we found that the band corresponding to the amplified GRK3 cDNA product was barely detectable in P3 leukocytes as compared with control cells, suggesting a drastic reduction of GRK3 transcript amounts in P3 cells (Figure 5C). In contrast, the steady-state levels of GRK2, -5, and -6 mRNAs were similar in P3 and control leukocytes. Amounts of GRK transcripts in P4 leukocytes were not different from those detected in control cells as shown by semiquantitative PCR analysis (Figure 5D). We then set up real-time PCR analysis to quantify levels of GRK3 mRNAs in P3 leukocytes as compared with those detected in 5 independent healthy individuals, among which 3 are family members (Figure 5C). The steady-state levels of GRK3 transcripts in control individuals displayed over a large range (Figure 5C). This observation is probably the consequence of an inter-individual variability that is also revealed by a similar comparative analysis performed in leukocytes from P4 and healthy members of P4’s family (Supplemental Figure 3). However, GRK3 mRNA levels were out of range in P3 leukocytes (Figure 5C) and only minute amounts were detectable in accordance with the semiquantitative PCR analysis. We also confirmed by real-time PCR that the steady-state levels of other GRK mRNAs were quantitatively unaffected in P3 cells (data not shown). Thus, these results indicate that the marked alteration in GRK3 protein levels in P3 leukocytes correlates with a selective decrease at the mRNA level. Additionally, our findings strongly suggest that the decreased steady-state level of GRK3 proteins in P3 leukocytes results from an alteration in a (post-)transcriptional mechanism rather than in protein stability.

**Defective GRK3 mRNA expression in P3 fibroblasts.** RNA stability studies were set up in patient-derived fibroblasts, which constitute a more homogenous cell system than leukocytes. As shown in Figure 6A, fibroblasts from P3 displayed a selective reduction (>75%) in GRK3 protein levels relative to controls, as did leukocytes (see Figure 5B). We also confirmed that the steady-state levels of GRK2, -3, -5, and -6 proteins were unaffected in fibroblasts and EBV-B cells (data not shown) from P4. Of note, exogenously expressed GRK3, which was found to restore CXCR4 internalization and desensitization in P3 and P4 fibroblasts (Figure 4, A, B, and E), reached similar levels among control and patient fibroblasts that largely exceeded the endogenous ones (Supplemental Figure 2C). Whereas the amounts...
of GRK mRNAs were unaltered in P4 fibroblasts compared with control ones, we observed a drastic decrease (>85%) of GRK3 transcripts in P3 fibroblasts (Figure 6, B and C). A single GRK3 cDNA product was amplified by PCR using specific primers flanking the full ORF in patient-derived leukocytes (Figure 5) and fibroblasts (Figure 6). Double-strand sequencing of amplified products revealed no mutation either in the GRK3 ORF or in those of GRK2, -5, and -6.

We speculated that the reduced level of GRK3 transcripts in P3 cells might result from 2 mechanisms not mutually exclusive: inefficient mRNA synthesis or increased mRNA degradation. A time course of GRK3 mRNA stability was performed in the presence of the transcriptional inhibitor actinomycin D (ActD) in fibroblasts from P3, P4, and 2 healthy subjects. The estimated half-life (>3 h) of a stable mRNA species, lactate dehydrogenase (LDH), was found to be similar in all cell types (Figure 7A). GRK3 mRNAs were found to have a short half-life (~1 h), and their stabilities were in the same range in both control- and patient-derived fibroblasts (Figure 7B). These findings rule out the possibility that an impaired mRNA stability accounts for the decrease of GRK3 transcripts in P3 fibroblasts, and argue in favor of an altered GRK3 transcription. We thus set up pulse/chase ActD experiments and determined that a 4-hour treatment with ActD was sufficient to deplete GRK3 mRNAs (<15% of the pretreatment levels) in all cell types (Figure 7C). After 4 h of ActD chase, the level of GRK3 mRNAs was fully recovered in P4 and control fibroblasts, whereas it only reached 50% of the pretreatment levels in P3 fibroblasts. Taken together, these results strongly suggest that the decreased level of GRK3 proteins in P3 cells primarily results from an impaired GRK3 mRNA synthesis and ultimately leads to defective CXCR4 attenuation.

GRK3 negatively regulates CXCL12-promoted cell migration. We hypothesized that the enhanced CXCL12-promoted chemotaxis of P3 cells, previously proposed to rely on the refractoriness of CXCR4 to be both desensitized and internalized (6), could be normalized upon GRK3 expression. To address this issue, leukocytes from healthy and P3 subjects were transfected either with a control vector or a plasmid encoding GRK3 cDNA (Supplemental Figure 2D). Confirming our previous findings, CD4+ T cells from P3 displayed a stronger chemotactic response toward a CXCL12 gradient relative to controls...
We revealed in cells derived from WT cells. Second, control cells knocked down for GRK3 in CXCL12-promoted chemotaxis, we found that the CXCL12 resulted in a dose-dependent chemotaxis of SCR siRNA–silenced CD4+ T cells (Figure 8A). We found that GRK3 expression significantly reduced CXCL12-promoted migration of control T cells (30%–40% inhibition as compared to cells transfected with vector), suggesting that GRK3 plays a negative role in the regulation of this process. Consistent with this, GRK3 expression in leukocytes from P3 permitted the restoration of normal CXCL12-induced chemotactic responses.

By knocking down GRK3 mRNAs in leukocytes from healthy subjects, we further investigated the dependency of CXCR4-mediated cell migration upon GRK3 activity. As determined by real-time PCR, GRK3 siRNA duplexes efficiently reduced the levels of GRK3 transcripts by more than 60% (Figure 8B). Addition of CXCL12 resulted in a dose-dependent chemotaxis of SCR siRNA–transfected CD4+ T cells (Figure 8B). Supporting a negative role for GRK3 in CXCL12-promoted chemotaxis, we found that the sensitivity of GRK3-silenced CD4+ T cells to CXCL12 was strongly increased. This process was associated with a decrease in the maximal effective concentration of CXCL12.

Discussion
Investigation of the molecular basis of CXCR4 dysfunctions in 2 patients suffering from the genetic form of WHIM syndrome not associated with CXCR4 mutation reveals a surprising dependency of CXCR4 functioning upon GRK3 activity. For instance, our data demonstrate a pivotal role for GRK3 in CXCL12-promoted desensitization and internalization of CXCR4. They also point to alterations in GRK3 activity in patient-derived cells that likely account for the CXCR4 dysfunctions. Several observations support this possibility. First, control cells knocked down for GRK3 mRNAs displayed impaired CXCR4 attenuation and enhanced chemotaxis in response to CXCL12, as do WHIMWT cells. Second, GRK3 expression in WHIMWT cells restored the capacity of CXCR4 to be desensitized and internalized in response to CXCL12. Finally, and perhaps most importantly, we revealed in cells derived from one patient a selective decrease in GRK3 products that likely arises from impaired mRNA synthesis and the correction of which led to a normalized chemotaxis.

CXCL12-promoted desensitization and internalization of CXCR4 is thought to be dependent upon binding of β-arrestin to the GRK-phosphorylated receptor (8, 9, 18). Our data challenge the possibility that β-arrestin1 or β-arrestin2 per se could be impaired in patient-derived cells and rather point to GRK3 activity as the limiting step. In WHIMWT and control cells, the steady-state levels of β-arrestin products were found to be similar (Figure 3, A and B, and Supplemental Figure 1C), as well as the internalization of chemokine receptors other than CXCR4 (Figure 2C). These results suggest that the endocytic pathway downstream of β-arrestin recruitment to the receptors is preserved in WHIMWT cells. In line with this, ectopically expressed β-arrestins, and most particularly β-arrestin2, had the ability to modulate CXCR4 internalization (Figure 3). However, this effect was partial, whereas for exogenous GRK3, it was total (Figure 4, A and B). Indeed, GRK3 expression overcame the resistance of CXCR4 to internalize in response to CXCL12 in patient-derived cells, including those from P4, which displayed normal steady-state levels of GRK3 products (Figures 5 and 6). Chemokine receptor internalization is facilitated by GRK expression, as previously reported for CXCR4 upon transfection of GRK2 cDNA in HEK 293T cells (8, 9) and here in primary fibroblasts (Figure 4A). The fact that GRK2 silencing did not modulate CXCL12–induced internalization of CXCR4 in control fibroblasts (Figure 4D) suggests a minor contribution of this kinase to this process and makes it likely that GRK3 activity is sufficient for receptor phosphorylation in those cells. Consistent with this, GRK3 silencing impaired CXCR4 internalization in control cells, indicating that neither endogenous GRK2 nor GRK5 or -6 substituted for the loss of GRK3.

Endogenous GRK3 has been shown to mediate the agonist-dependent phosphorylation and internalization of other GPCRs, such as
angiotensin II and V2 vasopressin receptors (26, 27). Analyses of GRK3-deficient mice reveal a physiological role for Grk3 in the regulation of olfactory, M3/M4 muscarinic and kappa opioid receptor activities (29–31). However, whether Grk3 deficiency affects GPCRs also involved in the immune system homeostasis has not been investigated. Here, by the use of alternative approaches (overexpression combined with siRNA methods), we uncover a selective role for GRK3 in the regulation of CXCL12-promoted attenuation of CXCR4 that likely implicates agonist-induced C-tail phosphorylation and the subsequent recruitment of β-arrestin2 to phosphorylated receptors. In line with this, the failure of either β-arrestin2 or GRK3 overexpression to correct impaired CXCL12-induced internalization of the WHIM-associated CXCR4\textsuperscript{1013} receptor was consistent with the removal of phosphorylation sites in the C-tail truncated receptor that prevents the physical interaction of the kinase with the receptor (Figure 3C and Figure 4B). GRK3 and the structurally related GRK2 have the reported ability to interact with the activated GTP-bound form of some G\textsubscript{i} proteins, notably Goq and Gtz1, and to accelerate their intrinsic GTPase activity (reviewed in ref. 28). CXCR4 is thought to generally activate G\textsubscript{i} proteins, but it also displays the capacity to couple to Gtz12/13 (32, 33) or Gtzq proteins (34, 35). This opens the possibility that the binding of GRK3 to G\textsubscript{i} proteins might contribute in some conditions to CXCR4 desensitization in a phosphorylation and/or β-arrestin–independent manner.

The enhanced CXCL12-promoted chemotaxis displayed by P3 T cells (Figure 8A) likely arises from the drastic diminution of GRK3 products that characterizes P3-derived cells (Figures 5 and 6). This assumption is supported by the fact that GRK3 expression in P3 T cells was sufficient to correct altered CXCL12-induced chemotaxis, and conversely that GRK3 silencing in control T cells results in a WHIM-like phenotype (Figure 8). The mechanism by which GRK3 levels fine-tune CXCL12-promoted cell migration might involve the pivotal role of GRK3 in promoting β-arrestin2–mediated CXCR4 desensitization. In addition to desensitization, recent studies suggest that β-arrestin2 contributes to chemotaxis by virtue of its ability to serve as scaffold for signaling molecules, including members of the MAPK family (4). β-arrestin2 was shown to positively modulate CXCR4-mediated chemotaxis of mouse and human cells (10, 36). Thus, changes in GRK3 activity in WHIM\textsuperscript{WT} cells may indirectly modulate the ability of β-arrestin2 to scaffold CXCR4-mediated signaling pathways. Recent findings indicate that different GRKs may set in motion distinct functions of G\textsubscript{PCR}-bound β-arrestin (26, 27, 37, 38), opening the possibility that a specialization of GRKs also takes place in the regulation of β-arrestin2–dependent CXCR4 signaling. For instance, studies from Grk6-deficient mice suggest a positive contribution of this kinase to the CXCL12-induced chemotaxis of T cells, whereas Grk6 appears to play an opposite role in neutrophils (10, 15). These results, if extended to the regulation of CXCR4-mediated signaling in human cells, would open the possibility that GRK6 and GRK3 differentially regulate CXCR4 activities. In WHIM\textsuperscript{WT} cells, our results indicate that GRK6 is not downregulated and highlight a role for GRK3 dysfunctions per se in the enhanced CXCL12-promoted chemotaxis.

We reveal that functional CXCR4-related defaults in P3 cells result from a dramatic decrease in GRK3 proteins, likely due to an impairment in mRNA expression but not stability (Figure 7). The relatively short half-life of GRK3 mRNA species is consistent with the reported tight regulation of the GRK3 promoter by various inducers, including κ-opioid agonists, corticotropin-releasing factor, epinephrine, or the cytokine GM-CSF (39–43). Collectively, these observations suggest that the defective GRK3 mRNA expression in P3 cells arises from an impaired synthesis, either directly due to a polymorphism in transcriptional regulatory regions or indirectly due to the altered expression of a transcription factor that modulates GRK3 expression. Several SNPs were identified in the putative promoter region of GRK3, which may affect the regulation of gene expression, and were associated with bipolar disorder in families of northern European Caucasian ancestry (44, 45). We did not identify any specific SNP in the minimal putative promoter region of GRK3 in P3 cells. Therefore, cloning and functional characterization of the whole GRK3 transcriptional regulatory sequence may help to define mechanisms by which GRK3 expression is fine-tuned in P3 cells.

The molecular mechanism accounting for the impaired CXCR4 attenuation in P4 cells is predicted to arise upstream β-arrestin2 recruitment to CXCR4 and coupling of the receptor to the endocytic pathway. Several aspects of our findings make it more likely that the defect occurs through a direct dysregulation of GRK3 subcellular localization and/or activity rather than a GRK3-unrelated process of receptor downregulation. In particular, the resistance of the CXCR4\textsuperscript{1013} receptor to internalize upon CXCL12 stimulation, even in the presence of large amounts of GRK3 (Figure 4B), strongly suggests that GRK3 physically interacts with the C-tail of CXCR4. So, the selective effect of overexpressed GRK3 in correcting impaired CXCR4 internalization in P4 cells (Figure 4A) is believed to result from the restoration of specific interactions of the kinase with the receptor and thus to overcome a deficiency in GRK3 activity. The normal production, stability, and translation of GRK3 mRNAs in P4 cells (Figures 5–7), combined with the absence of mutation in the GRK3 ORF, challenge the possibility of intrinsic changes in GRK3 proteins. Additionally, these observations suggest that GRK3-related CXCR4 dysfunctions arise from different genetic anomalies in P3 and P4 subjects with full clinical form of WHIM syndrome. Based on recent advances in our knowledge of the GRK interactome (reviewed in ref. 28), we speculate that alteration in one of GRK’s binding partners may lead to impaired GRK3 localization and/or activity in P4 cells. A fuller understanding of the functioning of GRK3 should provide insight into the biology of the CXCL12/CXCR4 axis and likely into the aberrant leukocyte trafficking in the course of WHIM syndrome.

**Methods**

**Antibodies, reagents, and cells.** Immunostainings were performed using the FITC-conjugated anti-human CD3 mAb (clone SK7), the PE-conjugated anti-human mAbs specific for CCR5 (clone 2D7), CCR7 (clone 3D12), CXCR2 (clone 48311.211), CXCR4 (clone 12G5), and CXCR5 (clone 51505.111) as well as the APC-conjugated anti-human CD4 (clone RPA-T4) mAb. All mAbs were purchased from BD Biosciences. The binding of the mouse anti-human RDC1/CXCR7 mAb (clone 9C4, provided by M. Thelen, Institute for Research in Biomedicine, Bellinzona, Switzerland) was detected as described (16). Unless specified, M.193/CCL4, SDF-1/ CXCL12, and RANTES/CCL5 and IL-8/CXCL8 (both from R&D Systems) were used at 30 nM, whereas 6Ckine/CCL21 and BCA-1/CXCL13 (R&D Systems) were used at 60 nM and 100 nM, respectively. PMA (Sigma-Aldrich) was used at 200 nM.

PBLs were isolated from heparin-treated blood samples of healthy individuals and WHIM\textsuperscript{WT} patients P3 and P4 as previously described (6) and cultured in RPMI 1640 medium supplemented with 10% FCS, 10 mM HEPES, penicillin (100 U/ml), and streptomycin (100 μg/ml) (complete RPMI medium). When required, PBLs from healthy subjects were either nega-
tively enriched for CD4+ T cells as previously described (46) or incubated for 24 h with phytohemagglutinin (1 μg/ml; Sigma-Aldrich) followed by 6 days with IL-2 (20 ng/ml; PeproTech) for blasting. The A0.01 T cell (from H.T. He, Centre d’Immunologie de Luminy, Marseille, France) and Jurkat cell (ATCC) lines were maintained in complete RPMI medium. The CHO K1 cell line (ATCC) was cultured in complete HAM F-12 medium. Dermal fibroblasts, isolated and expanded from healthy and WHIM+ skin biopsies, were maintained in complete DMEM. EBV-transformed B cell lines derived from healthy individuals and P4 were cultured in complete RPMI medium supplemented with 50 μg/ml 2-mercaptoethanol. The marked B cell lymphopenia affecting P3 did not permit us to generate a B cell line. This study was approved by the Direction Médicale et Santé Publique, Institut Pasteur, and all subjects gave informed consent for this investigation.

Transfection and functional assays. The human SMARTpool SCR (siCONTROL non-targeting#1), GRK2, and GRK3 siRNA duplexes (Dharmacon); the pNi-EGFP, pβ-arrestin1-EGFP, and pβ-arrestin2-EGFP plasmids (provided by S. Marullo, Institut Cochin, Paris, France); the pcDNA1 encoding bovine GRK2, -3, -5 or -6 and human GRK6 cDNA (gift from M. Oppermann, University of Göttingen, Germany); the pcDNA3 encoding human CXCR2 cDNA and the pScRho+CCR7 and -CXCR2 plasmids (gift from B. Moser, Theodor-Kocher Institute, Bern, Switzerland); and the lentiviral-derived pTRIP vectors for pTRIP+ lentiviral-based strategy as previously described (6). Receptor internalization, actin polymerization, and chemotaxis assays were performed as previously described (6, 46). Statistical analyses consisted of unpaired 2-tailed Student t tests and were conducted with the Prism software (GraphPad).

RT-PCR analysis. Total cellular RNA was extracted using the RNaseasy kit (QIAGEN Sciences) and reverse transcribed (Superscript II, BD Biosciences — Clontech) by extension of oligo(dT) priming using a template-switch primer 5′-AAGCAGTGGTATCAACCGAGATGTT[ACC]TT[20]VN-3′ (47). Amplification of oligo(dT)-primed cDNAs was performed by PCR (Advantage II pol; BD Biosciences — Clontech) (40 cycles: 95°C 2 min, 95°C 10 min and 40 cycles: 95°C 15 s, 72°C 30 s, 68°C 40 s. The dissociation curve method was applied according to the manufacturer’s protocol (60°C to 95°C) to ensure the presence of a single specific PCR product. Analysis was performed with the standard curve method, and results were expressed as GRK/IDUA ratios.

IB analysis. Equivalent amounts of proteins (30 μg/lane unless specified) were separated by SDS-PAGE on 4%–12% Tris-glycine polyacrylamide gels (Invitrogen), transferred to PVDF membrane and probed with the following monoclonal or polyclonal Abs: sheep anti-human LDH (Biodigen), rabbit anti-human β-arrestin 1 (clone E246; Epitomics), rabbit anti-serum to β-arrestin 2 (a gift from R.J. Lefkowitz, Howard Hughes Medical Institute, Durham, North Carolina, USA), rabbit anti-human GRK2 or -6, goat anti-human GRK3 (all from Santa Cruz Biotechnology Inc.), mouse anti-rat GRK2/3 (clone CS/1) or anti-bovine GRK5/6 (clone A16/17, both provided by M. Oppermann) Abs. Peroxidase-conjugated anti-mouse, anti-rabbit (both from Amersham Biosciences) and anti-goat (Vector Laboratories) Abs were used to detect bound primary Abs by enhanced chemiluminescence. IB quantitation was done using an LAS-1000 CCD camera with Image Gauge 3.4 software (Fuji Photo Film Co.).

Immunoprecipitation assay. Cells (5 × 10^6 to 10 × 10^6) transduced or not with CXCR4^WT or CXCR4^WT314 were serum-starved overnight and lysed in 0.2 ml ice-cold lysis buffer (LB; 30 mM HEPES, 100 mM KCl, 20 mM NaCl, 2 mM MgCl2, 5% glycerol, 2% heptanetriol [Fluka], 0.5% laurylmaltoside, 5 mM GDP, 1 mM microcystin [Sigma-Aldrich], 1 mM orthovanadate and protease inhibitors [Roche Diagnostics]) for 30 min at 4°C. Precleared lysates were incubated overnight at 4°C with the 12G5 anti-CXCR4 mAb precoated on γ-bind sepharose beads (Amersham). After 3 washes in LB, immunoprecipitated receptors were eluted in SDS-PAGE sample buffer (25 mM Tris-HCl pH 6.8, 5% glycerol, 1.5% SDS, 0.1% bromophenol blue) for 45 min at 37°C and detected by IB analysis using the SZ1567 anti-CXCR4 rabbit polyclonal Ab (provided by M. Thelen).
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